December 2014

Thermal Stress and Predation Risk Trigger Distinct Transcriptomic Responses in the Intertidal Snail *Nucella lapillus*

Nathaniel D. Chu
Northeastern University

Luke P. Miller
Northeastern University, luke.miller@sjsu.edu

Stefan T. Kaluziak
Northeastern University

Geoffrey C. Trussell
Northeastern University

Steven V. Vollmer
Northeastern University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/biol_pub

Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Nathaniel D. Chu, Luke P. Miller, Stefan T. Kaluziak, Geoffrey C. Trussell, and Steven V. Vollmer. "Thermal Stress and Predation Risk Trigger Distinct Transcriptomic Responses in the Intertidal Snail *Nucella lapillus*" *Molecular Ecology* (2014): 6104-6113.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications, Biological Sciences by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.

1
2 Thermal stress and predation risk trigger distinct transcriptomic responses in the intertidal snail

3 *Nucella lapillus*

4
5
6 Nathaniel D. Chu^{1, 3*}, Luke P. Miller^{1, 2}, Stefan T. Kaluziak¹, Geoffrey C. Trussell¹, and Steven
7 V. Vollmer¹

8
9 1: Northeastern University, Marine Science Center, 430 Nahant Road, Nahant, MA 01908, USA

10 2: Stanford University, Hopkins Marine Station, Pacific Grove, CA 93950, USA

11 3: Current address: Microbiology Graduate Program, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
12 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

13
14 Keywords: thermal stress, nonconsumptive effects, *Nucella lapillus*, predation risk, RNA-seq,
15 transcriptomics

16
17 * Corresponding author: Nathaniel D. Chu

18 Address: Microbiology Graduate Program, Building 68-139, Massachusetts Institute of
19 Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

20 E-mail: ndchu@mit.edu

21
22 Running headline: Transcriptomic responses to intertidal stress

ABSTRACT

Thermal stress and predation risk have profound effects on rocky shore organisms, triggering changes in their feeding behavior, morphology, and metabolism. Studies of thermal stress have shown that underpinning such changes in several intertidal species are specific shifts in gene and protein expression (e.g., upregulation of heat-shock proteins). But relatively few studies have examined genetic responses to predation risk. Here, we use next-generation RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to examine the transcriptomic (mRNA) response of the snail *Nucella lapillus* to thermal stress and predation risk. We found that, like other intertidal species, *N. lapillus* displays a pronounced genetic response to thermal stress by upregulating many heat-shock proteins and other molecular chaperones. In contrast, the presence of a crab predator (*Carcinus maenas*) triggered few significant changes in gene expression in our experiment, and this response showed no significant overlap with the snail's response to thermal stress. These different gene expression profiles suggest that thermal stress and predation risk could pose distinct and potentially additive challenges for *N. lapillus* and that genetic responses to biotic stresses such as predation risk might be more complex and less uniform across species than genetic responses to abiotic stresses such as thermal stress.

INTRODUCTION

Rocky shore organisms must regularly cope with intense abiotic and biotic stresses. Chief among these is thermal stress, which often determines intertidal organisms' biogeographic ranges and clinal zonation (Somero 2002; Helmuth *et al.* 2006). Thermal stress can also alter foraging behavior (Jones and Boulding 1999; Pincebourde *et al.* 2008), select for heat-resistant phenotypes (Etter 1988; Dong *et al.* 2008), and induce physiological and cellular changes (Lockwood *et al.* 2010; Connor and Gracey 2011). Cellular responses (e.g., changes in protein and gene expression) to thermal stress have been studied in a variety of intertidal organisms, including algae (Collén *et al.* 2007; Pearson *et al.* 2010; Liu *et al.* 2013), mussels (Gracey *et al.* 2008; Lockwood *et al.* 2010; Connor and Gracey 2011; Place *et al.* 2012), and oysters (Lang *et al.* 2009). A common pattern in these studies was upregulation of genes encoding heat-shock proteins, which act as molecular chaperones during cellular stress (Feder and Hofmann 1999), as well as upregulation of genes encoding apoptosis regulators and other protein chaperones.

Recently, a growing body of work has demonstrated that predation risk can also be a major source of stress for rocky shore organisms (Long and Hay 2012; Benedetti-Cecchi and Trussell 2014). In addition to exerting well-known consumptive effects on their prey—whereby a predator alters prey population density by actively consuming individuals (Paine 1966)—predators also exert nonconsumptive effects, where the risk of predation alone alters prey behavior and morphology (Trussell *et al.* 2006a). Rocky shore organisms often detect predation risk via waterborne cues (Trussell *et al.* 2003) and respond by reducing foraging activity, which can produce cascading indirect effects throughout the community. The strength of these effects can rival or exceed those caused by predators actively consuming prey (Trussell *et al.* 2006a). Moreover, as with thermal stress, emerging evidence indicates that stress imposed by predation

risk can trigger elevated respiration (Rovero *et al.* 1999) and increased production of heat-shock proteins (Pijanowska and Kloc 2004; Pauwels *et al.* 2005; Slos and Stoks 2008), antioxidant enzymes (Slos and Stoks 2008), and stress hormones (Creel *et al.* 2009; Sheriff *et al.* 2009). These physiological shifts likely exert additional energetic costs on prey species and may explain why some prey grow less efficiently under predation risk (Trussell *et al.* 2006b, 2008).

To date, only a few studies have examined the genetic responses of organisms to predation risk. These studies were done on planktonic crustaceans (Pauwels *et al.* 2005; Schwarzenberger *et al.* 2009; Miyakawa *et al.* 2010; Spanier *et al.* 2010), tadpoles (Mori *et al.* 2009), guppies (Fraser *et al.* 2011), three-spined sticklebacks (Sanogo *et al.* 2011), and goldfish (Kagawa and Mugiya 2002). Many earlier studies examining molecular responses to predation risk used methods similar to those used to study abiotic stresses such as thermal and oxidative stress, often focusing on expression of heat-shock proteins or antioxidant enzymes (Kagawa and Mugiya 2002; Pijanowska and Kloc 2004; Pauwels *et al.* 2005; Slos and Stoks 2008). But later studies, employing high-throughput tools such as microarrays or transcriptomics, have shown few similarities in how species change gene expression in response to predation risk, which contrasts with well-established gene expression patterns during thermal stress (Mori *et al.* 2009; Fraser *et al.* 2011). Consequently, it is difficult to conclude from research to date whether predation stress triggers similar transcriptomic responses across many species—as heat stress does—or whether each species mounts a unique type of genetic response to its predators.

