

1-1-2009

The education of women and girls in the United States: A historical perspective

Jennifer C. Madigan
San Jose State University, jennifer.madigan@sjsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/second_ed_pub



Part of the [Special Education and Teaching Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Jennifer C. Madigan. "The education of women and girls in the United States: A historical perspective" *Advances in Gender and Education* (2009): 11-13.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.

The Education of Girls and Women in the United States: A Historical Perspective

Jennifer C. Madigan
San Jose State University

This essay will provide a brief historical overview of the educational experiences of girls and women in the United States dating from the early colonial settlement years to the present time. From “dame schools” in the 1700s to seminaries for teacher training, women and girls have historically been prepared for professions related to caretaking, such as nursing and teaching. A dramatic shift occurred in the 1970s with the passage of Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, which protects students from discrimination on the basis of sex in educational programs that receive federal financial assistance, and the Women's Educational Equity Act (WEEA), enacted in 1974. In spite of the new policies, many of the educational patterns of girls continued. Several researchers in the 1980s and 1990s suggested that female students in coeducational classrooms received less opportunity to participate and less feedback from teachers than their male counterparts (Grossman, 1998; Riordan, 1990; Sadker & Sadker, 1995). With the passage of No Child Left Behind in 2002 and the resulting changes in federal regulations (final rule changes published in 2006), prior restrictions on the establishment of single sex public schools and classrooms were lifted. Initial research on U.S. single sex programs indicate promise of academic achievement for girls and demonstrate socio-emotional benefits for girls attending single sex schools in urban, high poverty areas (United States Department of Education, 2008; author, 2008). Current advocates of single-sex education believe that it should be available as an option for all students, not just for children of privilege.

A Look Back

Single-gender education is not a new concept. At the close of the 18th century, most boys in colonial America attended “dame schools,” defined as a school influenced by the English model of home instruction for small groups of children usually led by a woman in her home (Monaghan, 1988). These schools in New England prepared boys for town schools (Monaghan, 1988). Girls also attended dame schools, but only a small percentage attended town schools or academies. Educational institutions beyond the dame schools and single gender town schools were private, segregated by sex, and exclusive to wealthy families (Riordan, 1990).

The establishment of dame schools took place in the kitchens of older women in the community. It was at this juncture that women established themselves as teachers in colonial America. The primary focus of the dame schools was to prepare boys for admission to the town schools which, until the 19th century, girls were not allowed to attend (Riordan, 1990). When girls were finally admitted to the town schools, they usually attended at different times of the day than the boys or on days when boys did not attend, such as summertime or holidays.

The Massachusetts educational system has its roots in the Protestant Reformation which considered education necessary for all individuals to understand Scripture. Within 10 to 20 years of the arrival of the *Mayflower*, Massachusetts colonists had established town schools, a Latin grammar school, and Harvard College (Kolesnick, 1969). The growing economy in the colonies created an additional need for literacy. Colonial women were often heavily involved in family businesses and commerce. These conditions provided some of the foundation for equal opportunities for men and women in the educational process (Riordan, 1990).

Concurrent with the establishment and growth of the coeducational public high school system in the 1800s was the single-gender seminary or academy movement. Led by Catherine Beecher, Emma Willard, and Mary Lyon, these institutions were modeled after the English finishing school. The function of the academy was to provide a moral, literary, and domestic education for young women (Riordan, 1990; Sexton, 1976). The Catholic Church played an important role in the burgeoning academy movement. By 1860, the Catholic population had increased to 3 million creating a great need for church-sponsored education. Seminaries served as a preparation ground for female teachers who were in growing demand to serve as educators in Catholic girls' schools (Riordan, 1990). The seminaries took on the training of teachers in an innovative manner, promoting dynamic teaching strategies and student cooperation (Sadker & Sadker, 1995). Eventually, the academy movement would lead to the establishment of the first women's colleges in the United States including Georgia Female College, Mount Holyoke Seminary, and Elmira Female College (Astin & Hirsch, 1978).

The limited population in the western territories in the early and mid-1800's made coeducation an economical and more viable option to single-gender institutions. This was not the case in the eastern states, however, where the established bastions of higher education remained financially independent. As a result, counterparts to the distinguished male colleges emerged in the form of affiliates. Affiliations with universities such as Harvard, Columbia, and Brown allowed women to participate, in a limited fashion, in the educational opportunities afforded to men in these prestigious institutions (Riordan, 1990; Stock, 1978). In college, women were closely supervised and segregated from men. Toward the end of the 19th century, some state universities allowed women to enroll in their degree programs. The private institutions, however, did not follow this pattern. As a result, Smith, Mount Holyoke, Wellesley, Barnard, Radcliffe, Vassar, and Bryn Mawr were established to provide women with single-gender university environments designed to meet their specific educational needs.

