

1-1-2008

Fine Arts and Solow Model: A Clarification

Yeung-Nan Shieh

San Jose State University, yeung-nan.shieh@sjsu.edu

Jason Kao

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/econ_pub



Part of the [Economics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Yeung-Nan Shieh and Jason Kao. "Fine Arts and Solow Model: A Clarification" *Economics Bulletin* (2008): 1-20.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Economics at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.

Fine arts in Solow model: a clarification

Jason (Jen-Shan) Kao
Taiwan Institute of Economic Research

Yeung-Nan Shieh
Department of Economics, San Jose State University

Abstract

This paper shows that the Saito version of Solow growth model contains an error. It corrects this error. It further applies some built-in functions of Mathematica to the correct version of Solow economic growth model and derives some interesting graphs from the Solow convergent paths.

We thank M. Wen and especially an anonymous referee for valuable discussions and comments. Any errors are sole responsibility of the authors.

Citation: Kao, Jason (Jen-Shan) and Yeung-Nan Shieh, (2008) "Fine arts in Solow model: a clarification." *Economics Bulletin*, Vol. 1, No. 2 pp. 1-20

Submitted: January 11, 2008. **Accepted:** February 22, 2008.

URL: <http://economicsbulletin.vanderbilt.edu/2008/volume1/EB-08A00002A.pdf>

1. Introduction

Recently, in *Economics Bulletin*, Saito (2007) applies some built-in functions of Mathematica to derive some interesting and important graphical illustrations of the famous Solow full employment equilibrium growth model. Saito's graphical illustrations are based on the following differential equation:

$$\dot{k} = (1 - s)f(k) - (n + \delta)k \quad (6)$$

where $k = K/L$, n = labor force growth rate, δ = depreciation rate, s = saving rate, and the dot indicates the rate of change over time. To utilize the algorithm for computing, Saito assumes that $f(k) = k^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and evaluates whether $|k^* - k|$ is larger than ε or not. If $|k^* - k| > \varepsilon$ turns to "TRUE", Saito proceeds to the next stage with capital accumulation according to

$$k_{j+1} = k_j + \dot{k}_j = k_j + (1 - s)f(k_j) - (n + \delta)k_j \quad (10)$$

where k^* (capital stock per labor at steady state) = $[(1 - s)/(n + \delta)]^{1/(1-\alpha)}$, k_j = the capital stock per labor at j -th stage of computing for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where k_0 is the positive initial capital stock per labor. Once $|k^* - k| > \varepsilon$ turns to "FALSE", then the computing stops. However, equations (6) and (10) contain an error. They are different from the basic differential equation of Solow growth model. Since the purpose of Saito (2007) is to offer alternative analyzing tools in teaching economic growth theory, it is necessary to provide a correct version of Solow growth model.

The purpose of this paper is to correct the error in equations (6) and (10). Using the correct version of Solow's differential equation, this paper further applies Saito's numerical examples to obtain some different graphical illustrations based on Solow's convergent paths.

2. Solow growth model

The Solow full employment equilibrium growth model considers an economy that produces a single consumption-investment good. This economy can be described by the following system of five equations, in which the government sector is ignored for the sake of simplicity.

$$Y = C + I \quad (1)$$

$$Y = F(L, K) \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{K} = I + \delta K \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{L}/L = n \quad (4)$$

$$C = cY = (1 - s)Y \quad (5)$$

where Y = output, C = consumption, I = investment, F = production function, L = labor force, K = capital, δ = depreciation rate, n = labor force growth rate, c = consumption rate (or marginal propensity to consume), s = saving rate (or marginal propensity to save), and $c + s = 1$. Note that Saito incorrectly specifies $C = sY$.

Equation (1) assumes equilibrium in the goods market, (2) specifies the aggregate production function, (3) states that the capital accumulation is equal to investment plus depreciation, (4) assumes a constant rate of growth of labor force, and (5) assumes that a constant proportion of output is saved.

Assuming constant return to scale, (2) can be rewritten as

$$y = f(k) \equiv F(1, k) \text{ where } y \equiv Y/L \text{ and } k \equiv K/L \quad (6)$$

Further, assume that the Inada conditions are satisfied, that is

$$f'(k) > 0, f(k) - kf'(k) > 0, f''(k) < 0 \text{ for all } k \quad (7)$$

$$f(0) = 0, f'(0) = \infty \text{ and } f'(\infty) = 0 \quad (8)$$

It can be shown easily that $f' = \partial F / \partial K$ (marginal product of capital), $f - kf' = \partial F / \partial L$ (marginal product of labor), and $f'' < 0$ if and only if $\partial^2 F / \partial K^2 < 0$ and $\partial^2 F / \partial L^2 < 0$.