Here, we examine the genetic responses of the intertidal snail *Nucella lapillus* to both thermal stress and predation risk. *Nucella lapillus* sits in the middle of a three-level food chain, between its predator (the crab *Carcinus maenas*) and prey (the mussel *Mytilus edulis* and the barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*). In this system, waterborne cues from *C. maenas* induce

decreased feeding, lower growth rates, and thicker shells in *N. lapillus* (Stickle *et al.* 1985; Palmer 1990; Trussell *et al.* 2003, 2006b); in turn, reductions in feeding rates can produce strong cascading indirect effects in the resident community (Trussell *et al.* 2003). Past work has also documented the effects of thermal stress on the snail's color polymorphisms (Etter 1988), population structure (Chu *et al.* 2014), and protein expression (Gardeström *et al.* 2007). Using RNA-seq, we sought to further understand the genetic responses to a well-characterized abiotic stress (thermal stress) and a less-understood biotic stress (predation risk) within this well-studied intertidal food chain. In addition, we sought to uncover the potential overlap between these genetic responses to better understand how the interactive effects of thermal stress and predation risk may influence *N. lapillus*. Although thermal stress and predation risk often have similar organismal and ecological effects—e.g., decreased foraging and lower metabolic efficiency—we found that *N. lapillus*'s genetic responses to these factors were markedly different. The snail responded to thermal stress in a manner similar to other species, but we found few significant changes in gene expression in response to predation risk. In the context of past research, our findings suggest that genetic responses to biotic stresses, such as predation risk, may be more complex and less uniform across species than genetic responses to abiotic stresses, such as thermal stress.

METHODS

To examine the genetic responses of *N. lapillus* to thermal stress and predation risk, we exposed snails to three treatments: control conditions (no stress), risk cues from the predatory green crab *C. maenas* (predation risk), and elevated temperature (thermal stress). In June 2010, we collected snails from Nahant, MA, near Northeastern University's Marine Science Center, where we

performed our experiments. First, snails were acclimated for almost two months in aquaria with an ambient supply of seawater from the Marine Science Center's flow-through system and a steady supply of mussel prey (*M. edulis*). During the acclimation period, the snails were not directly exposed to crab risk cues beyond any trace cues that may have come in from the sea water system. Second, snails were placed in experimental mesocosms for a total of 17 days (during August and September 2010). Third, all experimental snails were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for genetic processing.

Each mesocosm had an independent water supply and a population of 30 mussels as prey, which was replenished every 6 days. Three control-treatment snails were kept at ambient temperature (15.75 ± 1.1 °C [mean $\pm$ 1 standard deviation], total range 12.1 – 20.3 °C) with an ambient supply of seawater from the Marine Science Center's flow-through system. For our predation risk treatment, four snails were kept at ambient temperature, but throughout the 17-day experimental period, their water supply first passed through a chamber containing a green crab (*C. maenas*) feeding on *N. lapillus* to simulate predation risk, as in Trussell *et al.* (2006b). For our thermal stress treatment, two snails were kept in mesocosms at ambient temperature without crabs and with an ambient supply of seawater. These snails were removed from the mesocosms, brought to an internal body temperature of 33 °C under a heat lamp over a period of 4.5 hours at a rate of 1.8 °C min⁻¹, and finally placed back in ambient-temperature mesocosms for a 2-hour recovery period before we flash-froze them for RNA-seq.

We began RNA-seq library preparation by homogenizing a total of 25 mg of tissue from each snail's head, foot, and mantle tissue in TRI Reagent. We extracted total RNA following the manufacturer's protocol and quantified total RNA using an Agilent Bioanalyzer and an RNA 6000 Pico Chip (see Figure S1). We used only samples that had an RNA integrity number (RIN)

higher than 7. We then extracted mRNA by poly A+ selection using Dynabeads Oligo(dT) from Life Technologies. We prepared unstranded Illumina mRNA-seq libraries as in Craig *et al.* (2008), with the following modifications: we used fragmentase (NEB) to fragment the cDNA libraries, we size-selected for cDNA fragments ~250 base pairs in length, and we used custom 4-base-pair barcodes for each individual. We also used random primers during first-strand synthesis to avoid 3' bias from Oligo(dT) primers. We quantified our final libraries using a Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 chip and sequenced them on an Illumina GAIIX using single-end, 50-base-pair reads.

To identify differentially expressed genes from our Illumina sequence data, we first used custom Perl scripts to filter sequence output to remove sequence reads having a Phred Quality score less than 30, more than one ambiguous nucleotide, or Illumina adapter sequences. We also filtered these sequence reads for rRNA contamination using the National Center for Biotechnology Information's (NCBI) metazoan dataset and Bowtie v 0.12.7 (Langmead *et al.* 2009), applying default parameters. To assemble our RNA sequences into contigs—each representing a putative mRNA transcript—we used Trinity with default parameters, except that we set the path-reinforcement distance to 85 to account for potential polyploidy (Grabherr *et al.* 2011). We annotated the assembled transcriptome with Blastx v 2.2.27+ against the UniProtKB Swiss-Prot database, using the BLOSUM50 substitution matrix and an e-value cutoff of 10^{-5} . We used the relatively permissive BLOSUM50 matrix because of the scarcity of genetic data for molluscs. We used Bowtie v 0.12.7 to map RNA-seq reads from each individual snail to the annotated transcriptome and used Express to quantify for each snail the number of reads mapping to each individual assembled transcript (Langmead *et al.* 2009; Roberts and Pachter 2013). The software package eXpress did not detect any significant 3' bias because of poly A+ selection (Dryad, express files). We analyzed differential expression using the DESeq2 package in R v

3.0.2, using the default adjusted *P*-value cutoff of 0.1 (Anders and Huber 2010). We also tested *P*-value cutoffs of 0.05, 0.2, and 0.3. At a cutoff of 0.05, there were no differentially expressed transcripts in the snails exposed to predation risk, and at 0.2 and 0.3 there were no additional differentially expressed transcripts beyond those identified by the default *P*-value cutoff of 0.1. Default independent filtering during DESeq2 analysis filtered out transcripts unlikely to be differentially expressed using the mean of normalized counts as a filter statistic (Anders and Huber 2010). We plotted biological processes associated with differentially expressed genes according to their functional similarity using SimRel (Schlicker *et al.* 2006) and REVIGO (Supek *et al.* 2011), setting the allowed similarity to 0.7 and querying the UniProt database.

