

San Jose State University

SJSU ScholarWorks

Faculty Publications, Meteorology and Climate
Science

Meteorology and Climate Science

July 2014

Hemispheric Comparison of Cirrus Cloud Evolution Using in Situ Measurements in HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations

Minghui Diao

National Center for Atmospheric Research, minghui.diao@sjsu.edu

Mark Zondlo

Princeton University

Andrew Heymsfield

National Center for Atmospheric Research

Stuart Beaton

National Center for Atmospheric Research

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/meteorology_pub




Part of the [Climate Commons](#), and the [Meteorology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Minghui Diao, Mark Zondlo, Andrew Heymsfield, and Stuart Beaton. "Hemispheric Comparison of Cirrus Cloud Evolution Using in Situ Measurements in HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations" *Faculty Publications, Meteorology and Climate Science* (2014).

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Meteorology and Climate Science at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications, Meteorology and Climate Science by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.


Hemispheric comparison of cirrus cloud evolution using in situ measurements in HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations



Minghui Diao^{1,2}, Mark A. Zondlo³, Andrew J. Heymsfield¹, and Stuart P. Beaton¹

NCAR ASP postdoctoral fellowship; National Science Foundation (Grant No. EEC-0540832); NSF ATM-0840732 for VCSEL (Princeton); HIPPO Global campaign Science Team

1. National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)
 2. NCAR Advanced Study Program (ASP)
 3. Civil and Environmental Engr, Princeton University, NJ
- Contact: diao@ucar.edu



Cirrus cloud and ice supersaturation




Cirrus clouds have large but highly uncertain impacts on Earth's climate [Chen et al. 2000]. However, due to lack of datasets with both microscale resolution and global coverage, it is unclear if hemispheric differences exist in cirrus cloud microphysical properties and their evolution. Here we compare the time evolution of cirrus clouds' horizontal segments: ice crystal regions (ICRs) and ice supersaturated regions (ISSRs) at temperature (T) ≤ -40 °C and show different result with previous studies.

VCSEL hygrometer on the NSF Gulfstream V research plane



HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations (HIPPO) Global campaign (2009-2011)

Latitudinal: 87°N to 67°S
Vertical: ~600 transects from surface to the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UT/LS)
Resolution: ~200 m
Duration: HIPPO ~400 hr
 Here HIPPO deployment #2 – 5 are used, with ice crystal measurements.



GV instruments	Measurement	Accuracy	Precision
VCSEL hygrometer	Water vapor (Zondlo et al., 2010)	6%	≤ 1%
2-DC	Ice particle number density (Nc) and mean diameter (Dc) (Korolev et al., 2011)	Measurement range: 25-1600 μm	log(# observations)

Definitions of ice crystal regions (ICRs) and ice supersaturated regions (ISSRs)


Phase 1: Clear-sky ISSRs



Phase 2: Ice crystal nucleation



Phase 3: Ice crystal early growth




Phase 4: Ice crystal later growth



Phase 5: Sedimentation/evaporation



one ISSR + ICR sample




References:

- [1] Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, and A. J., Beaton. Hemispheric comparison of cirrus cloud evolution using in situ measurements in HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 10.1002/2014GL059873, 2014.
- [2] Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Beaton, S. P. and Rogers, D. C.: Evolution of ice crystal regions on the microscale based on in situ observations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 3473-3478, doi:10.1002/grl.50665, 2013.
- [3] Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Avallone, L. M., Paige, M. E., Beaton, S. P., Campos, T. and Rogers, D. C. Cloud-scale ice supersaturated regions spatially correlate with high water vapor heterogeneities. *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 13, 22249–22296, 2013.

Ice supersaturation frequency in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres

Probability distribution of ISS in clear-sky (left) and in-cloud (right)




ISS frequency 25 mb × 10° grids


Total number of observations

Hemispheric comparison of RHi evolution

NH



SH




NH - SH ΔRHi and 95% interval

Ice crystal number density (Nc) and mean diameter (Dc) evolution

Number density (Nc)

Mean diameter (Dc)




Growth rate of a single ice crystal

$$\frac{dD_{ice}}{dt} = \frac{1}{D_{ice}} (S_{v,out} - S_{v,eq}) * G_i(T, P)$$

Both NH and SH show: [1] ice crystal number density continues to increase throughout the growth of ICRs; [2] mean diameter of ice crystals merging into a constant value as ice crystals grow, which agrees with the theory of ice crystal growth rate.

Relative lifetime of five phases for ISSR+ICR



Conclusions:

1. Similar clear-sky ISS frequencies were observed in the NH and SH, as well as similar mean RHi, Nc and Dc along the five-phase evolution of ISSRs and ICRs.
2. Similar relative timescale of each of five phases between the NH and SH.
3. This result is different from the observations of *Ovarlez et al.* [2002] which showed that the clear-sky ISS frequency is higher in the SH than NH.

Implications to understanding hemispheric differences in cirrus cloud formation and evolution

1. Hemispheric comparisons are sensitive to the sampling domain and resolution (Kahn et al. 2009), which points out the importance of additional in situ observations across large longitudinal and latitudinal domains.
2. Even though the aerosol optical depth in the SH is ~1 order of magnitude smaller than that in the NH (Clarke and Kapustin, 2010), no strong hemispheric differences were observed HIPPO. Question: whether the hemispheric differences in aerosol loading would directly influence the formation and evolution of ice crystals, or whether the SH has sufficient amount of efficient ice nuclei.
3. Future study needs to address individual factors: e.g., large scale dynamics, seasonal variability, land versus ocean differences, and aerosol indirect effects.