Using (1), (2), (3) and (6), we obtain

$$f(k) = Y/L = (C + I)/L = C/L + \dot{K}/L - \delta(K/L) \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, using $k \equiv (K/L)$ and (4), we have $\dot{k} = \dot{K}/L - nk$. Thus, we obtain

$$\dot{k} = f(k) - C/L - (n + \delta)k \quad (10)$$

The path in which $\dot{k} = 0$ is called a steady state and $k = k^*$. It can be shown from (1), (3), (4), (6) and (10) that along the steady state, L , K , Y , C and I will grow at same rate. Thus, the steady state is also called a *balanced growth path*.

If we rewrite (5) in per labor terms, that is $C/L = (1 - s)y$, the Solow model can be closed by substituting $C/L = (1 - s)f(k)$ into (10)

$$\dot{k} = sf(k) - (n + \delta)k \quad (11)$$

which is the basic differential equation of the Solow neoclassical growth model, see Solow (1956, p. 69) and Mankiew (2007, p. 207). Note that equation (11) should be Saito's equation (6).

Next, for computation purpose, we follow Saito (2007) and define the convergence as: For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the model has reached its steady state when $|k^* - k| \leq \varepsilon$. We further consider the case where $f(k) = k^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Substituting this into (11), we obtain

$$\dot{k} = sk^\alpha - (n + \delta)k \quad (12)$$

The equilibrium value of k^* is obtained by setting $\dot{k} = 0$ in (12) as

$$k^* = [s/(n + \delta)]^{1/(1-\alpha)} \quad (13)$$

Let k_j be the capital stock at j -th stage of computing for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $k_0 > 0$ is the given initial capital stock. Starting from k_0 , we evaluate whether $|k^* - k|$ is larger than ε or not. If $|k^* - k| > \varepsilon$ turns to “TRUE”, we proceed to the next stage with capital accumulation according to

$$k_{j+1} = k_j + \dot{k}_j = k_j + sk_j^\alpha - (n + \delta)k_j \quad (14)$$

Once $|k^* - k| > \varepsilon$ turns to “FALSE”, then stop computing to return the total number of steps. It is clear that equation (14) would be the correct version of Saito’s equation (10).

3. Programming code

In Saito’s programming code, he writes

(* Definition of each variable *)

(*

s : saving rate,
n : population growth rate,
d : capital depreciation rate,
k0 : initial per – capita capital stock,
a : parameter on production function,
e : epsilon to determine convergence,
max : maximum number of iterations

*)

Solow [s_ , n_ , d_ , k0_ , e_ , max_] :=

(

(* Initializing parameters *)

j = 0; (* Steps to the steady state *)

k = k0; (* Initial capital stock *)

b = 1/(1 – a); (* Just for convergence *)

z = ((1 – s)/(n + d))^b; (* Steady state capital stock *)

(* Loop while convergence *)

While [

Abs [z – k] > e && j < max , (* Evaluate whether close enough *)

k = k + (1 – s)*k^a – (n + d)*k; (* Accumulate capital stock *)

j++ (* Count each step *)

];

(* Return total steps as the result *)

Return [j];

)

According to the correct version of Solow growth model, we make the following changes,

$$z = ((1 - s)/(n + d))^b; (* \text{ Steady state capital stock } *)$$

$$z = (s/(n + d))^b; (* \text{ Steady state capital stock } *)$$

and

$$k = k + (1 - s)*k^a - (n + d)*k; (* \text{ Accumulate capital stock } *)$$

$$k = k + s*k^a - (n + d)*k; (* \text{ Accumulate capital stock } *)$$

Using this revised programming code with $(s, n, d, k_0, a, e, \max) = (0.4, 0.1, 0.01, 1 \text{ or } 10, 0.3, 0.00001, 5000)$ for Figure 1 to Figure 8, and $(s, n, d, k_0, a, e, \max) = (0.4, 0.1, 0.1, 1 \text{ or } 10, 0.3, 0.001, 1000)$ for Figure 9 to Figure 13, we derive thirteen figures.¹ It is easy to see the graphical illustrations are different from Saito's.²

Finally, it is worth mentioning that Saito's specification of the horizontal axis (x) and the vertical axis (y) contains some errors. Taking Figure 1 as an example, the programming code is

```
(* Drawing initial capital vs depreciation rate *)
DensityPlot [
  Solow [0.4, x, 0.01, y, 0.3, 0.00001, 5000], {x, 0, 1}, {y, 0.001, 25},
  PlotPoints -> {800, 800}, Mesh -> False, ColorFunction -> Hue
]
```

Obviously, $x = n$ and $y = k_0$. Thus, (* Drawing initial capital vs depreciation rate *) should be changed to (* Drawing initial capital vs population growth rate *). We correct some Saito's errors in his programming code. For the revised version, please see **Appendix**.