RESULTS

Our RNA-seq analysis produced a total of 42,079,889 single-end, 50-base-pair reads after quality filtering. Each individual was represented by 1,324,128 to 7,037,731 reads (Table S1). Using pooled reads from all individuals, we assembled a 44.2-megabase transcriptome of 90,674 transcripts with an *N50* statistic of 413, meaning that over 50 percent of transcripts were longer than 413 nucleotides (Table S2); 20,922 of the transcripts (23%) annotated to a known protein-coding gene.

Our DESeq2 analyses identified 141 transcripts that were differentially expressed in snails exposed to elevated temperature versus snails kept at ambient temperature (Figure 1), and 29 of those differentially expressed transcripts annotated to 26 known protein-coding genes (Table 1, Figure 2). Of the 141 transcripts differentially expressed in snails exposed to elevated temperature, 135 of them were upregulated and 6 were downregulated. The upregulated genes included six heat-shock proteins; an additional protein chaperone (BAG family regulator 5, which

activates heat-shock protein 70); as well as genes mediating immune defenses (myeloperoxidase),
 inflammation (acyloxyacyl hydrolase), apoptosis (baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein 2,
 BAG family regulator 5, myeloperoxidase, hemocyte protein-glutamine gamma-
 glutamyltransferase), oxidation stress (peroxidase), and metabolism (acyloxyacyl hydrolase,
 acidic phospholipase A2 PA4) (Table 1, Figure 2). The most strongly upregulated genes were for
 heat-shock 70 kDa protein cognate 1 and DNAJ homolog subfamily B member 4 (also known as
 heat-shock protein 40), both of which operate as molecular chaperones during stress (Feder and
 Hofmann 1999; Borges *et al.* 2005). None of the downregulated transcripts mapped to a protein-
 coding gene. In sum, the most significantly upregulated genes were associated with stress
 response, protein folding, metabolism, and inflammatory response (Gene Ontology Consortium,
<http://www.geneontology.org>; Figure 2).

In contrast, our DESeq analyses identified only three differentially expressed transcripts
 in snails exposed to predation risk (Figure 1), and none of these three were differentially
 expressed in snails exposed to elevated temperature. Of the three differentially expressed
 transcripts induced by predation risk, one was upregulated and two were downregulated. The
 upregulated transcript did not annotate to a known gene and, from raw read counts, appears to
 have been differentially expressed in only one snail (Grubbs' test, $M = 17.25$, $SD = 23.23$, $P <$
 0.05 ; Supplementary File 2). The two downregulated transcripts both annotated to saxiphilin, a
 toxin-binding protein involved in iron transport (Morabito and Moczydlowski 1994; Negri and
 Llewellyn 1998; Figure 2; Table 1).

DISCUSSION

New, next-generation sequencing technologies are enabling transcriptomic analysis with much

greater depth, detail, and precision than before (Mardis 2008). Compared with a previously published transcriptome used to study *N. lapillus*'s response to tributyltin, a pollutant that acts as an endocrine disrupter (Pascoal *et al.* 2013), our transcriptome assembly was both larger and more annotated. The previous Roche 454-based transcriptome assembled using Roche 454's Newbler assembly software was approximately one-third the size of our assembly, with a lower annotation rate of approximately 2.5% (Pascoal *et al.* 2013), compared with our annotation rate of 23.1%. These differences reflect both the increasing throughput of next-generation sequencing platforms and the growing power of short-read assembly algorithms like those used in Trinity (Grabherr *et al.* 2011).

Our RNA-seq analyses revealed distinct gene expression responses to thermal stress and predation risk among the *N. lapillus* in our experiments. Despite similar potential effects exerted by both these stresses—such as decreased feeding and growth efficiency—the snails evidently compensated for each stress via independent processes. The difference between the genetic responses to thermal stress and predation risk suggests that these stressors could be additive in their impact on *N. lapillus*, as each stress appeared to trigger separate genetic pathways.

We found that *N. lapillus* had a genetic response to thermal stress similar to those of other intertidal organisms (Lang *et al.* 2009; Place *et al.* 2012), involving the upregulation of genes associated with multiple heat-shock proteins, apoptosis, and other molecular chaperones. Heat-shock proteins are a well-described set of proteins mediating cellular damage, which are often upregulated in response to elevated temperature and other environmental stresses, such as oxidation, and these proteins occur in all three phylogenetic domains (Feder and Hofmann 1999; Kregel 2002). The magnitude of *N. lapillus*'s response, including upregulating heat-shock 70 protein by more than 23 times, likely reflects the extreme temperature variation that the species

experiences in the intertidal zone (Somero 2002). We also observed a strong upregulation (by 8.69 times) of acyloxyacyl hydrolase, which is associated with inflammation responses (Hagen *et al.* 1991; Cody *et al.* 1997) and lipid metabolism (Munford and Hunter 1992). These differentially expressed genes show that when exposed to high temperatures, *N. lapillus* mounts a significant response, stabilizing cellular and molecular machinery and potentially altering metabolic pathways to meet additional energetic needs. These results align with thermal-stress experiments in other species, which often show similar shifts in gene expression (Lang *et al.* 2009; Connor and Gracey 2011; Place *et al.* 2012).