Despite the emergence of single-gender colleges for women, by the beginning of the 20th century, most public secondary schools and colleges had become predominantly coeducational. Coeducation, however, did not insure equal opportunity in education. In 1918, the Commission on the Reorganization of Secondary Education made a case for the creation of a two track system: one track steered students, primarily males, toward college preparatory coursework, and the other track provided vocational training. For White, Black, and other minority girls, the vocational track was encouraged. Even girls with strong academic records were required to take domestic science or home economics (Tyack & Hansot, 1990). Despite the expansion of women's role in society, through the mid 1960s girls were channeled into occupational choices that were limited to four categories: secretarial, nursing, teaching, or motherhood (Sadker & Sadker, 1995).

In 1972, with the passage of Title IX, it became illegal to discriminate in public schools on the basis of sex in school athletics, financial aid, career counseling, admission practices, and the treatment of students. Violators were at risk of losing federal funds. With the passage of the Women's Educational Equity Act (WEEA) in 1974, support was provided to assist schools in the recruitment of girls for math, science, and athletic programs. Teachers were provided with training to increase awareness of gender bias in curriculum and pedagogy. In the 1980s, however, funding for WEEA was drastically cut.

Looking Forward

In 2006, the United States Department of Education published amendments to the Title IX regulations that provide school districts with flexibility in the implementation of single-sex programs. To date, at least 540 public schools in the United States are presently offering gender-separate educational opportunities (National Association of Single Sex Public Schools). There is, however, a dearth of research examining the long term effects and outcomes of these programs. In 2004, the U.S. Department of Education contracted with RMC Research Corporation to conduct a descriptive study of existing single-sex public schools. Preliminary research findings demonstrate gains, particularly for girls. Teachers reported significantly greater benefits of single-sex schooling for girls in five of the 10 benefit categories. Teachers believed that girls demonstrated better peer interactions, a greater emphasis on academic behaviors, a greater degree of order and control, socio-emotional

benefits, and safe behavior in single sex environments. Furthermore, teachers believed that both sexes benefit equally from single-sex education in terms of a greater sensitivity to sex differences in learning and maturation (USDOE, 2008).

Conclusion

In reviewing the historical picture of women's educational experiences in the United States, it appears that expectations for girls in school have been different than expectations for boys. Historically, girls have been raised to assume specific and limited roles in society such as secretarial, nursing or teaching school. With the advent of Title IX, and the enforcement of equal access legislation, the options for girls have increased dramatically. As the journey into new educational terrain continues, it is important to look back and reflect on the accomplishments of those from the past so that we might better encourage those who will go into the future.

References

- Astin H. & Hirsch, W. (1978). *The higher education of women: Essays in honor of Rosemary Park*. New York: Praeger.
- Grossman, H. (1998). *Achieving educational equality: Assuring all students an equal opportunity in school*. Springfield: Charles C. Thomas Publisher, Ltd.
- Kolesnik, W. (1969). *Co-education: Sex differences and schools*. New York: Vantage Press.
- Madigan, J. C. (2008). Challenges and solutions: Supporting school success for Latina students. *The National Journal of Urban Education and Practice*, (2)1, 16-21.
- Monaghan, E. J. (1988). Literacy instruction and gender in Colonial New England. *American Quarterly* (40), 18-41.
- National Association for Single Sex Public Education. Retrieved July 2009 from:
<http://www.singlesexschools.org/schools-schools.htm>
- Riordan, C. (1990). *Girls and boys in school: Together or separate?* New York: Teachers College Press.
- Sadker, M., & Sadker, D. (1995). *Failing at Fairness: How our schools cheat girls*. New York: Touchstone.
- Sexton, P. (1976). *Women in education*. Indiana: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.
- Stock, P. (1978). *Better than rubies: A history of women's education*. New York: G.P. Putnam & Sons.
- Tyack, D., & Hansot, E. (1990). *Learning together: A history of coeducation in American schools*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- U.S. Department of Education (2008). Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development, Policy and Program Studies Service: *Early Implementation of Public Single-Sex Schools: Perceptions and Characteristics*, Washington, D.C.