4. Concluding remarks

We have derived the basic differential equation of the neoclassical growth model from the well-known Solow's 1956 paper and shown that Saito incorrectly specified the consumption function as: $C = sY$ where $s =$ saving rate. The correct specification of consumption function should be $C = (1 - s)Y$. Based on the correct basic differential equation, we follow Saito (2007) and apply some built-in functions of Mathematica to derive some graphical illustrations from Solow's

¹ . Equation (12) can also be specified as: $\dot{k} = (1 - c)k^a - (n + \delta)k$ where $c = 1 - s$. Applying this formulation into the programming code, as a referee points out, the computation will take a longer computing time because of an additional "subtraction" step.

² . The referee also points out that in the graphical presentation s and $1 - s = c$ are point symmetric to each other on horizontal or vertical axes against $s = 0.5$. The referee further points out that when graphics do not involve saving rate (s), experiments in the original paper, $s = 1 - c = 1 - 0.4 = 0.6$ means $s = 0.4$ in the corrected version and two papers provide complement experiments.

convergent paths. While corrected Saito's misspecification, our graphs show that Solow model displays convergent growth paths.

References

Mankiw, N. Gregory (2007) *Macroeconomics*, 6/e. Worth Publishers. New York

Saito, Tetsuya (2007) 'Fine arts in Solow model' *Economics Bulletin*, **1**, 3, 1-20.

Solow, Robert (1956) 'A contribution to the theory of economic growth' *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **70**(1), 65-94.

Solow, Robert (1957) 'Technical change and the aggregate production' *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, **39**(3), 312-320.

Wilfram, Stephan (2003) *The Mathematica Book*, 5/e. Wilfram Media Inc. Champaign, IL.

Appendix

A Programming Code

In this source code, we correct some errors in Satio's programming code especially the specification of the x axis and the y axis. Based on this revised version, we will be able to present the correct figure legend.

```
(* Definition of each variable *)
(* s : saving rate ,
   n : population growth rate ,
   d : capital depreciation rate ,
   k0 : initial per - capita capital stock ,
   a : parameter on production function ,
   e : epsilon to determine convergence ,
   max : maximum number of iterations *)

Solow [s_ , n_ , d_ , k0_ , a_ , e_ , max_ ] :=
  ((* Initializing parameters *)
  j = 0; (* Steps to the steady state *)
  k = k0; (* Initial capital stock *)
  b = 1/(1 - a); (* Just for convenience *)
  z = (s/(n + d))^b; (* Steady state capital stock *)
  (* Loop while convergence *)
  While [Abs [z - k] > e && j < max , (* Evaluate whether close enough *)
  k = k + s*k^a - (n + d)*k; (* Accumulate capital stock *)
  j++ (* Count each step *)];
  (* Return total steps as the result *)
  Return [j];)

SolowLegend [T_] :=
(max = Max [T]; DensityPlot [x/max , {x, 0, max } , {y, 0, 1} ,
  PlotPoints -> {100 , 100} ,
  Axes -> {True , False } ,
  Ticks -> Automatic ,
  Mesh -> False ,
  ColorFunction -> Hue ,
  Frame -> False ,
  AspectRatio -> 0.05 ,
  TextStyle -> { FontSize -> 8}])

(* Draw pictures *)
(* Figure 1: Drawing initial capital vs population growth rate *)
DensityPlot [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.01 , y, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0.001 , 25} ,
  PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} ,
  Mesh -> False ,
  ColorFunction -> Hue]

(*Generate legend *)
(*This process generates legend as follows ;
(1) Apply Solow function to get maximum steps ;
(2) Principally minimum is always zero -- consider the case k0 = k*;
(3) Then draw legend applying DensityPlot and Hue functions *)
T = Table [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.01 , y, 0.3 , 0.00001,5000],{x,0,1,0.1},{y, 0.001,25,0.1}];
T = Flatten [T];
```



```
SolowLegend [T];
```

```
(* Figure 2: Drawing 2D for initial capital vs population growth rate *)  
ListPlot [Table [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.01 , 5, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.0001}]]
```

```
(* Figure 3.1: Drawing 3D for initial capital vs population growth rate *)  
Plot3D [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.01 , y, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0.001 , 25} ,  
PlotPoints -> {300 , 300} , Mesh -> False]
```

```
(* Figure 3.2: Drawing 3D for initial capital vs population growth rate *)  
Show [graph , ViewPoint -> {-1, -0.5 , -0.5} , PlotRange -> {0, 300}]  
(* Change viewpoint *)
```

```
(* Figure 4: Drawing initial capital vs saving rate *)  
DensityPlot [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.01 , y, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0.001 , 25} ,  
PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]  
(* Generate legend *)  
T = Flatten [T];  
SolowLegend [T];
```

```
(* Figure 5: Drawing 3D for initial capital vs saving rate *)  
Plot3D [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.01 , y, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0.001 , 25} ,  
PlotPoints -> {300 , 300} , Mesh -> False]
```

```
(* Figure 6: Drawing population growth rate vs saving rate for  $k_0 = 10$  *)  
DensityPlot [Solow [x, y, 0.01 , 10, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,  
PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]  
(* Generate legend *)  
T = Table [Solow [x, y, 0.01 , 10, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];  
T = Flatten [T];  
SolowLegend [T];
```

```
(* Figure 7: Drawing population growth rate vs saving rate for  $k_0 = 1$  *)  
DensityPlot [Solow [x, y, 0.01 , 1, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,  
PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]  
(* Generate legend *)  
T = Table [Solow [x, y, 0.01 , 1, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];  
T = Flatten [T];  
SolowLegend [T];
```

```
(* Figure 8: Drawing depreciation rate vs population growth *)  
DensityPlot [Solow [0.4 , x, y, 1, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,  
PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]  
(* Generate legend *)  
T = Table [Solow [0.4 , x, y, 1, 0.3 , 0.00001 , 5000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];  
T = Flatten [T];  
SolowLegend [T];
```

```
(* Figure 9: Drawing share of capital input vs saving rate for  $k_0 = 1$  *)  
(*d and n are redefined as 0.1 for computational reason .By the same reason , e = 0.001 and max = 1000 are  
also redefined.*)
```

```
(* NOTE : Ignore some errors caused by dividing 0 *)  
DensityPlot [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.1 , 1, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,  
PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]  
(* Generate legend *)  
T = Table [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.1 , 1, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];
```

```
T = Flatten [T];
SolowLegend [T];
```