In contrast to the response we observed to thermal stress, we found a less pronounced response to predation risk. The single upregulated transcript appears to have been upregulated in only one of the snails exposed to predation risk (Supplementary File 2). The two downregulated transcripts annotated to saxiphilin, a protein that binds saxotoxin, a well-known paralytic shellfish toxin (Negri and Llewellyn 1998). It is unclear what role this protein might play in a response to predation risk. In addition, it appears that six related genes that were downregulated in response to thermal stress appear to be similarly downregulated in response to predation risk (Dryad, read count table: transcripts comp34986_c0_seq[1, 4-8]), although DESeq2 did not identify these genes as statistically significant. These genes are unannotated and merit further investigation because of their potential role in responses to both thermal stress and predation risk.

We were surprised that few genes were differentially expressed in response to predation risk, given that such risk has been shown to induce strong changes in the snail's behavior [e.g., predator avoidance (Matassa and Trussell 2011)], morphology [e.g., shell thickening (Palmer 1990)], and metabolism [e.g., reduced growth efficiency (Trussell *et al.* 2006b)]. It may be that our sampling missed the temporal scale at which predation risk triggers changes in gene

expression: perhaps the snails had not yet responded to predator cues or they had become acclimated or desensitized to them during the experiment. Such a sampling effect would appear unlikely, however, given that (1) the ecological effects of predation risk in *N. lapillus* persist at high levels for weeks or longer (Matassa and Trussell 2011); (2) snails were acclimated in crab-free mesocosms before all experiments, thus minimizing potential preexperimental exposure to predator cues; and we sampled during a peak period of predation risk effects documented in this system (Trussell *et al.* 2006a, 2006b). Furthermore, other studies have detected significant physiological effects after as little as 12 days of incubation (Matassa and Trussell 2011), and snails that were maintained in parallel with this experiment (from the same collection and acclimation period, used in a different study) showed significant decreased growth efficiency and foraging behavior in responses to crab cues during our 17-day incubation period (Miller *et al.* 2014). It is also possible that *N. lapillus*'s genetic response to predation risk is tissue specific, so by pooling tissue types, we might have missed a response.

Finally, our depth of sequencing may not have been sufficient to capture a response to predation risk, particularly if changes in gene expression were modest. This possibility could have been exacerbated by DESeq2's analysis pipeline, which filters out genes if the mean of normalized counts is below a given threshold (Anders and Huber 2010). By filtering out such low-expression genes, DESeq2 reduces the probability of false positives and increases statistical power (Bourgon *et al.* 2010), but it also increase the chance of false negatives for genes expressed at very low levels. Our sequencing depth may also not have been sufficient to cover *N. lapillus*'s genome, which is estimated to be approximately 2.6 gigabases (Pascoe *et al.* 2004). This species' genome has not been sequenced, and mapping to a *de novo* transcriptome assembly is inherently less efficient than mapping to a sequenced genome.

Our results suggest that when applying transcriptomics to study complex ecological phenomena, one must keep in mind the limitations of RNA-seq and take into account the experimental design and sequencing depth used. The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) Consortium's guidelines for RNA-seq studies suggest a minimum of two biological replicates and 30 million paired-end reads per sample in human studies (<https://www.encodeproject.org/>), although some research has reported diminishing returns after 10 million reads (Liu *et al.* 2013). Indeed, quite a few recent studies do not meet ENCODE's read depth standards, in part, because of the sequencing costs. Such variations highlight the importance of experimental context when interpreting RNA-seq results, which are comparative. In our study, the detection of few differentially expressed genes in response to predation risk likely suggests that responding to predation involves more subtle changes in gene expression than responding to thermal stress. More detailed sampling and sequencing may be needed to uncover these processes. As sequencing technology and RNA-seq methodologies continue to mature, the sensitivity of these tools will no doubt grow and costs decrease, which should enable us to find and clarify phenomena we might have missed at first.

Even so, if more frequent sampling, tissue separation, or greater sequencing depth were necessary to identify differentially expressed genes in response to predation risk, these factors alone would not likely explain the comparatively less extensive genetic response we found to predation risk than to thermal stress. This notable difference is consistent with the idea that elevated temperature poses a more critical threat to *N. lapillus* than predation and that the extreme daily and seasonal thermal fluctuations of the intertidal zone are the primary drivers of stress on rocky shores (Helmuth and Hofmann 2001; Somero 2002). Even though the ecological impacts of moderate thermal stress and predation risk can be similar in magnitude (Miller *et al.* 2014), the

intensity of thermal stress in intertidal habitats may require a more extensive genetic response to survive periods of high temperature. In contrast, the spatial distribution and temporal scales of predator risk vary greatly (Turner and Montgomery 2003) and may require fewer genetic changes.

N. lapillus's relatively modest genetic response to predation risk might also involve more moderate changes to gene expression and complex shifts in behavior and physiology than its response to thermal stress. Although mitigating thermal stress requires upregulating molecular chaperones to maintain crucial cellular processes, avoiding predation involves hiding (Matassa and Trussell 2011), increased shell production (Palmer 1990), and changes in metabolism (Trussell *et al.* 2006b) in *N. lapillus*, and these plastic responses likely involve a diverse complement of genes. Future work with deeper sequencing, more sampling over multiple time points, and sampling of individual tissue types may improve our ability to detect changes in gene expression associated with behavioral responses to predation risk in *N. lapillus* and other species.

In addition, when considered within the context of previous research on predator-induced gene expression, our data suggest that the genetic response to predation risk might be less uniform across species than the response to thermal stress. Thermal stress is often considered one of the most fundamental stresses an organism can confront, and studies of genetic responses to thermal stress from species from all three phylogenetic domains show a similar pattern of upregulating heat-shock proteins and other chaperone proteins (Feder and Hofmann 1999). Although past studies using single- or multiple-gene techniques found that some prey species upregulate heat-shock proteins in response to predators (Kagawa and Mugiya 2002; Pijanowska and Kloc 2004; Slos and Stoks 2008), more recent studies using microarrays and transcriptomics in other species found that the presence of predators does not always trigger the upregulation of

stress-mediating genes associated with thermal or oxidation stress. These studies found different responses to predation risk for each organism (Mori *et al.* 2009; Miyakawa *et al.* 2010; Fraser *et al.* 2011). Nishimura and colleagues, for example, have studied predator-induced phenotypes in the tadpole of *Rana pirica*, which can produce a “bulgy” morphology that prevents predation by larval salamanders (Kishida *et al.* 2007). Using microarrays, the researchers found that bulgy-morph tadpoles differentially expressed a number of genes mediating cell adhesion and structure, including the upregulation of NADH dehydrogenase, aldehyde dehydrogenase, and uromodulin-like genes and downregulation of keratin-related genes (Mori *et al.* 2009). Their results suggest that changes in tadpole gene expression were specifically linked to the pronounced phenotypic changes preventing predation. Besides our study, only one other has used high-throughput transcriptomic techniques to assess genetic responses to predation risk. This study examined a species of guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) and found that two genes encoding cerebellin proteins were the most upregulated in response to cues from a fish predator (Fraser *et al.* 2011). These studies indicate that not all species upregulate typical stress proteins in response to predation risk, and particular responses for each species may reflect the diversity of behavioral and physiological strategies taken by different organisms to reduce their vulnerability to predation. A better understanding of the genetic underpinnings of complex biotic stresses will require high-throughput transcriptomic approaches to identify specialized responses, because such responses are likely to be missed by lower-throughput analyses.

CONCLUSIONS

Using RNA-seq analyses, we found notably different genetic responses to thermal stress and predation risk in *N. lapillus*. Thermal stress invoked vigorous upregulation of many stress-related

genes, similar to responses in other intertidal organisms. In contrast, predation risk triggered a much less marked response. These results suggest that thermal stress and predation risk may present fundamentally different challenges to *N. lapillus*. In the context of previous studies, our findings suggest that genetic responses to biotic stresses, such as the risk of predation, may be less uniform than genetic responses to abiotic stresses, such as thermal stress, and that further studies using transcriptomic tools may further uncover unique and subtle genetic responses mounted by different species to avoid predation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding was generously provided by National Science Foundation grants OCE-0727628 to GCT, OCE-0848345 to SVV, and OCE-0963010 to the Marine Science Center as part of the Academic Research Infrastructure Recovery and Reinvestment Program. We thank Ellen W. Chu for comments on the manuscript. For scripts and more information, please visit <http://nathanieldavidchu.wordpress.com>.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

-RNA-seq raw sequence reads: NCBI SRA-SRX357400
-Transcriptome assembly: Dryad repository-doi: 10.5061/dryad.610dd
-Express files will be deposited in the Dryad repository

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LPM, GCT, and SVV conceived and designed the research. LPM prepared sequencing libraries. NDC and STK analyzed data. NDC wrote the first draft, and LPM, GCT, and SVV contributed

significantly to revisions.

TABLES

Table 1. Gene annotations of differentially expressed transcripts in snails exposed to elevated temperature (thermal stress) and predation risk (predation risk). *n* values in parentheses indicate that multiple differentially expressed transcripts annotated to this gene, and in these cases, we report the range of *P*-values found.

Gene transcript annotation	Uniprot ID	log ₂ fold change	Adjusted <i>P</i> -value	Activity
Thermal stress				
Heat-shock 70 kDa protein cognate 1	P02826	4.53	3.82e-09	Heat-shock proteins act as molecular chaperones during heat and other stresses (Feder and Hoffmann 1999).
Heat-shock 70 kDa protein 16	Q9SAB1	2.73	0.005	
Heat-shock cognate 70 kDa protein 1*	P36415	2.59	0.008	
Heat-shock protein 83	O16087	2.34	0.039	
78 kDa glucose-regulated protein (<i>n</i> = 3)	P34935	2.69– 2.70	0.005	Belongs to the heat-shock protein 70 family; involved in

				protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum (Chang <i>et al.</i> 1987).
BAG family molecular chaperone regulator 5 (nucleotide exchanger for HSP70)	Q5QJC9	2.82	0.004	Acts as a protein chaperone and activates heat-shock proteins (Kalia <i>et al.</i> 2004).
DnaJ homolog subfamily B member 4 (probable chaperone) ($n = 2$)	Q9D832	4.40– 4.44	3.82e-09	Acts as a protein chaperone, and is also known as heat-shock protein 40 (Borges <i>et al.</i> 2005).
Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing protein 2 ($n = 2$)	Q62210	2.56– 2.61	0.015– 0.018	Mediates cellular apoptosis (Rothe <i>et al.</i> 1995).
Myeloperoxidase	P05164	2.54	0.020	Involved in immune defense (Nauseef <i>et al.</i> 1996), apoptosis regulation (Wagner <i>et al.</i> 2000), and inflammation responses (Hashinka <i>et al.</i> 1988).
Acyloxyacyl hydrolase	P28039	3.12	0.001	Involved in inflammation responses and lipid

				metabolism (Hagen et al. 1991).
Peroxidase ($n = 2$)	Q01603	2.47– 2.49	0.027– 0.029	Breaks down free-radical products of inefficient cellular metabolism (Ng <i>et al.</i> 1992).
Hemocyte protein-glutamine gamma-glutamyltransferase (protein synthesis catalyst)	Q05187	2.13	0.098	Catalyzes peptide cross-linking and potentially plays a role in programmed cell death (Tokunaga <i>et al.</i> 1993).
Prestin	Q9JKQ2	2.27	0.085	Acts as a motor protein and helps regulate cell shape (Zheng <i>et al.</i> 2000).
Acidic phospholipase A2 PA4	P80003	2.36	0.055	Involved in lipid metabolism and host defense (Dennis et al. 1991).
Patched domain-containing protein 3 (sperm development)	Q0EEE2	2.66	0.011	Potentially regulates hedgehog signaling in male germ cells (Fan et al. 2007).

IgE-binding protein ($n = 2$)	P03975	2.42– 2.50	0.021– 0.032	Mediates immunoglobulin (antibody) responses (Ishizaka 1984).
Retrovirus-related Pol polyprotein from transposon 412 ($n = 3$)	P10394	2.30– 2.73	0.007– 0.065	Acts as a transposable element (Yuki <i>et al.</i> 1986).
RNA-directed DNA polymerase from mobile element jockey	P21329	2.44	0.035	Acts as a mobile DNA element (Mizrokhi and Mazo 1990).
Predation risk				
Saxiphilin ($n = 2$)	P31226	-2.78– 2.74	0.093	Binds saxotoxin, a toxin found in shellfish (Negri and Llewellyn 1998).