(* Figure 10: Drawing share of capital input vs saving rate for $k_0 = 10$ *)

(* NOTE : Ignore some errors caused by dividing 0 *)

```
DensityPlot [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.1 , 10, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,
  PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]
(* Generate legend *)
T = Table [Solow [x, 0.1 , 0.1 , 10, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];
T = Flatten [T];
SolowLegend [T];
```

(* Figure 11: Drawing share of capital input vs population growth rate for $k_0 = 1$ *)

(* NOTE : Ignore some errors caused by dividing 0 *)

```
DensityPlot [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.1 , 1, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,
  PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]
(* Generate legend *)
T = Table [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.1 , 1, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];
T = Flatten [T];
SolowLegend [T];
```

(* Figure 12: Drawing share of capital input vs population growth rate for $k_0 = 10$ *)

(* NOTE : Ignore some errors caused by dividing 0 *)

```
DensityPlot [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.1 , 10, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1} , {y, 0, 1} ,
  PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]
(* Generate legend *)
T = Table [Solow [0.4 , x, 0.1 , 10, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];
T = Flatten [T];
SolowLegend [T];
```

(*Figure 13: Drawing share of capital input vs initial capital *)

(* NOTE : Ignore some errors caused by dividing 0 *)

```
DensityPlot [Solow [0.4 , 0.1 , 0.1 , x, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 200} , {y, 0, 1} ,
  PlotPoints -> {800 , 800} , Mesh -> False , ColorFunction -> Hue]
(* Generate legend *)
T = Table [Solow [0.4 , 0.1 , 0.1 , x, y, 0.001 , 1000] , {x, 0, 1, 0.1} , {y, 0, 1, 0.1}];
T = Flatten [T];
SolowLegend [T];
```




Figure 1: Initial capital stock vs population growth rate




Figure 2: Initial capital stock vs population growth




Figure 3.1: Initial capital stock v.s. population growth rate




Figure 3.2: Initial capital stock v.s. population growth rate
 (Note: Figure 3.1 and 3.2 are the same plot. They are just presented in different view angle)




Figure 4: Initial capital stock vs saving rate





Figure 5: Initial capital stock vs saving rate



Legend: Number of steps to the steady state




Figure 6: Population growth rate vs saving rate ($k_0 = 10$)




Figure 7: Population growth rate vs saving rate ($k_0 = 1$)




Figure 8: Depreciation rate vs population growth




Figure 9: Share of capital input vs saving rate ($k_0 = 1$)




Figure 10: Share of capital input vs saving rate ($k_0 = 10$)




Figure 11: Share of capital input vs population growth rate ($k_0 = 1$)



Figure 12: Share of capital input vs population growth rate ($k_0 = 10$)




Figure 13: Share of capital input vs initial capital stock