*Heat-shock cognate 70 kDa protein 1 was the second most significant blast hit (e-value = $6e-15$). The most significant Blast hit was a heat-shock 70 protein from chloroplast membranes.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Differential gene expression in (a) snails exposed to elevated temperature versus control

373 snails and (b) snails exposed to predation risk versus control snails. Each point in these volcano
374 plots represents a gene transcript; red dots are differentially expressed transcripts that had an
375 adjusted P -value of < 0.1 .

376

377 Figure 2. Biological processes associated with differentially expressed transcripts, as defined by
378 the Gene Ontology project. Red circles represent genes differentially expressed in snails exposed
379 to elevated temperatures, and blue circles represent those from snails exposed to predation risk.
380 Circle size is proportional to the absolute value of the $\log_{10}$ of the reported DESeq2 P -value, with
381 larger circles indicating a more statistically significant differentially expressed transcript.
382 Biological processes are plotted according to their functional similarity using the Sim_{Rel}
383 measurement (Schlicker *et al.* 2006) and REVIGO (Supek *et al.* 2011).

REFERENCES

- Anders S, Huber W (2010) Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biology*, **11**, R106.
- Borges JC, Fischer H, Craievich AF, Ramos CHI (2005) Low resolution structural study of two human HSP40 chaperones in solution. DJA1 from subfamily A and DJB4 from subfamily B have different quaternary structures. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **280**, 13671–13681.
- Benedetti-Cecchi L, Trussell GC (2014) Rocky intertidal communities. In: *Marine Community Ecology and Conservation* (eds Bertness MD, Bruno JF, Silliman BR, and Stachowicz JJ), pp. 203–225. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- Chang SC, Wooden SK, Nakaki T *et al.* (1987) Rat gene encoding the 78-kDa glucose-regulated protein GRP78: its regulatory sequences and the effect of protein glycosylation on its expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, **84**, 680–684.
- Chu ND, Kaluziak ST, Trussell GC, Vollmer SV (2014) Phylogenomic analyses reveal latitudinal population structure and polymorphisms in heat stress genes in the North Atlantic snail *Nucella lapillus*. *Molecular Ecology*. **23**, 1863–1873.
- Cody MJ, Salkowski CA, Henricson BE *et al.* (1997) Effect of inflammatory and antiinflammatory stimuli on acyloxyacyl hydrolase gene expression and enzymatic activity in murine macrophages. *Innate Immunity*, **4**, 371–379.
- Collén J, Guisle-Marsollier I, Léger JJ, Boyen C (2007) Response of the transcriptome of the intertidal red seaweed *Chondrus crispus* to controlled and natural stresses. *New Phytologist*, **176**, 45–55.

405 Connor KM, Gracey AY (2011) Circadian cycles are the dominant transcriptional rhythm in the
 406 intertidal mussel *Mytilus californianus*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
 407 USA, **108**, 16110–16115.

408 Craig DW, Pearson J V, Szelinger S *et al.* (2008) Identification of genetic variants using bar-
 409 coded multiplexed sequencing. *Nature Methods*, **5**, 887–893.

410 Creel S, Winnie JA, Christianson D (2009) Glucocorticoid stress hormones and the effect of
 411 predation risk on elk reproduction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*,
 412 **106**, 12388–12393.

413 Dennis EA, Rhee SG, Billah MM, Hannun YA (1991) Role of phospholipase in generating lipid
 414 second messengers in signal transduction. *FASEB Journal*, **5**, 2068–2077.

415 Dong Y, Miller LP, Sanders JG, Somero GN (2008) Heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) expression in
 416 four limpets of the genus *Lottia*: interspecific variation in constitutive and inducible
 417 synthesis correlates with *in situ* exposure to heat stress. *Biological Bulletin*, **215**, 173–181.

418 Elran R, Raam M, Kraus R *et al.* (2014) Early and late response of *Nematostella vectensis*
 419 transcriptome to heavy metals, **23**, 4722–4736.

420 Etter RJ (1988) Physiological stress and color polymorphism in the intertidal snail *Nucella*
 421 *lapillus*. *Evolution*, **42**, 660–680.

422 Fan J, Akabane H, Zheng X *et al.* (2007) Male germ cell-specific expression of a novel Patched-
 423 domain containing gene Ptchd3. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*,
 424 **363**, 757–761.

425 Feder ME, Hofmann GE (1999) Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress

426 response: evolutionary and ecological physiology. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **61**, 243–
 427 282.

428 Fraser BA, Weadick CJ, Janowitz I, Rodd FH, Hughes KA (2011) Sequencing and
 429 characterization of the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) transcriptome. *BMC Genomics*, **12**, 202.

430 Gardeström J, Elfving T, Löf M *et al.* (2007) The effect of thermal stress on protein composition
 431 in dogwhelks (*Nucella lapillus*) under normoxic and hyperoxic conditions. *Comparative*
 432 *Biochemistry and Physiology: Part A, Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, **148**, 869–875.

433 Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M *et al.* (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-
 434 Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, **29**, 644–652.

435 Gracey AY, Chaney ML, Boomhower JP *et al.* (2008) Rhythms of gene expression in a
 436 fluctuating intertidal environment. *Current Biology*, **18**, 1501–1507.

437 Hagen FS, Grant FJ, Kuijper JL *et al.* (1991) Expression and characterization of recombinant
 438 human acyloxyacyl hydrolase, a leukocyte enzyme that deacylates bacterial
 439 lipopolysaccharides. *Biochemistry*, **30**, 8415–8423.

440 Hashinaka K, Nishio C, Hur SJ *et al.* (1988) Multiple species of myeloperoxidase messenger
 441 RNAs produced by alternative splicing and differential polyadenylation. *Biochemistry*, **27**,
 442 5906–5914.

443 Helmuth BS, Hofmann GE (2001) Microhabitats, thermal heterogeneity, and patterns of
 444 physiological stress in the rocky intertidal zone. *Biological Bulletin*, **201**, 374–384.

445 Helmuth BS, Mieszkowska N, Moore P, Hawkins SJ (2006) Living on the edge of two changing
 446 worlds: forecasting the responses of rocky intertidal ecosystems to climate change. *Annual*

447 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **37**, 373–404.

448 Ishizaka K (1984) Regulation of IgE synthesis. *Annual Review of Immunology*, **2**, 159–182.

449 Jones KMM, Boulding EG (1999) State-dependent habitat selection by an intertidal snail: the
450 costs of selecting a physically stressful microhabitat. *Journal of Experimental Marine*
451 *Biology and Ecology*, **242**, 149–177.

452 Kagawa N, Mugiya Y (2002) Brain HSP70 mRNA expression is linked with plasma cortisol
453 levels in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) exposed to a potential predator. *Zoological Science*,
454 **19**, 735–740.

455 Kalia SK, Lee S, Smith PD *et al.* (2004) BAG5 inhibits parkin and enhances dopaminergic
456 neuron degeneration. *Neuron*, **44**, 931–945.

457 Kishida O, Trussell GC, Nishimura K (2007) Geographic variation in a predator-induced defense
458 and its genetic basis. *Ecology*, **88**, 1948–1954.

459 Kregel KC (2002) Heat shock proteins: modifying factors in physiological stress responses and
460 acquired thermotolerance. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, **92**, 2177–2186.

461 Lang RP, Bayne CJ, Camara MD *et al.* (2009) Transcriptome profiling of selectively bred Pacific
462 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* families that differ in tolerance of heat shock. *Marine*
463 *Biotechnology*, **11**, 650–668.

464 Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment
465 of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology*, **10**, R25.

466 Liu F, Wang W, Sun X, Liang Z, Wang F (2013) RNA-Seq revealed complex response to heat
467 stress on transcriptomic level in *Saccharina japonica* (Laminariales, Phaeophyta). *Journal of*

468 *Applied Phycology*, **26**, 1585–1596.

469 Liu Y, Zhou J, White KP (2013) RNA-seq differential expression studies: more sequence or more
470 replication? *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 301–304.

471 Lockwood BL, Sanders JG, Somero GN (2010) Transcriptomic responses to heat stress in
472 invasive and native blue mussels (genus *Mytilus*): molecular correlates of invasive success.
473 *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **213**, 3548–3558.

474 Long JD, Hay ME (2012) The impact of trait-mediated indirect interactions in marine
475 communities. In: *Trait-Mediated Indirect Interactions: Ecological and Evolutionary*
476 *Perspectives* (eds Ohgushi T, Schmitz O, and Holt RD), pp. 47–68. Cambridge University
477 Press, Cambridge.

478 Miller LP, Matassa CM, Trussell GC (2014) Climate change enhances the negative effects of
479 predation risk on an intermediate consumer. *Global Change Biology*.

480 Mardis ER (2008) Next-generation DNA sequencing methods. *Annual Review of Genomics and*
481 *Human Genetics*, **9**, 387–402.

482 Matassa CM, Trussell GC (2011) Landscape of fear influences the relative importance of
483 consumptive and nonconsumptive predator effects. *Ecology*, **92**, 2258–2266.

484 Miyakawa H, Imai M, Sugimoto N *et al.* (2010) Gene up-regulation in response to predator
485 kairomones in the water flea, *Daphnia pulex*. *BMC Developmental Biology*, **10**, 45.

486 Mizrokhi LJ, Mazo AM (1990) Evidence for horizontal transmission of the mobile element
487 jockey between distant *Drosophila* species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
488 *Sciences, USA*, **87**, 9216–9220.

489 Morabito MA, Moczydowski E (1994) Molecular cloning of bullfrog saxiphilin: a unique
 490 relative of the transferrin family that binds saxitoxin. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
 491 *of Sciences, USA*, **91**, 2478–2482.

492 Mori T, Kawachi H, Imai C *et al.* (2009) Identification of a novel uromodulin-like gene related to
 493 predator-induced bulgy morph in anuran tadpoles by functional microarray analysis. *PLoS*
 494 *One*, **4**, e5936.

495 Munford RS, Hunter JP (1992) Acyloxyacyl hydrolase, a leukocyte enzyme that deacylates
 496 bacterial lipopolysaccharides, has phospholipase, lysophospholipase, diacylglycerolipase,
 497 and acyltransferase activities *in vitro*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **267**, 10116–10121.

498 Nauseef WM, Cogley M, McCormick S (1996) Effect of the R569W missense mutation on the
 499 biosynthesis of myeloperoxidase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **271**, 9546–9549.

500 Negri A, Llewellyn L (1998) Comparative analyses by HPLC and the sodium channel and
 501 saxiphilin 3H-saxitoxin receptor assays for paralytic shellfish toxins in crustaceans and
 502 molluscs from tropical North West Australia. *Toxicon*, **36**, 283–298.

503 Ng SW, Wiedemann M, Kontermann R, Petersen G (1992) Molecular characterization of a
 504 putative peroxidase gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, **1171**,
 505 224–228.

506 Paine RT (1966) Food web complexity and species diversity. *American Naturalist*, **100**, 65–75.

507 Palmer AR (1990) Effect of crab effluent and scent of damaged conspecifics on feeding, growth,
 508 and shell morphology of the Atlantic dogwhelk *Nucella lapillus* (L .). *Hydrobiologia*, **193**,
 509 155–182.

510 Pascoal S, Carvalho G, Vasieva O *et al.* (2013) Transcriptomics and *in vivo* tests reveal novel
 511 mechanisms underlying endocrine disruption in an ecological sentinel, *Nucella lapillus*.
 512 *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 1589–1608.

513 Pascoe PL, Jha AN, Dixon DR (2004) Variation of karyotype composition and genome size in
 514 some muricid gastropods from the Northern Hemisphere. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **70**,
 515 389–398.

516 Pauwels K, Stoks R, de Meester L (2005) Coping with predator stress: interclonal differences in
 517 induction of heat-shock proteins in the water flea *Daphnia magna*. *Journal of Evolutionary*
 518 *Biology*, **18**, 867–872.

519 Pearson GA, Hoarau G, Lago-Leston A *et al.* (2010) An expressed sequence tag analysis of the
 520 intertidal brown seaweeds *Fucus serratus* (L.) and *F. vesiculosus* (L.) (Heterokontophyta,
 521 Phaeophyceae) in response to abiotic stressors. *Marine Biotechnology*, **12**, 195–213.

522 Pijanowska J, Kloc M (2004) *Daphnia* response to predation threat involves heat-shock proteins
 523 and the actin and tubulin cytoskeleton. *Genesis*, **38**, 81–86.

524 Pincebourde S, Sanford E, Helmuth BS (2008) Body temperature during low tide alters the
 525 feeding performance of a top intertidal predator. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **53**, 1562–
 526 1573.

527 Place SP, Menge BA, Hofmann GE (2012) Transcriptome profiles link environmental variation
 528 and physiological response of *Mytilus californianus* between Pacific tides. *Functional*
 529 *Ecology*, **26**, 144–155.

530 Roberts A, Pachter L (2013) Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of sequencing

531 experiments. *Nature Methods*, **10**, 71–73.

532 Rothe M, Pan MG, Henzel WJ, Ayres TM, Goeddel DV (1995) The TNFR2-TRAF signaling
 533 complex contains two novel proteins related to baculoviral inhibitor of apoptosis proteins.
 534 *Cell*, **83**, 1243–1252.

535 Rovero F, Hughes R, Chelazzi G (1999) Cardiac and behavioural responses of mussels to risk of
 536 predation by dogwhelks. *Animal Behaviour*, **58**, 707–714.

537 Sanogo YO, Hankison S, Band M, Obregon A, Bell AM (2011) Brain transcriptomic response of
 538 threespine sticklebacks to cues of a predator. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, **77**, 270–285.

539 Schlicker A, Domingues FS, Rahnenführer J, Lengauer T (2006) A new measure for functional
 540 similarity of gene products based on Gene Ontology. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **7**, 302

541 Schwarzenberger A, Courts C, von Elert E (2009) Target gene approaches: gene expression in
 542 *Daphnia magna* exposed to predator-borne kairomones or to microcystin-producing and
 543 microcystin-free *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *BMC Genomics*, **10**, 527.

544 Sheriff MJ, Krebs CJ, Boonstra R (2009) The sensitive hare: sublethal effects of predator stress
 545 on reproduction in snowshoe hares. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **78**, 1249–1258.

546 Slos S, Stoks R (2008) Predation risk induces stress proteins and reduces antioxidant defense.
 547 *Functional Ecology*, **22**, 637–642.

548 Somero GN (2002) Thermal physiology and vertical zonation of intertidal animals: optima,
 549 limits, and costs of living. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **42**, 780–789.

550 Spanier KI, Leese F, Mayer C *et al.* (2010) Predator-induced defences in *Daphnia pulex*:
 551 selection and evaluation of internal reference genes for gene expression studies with real-

552 time PCR. *BMC Molecular Biology*, **11**, 50.

553 Stickle WB, Moore MN, Bayne BL (1985) Effects of temperature, salinity and aerial exposure on
 554 predation and lysosomal stability of the dogwhelk *Thais (Nucella) lapillus* (L.). *Journal of*
 555 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **93**, 235–258.

556 Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T (2011) REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of
 557 Gene Ontology terms. *PLoS One*, **6**, e21800.

558 Tokunaga F, Muta T, Iwanaga S *et al.* (1993) Limulus hemocyte transglutaminase. cDNA
 559 cloning, amino acid sequence, and tissue localization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **268**,
 560 262–268.

561 Trussell GC, Ewanchuk PJ, Bertness MD (2003) Trait-mediated effects in rocky intertidal food
 562 chains: predator risk cues alter prey feeding rates. *Ecology*, **84**, 629–640.

563 Trussell GC, Ewanchuk PJ, Matassa CM (2006a) Habitat effects on the relative importance of
 564 trait- and density-mediated indirect interactions. *Ecology Letters*, **9**, 1245–1252.

565 Trussell GC, Ewanchuk PJ, Matassa CM (2006b) The fear of being eaten reduces energy transfer
 566 in a simple food chain. *Ecology*, **87**, 2979–2984.

567 Trussell GC, Ewanchuk PJ, Matassa CM (2008) Resource identity modifies the influence of
 568 predation risk on ecosystem function. *Ecology*, **89**, 2798–2807.

569 Turner AM, Montgomery SL (2003) Spatial and temporal scales of predator avoidance:
 570 experiments with fish and snails. *Ecology*, **84**, 616–622.

571 Wagner BA, Buettner GR, Oberley LW, Darby CJ, Burns CP (2000) Myeloperoxidase is
 572 involved in H₂O₂-induced apoptosis of HL-60 human leukemia cells. *Journal of Biological*

573 *Chemistry*, **275**, 22461–22469.

574 Westram AM, Galindo J, Rosenblad MA *et al.* (2014) Do the same genes underlie parallel

575 phenotypic divergence in different *Littorina saxatilis* populations? *Molecular Ecology*, **23**,

576 4603–4616.

577 Yuki S, Inouye S, Ishimaru S, Saigo K (1986) Nucleotide sequence characterization of a

578 *Drosophila* retrotransposon, 412. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, **158**, 403–410.

579 Zheng J, Shen W, He DZ *et al.* (2000) Prestin is the motor protein of cochlear outer hair cells.

580 *Nature*, **405**, 149–155.

581

Supporting Information

Table S1. Illumina read representation of individual snails.

Sample	Filtered Reads	Mapping Reads	Annotated, Mapping Reads
AC_006	6,020,717	3,432,767	718,576
AC_008	7,037,731	4,289,831	893,364
AC_43	1,324,128	702,278	210,358
AC_42	1,857,540	883,589	273,892
ANC_003	5,782,131	3,568,060	715,620
ANC_34	5,577,022	2,594,866	927,301
ANC_41	4,575,798	2,293,349	911,726
HNC_31	3,677,763	1,891,007	657,755
HNC_37	6,227,059	3,002,492	941,372

Table S2. *Nucella lapillus* transcriptome assembly metrics.

Total base length of assembly	Contigs	Annotated contigs
44,210,724	90,674	20,922