1991

Stratigraphy, sedimentology, and provenance of the lower Campanian Forbes and Marsh Creek formations, southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin Basins, California

Donald Williams Moore
San Jose State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/etd_theses

Recommended Citation

Moore, Donald Williams, "Stratigraphy, sedimentology, and provenance of the lower Campanian Forbes and Marsh Creek formations, southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin Basins, California" (1991). *Master's Theses*. 152.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31979/etd.ry6d-xhyg>
https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/etd_theses/152

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Master's Theses and Graduate Research at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

U·M·I

University Microfilms International
A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

Order Number 1344298

**Stratigraphy, sedimentology, and provenance of the lower
Campanian Forbes and Marsh Creek formations, southern
Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins, California**

Moore, Donald Williams, M.S.

San Jose State University, 1991

U·M·I

300 N. Zeeb Rd.
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

STRATIGRAPHY, SEDIMENTOLOGY, AND PROVENANCE
OF THE LOWER CAMPANIAN FORBES AND MARSH CREEK FORMATIONS,
SOUTHERN SACRAMENTO AND NORTHERN SAN JOAQUIN BASINS,
CALIFORNIA

A Thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of the Department of Geology
San Jose State University

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Science

By

Donald Williams Moore

May, 1991

APPROVED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY

Dr. Calvin H. Stevens

Dr. Tor H. Nilsen

Dr. David W. Andersen

APPROVED FOR THE UNIVERSITY

Serena H. Stanford

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many individuals and organizations whom I would like to acknowledge for having contributed to the completion of this thesis. As this work adds to the data presented in many studies that have been completed previously on the geology of the Great Valley, I would first like to thank all the previous workers who helped establish this foundation.

Ranchers and landowners who provided access to their property and helpful insight to the local area include Larry Heintz, Louis Long, Bob (R.N.) Rogers, Eugene and Jean Brazelton, and Dave and Pat McAvenia.

Applied Earth Technology, Inc. (AET) provided the framework for the development of this thesis topic and helped to build my knowledge of the Sacramento basin. Of the many people who contributed to AET's studies in the Sacramento basin, I would specifically like to thank Tor H. Nilsen, Greg M. Barats, Doug P. Imperato, and Dave B. Serena. I would also like to thank AET for the use of well logs and releasing previously confidential data. The University of California at Santa Barbara also should be thanked for providing the solid undergraduate education I received.

Financial contributions were provided by Phillips Petroleum Company and WAAIME. Paleontologic support was

provided by UNOCAL North American Oil and Gas Division which processed and analyzed outcrop samples. Mark V. Filewicz worked on the calcareous nannofossils and Sarah Downs looked at the foraminifera.

The students, faculty, and staff of the San Jose State Geology Department helped keep me stimulated and gave me a boost when necessary. I would like to thank Cal H. Stevens and Dave W. Andersen, my advisors, for spending many hours guiding me over the last few years. Fellow student Dave B. Serena cannot be thanked enough for his vast contributions to this thesis - a field companion, computer advisor, insightful geologist, and mainly a damn good friend. John Wallace and Martha Schackman provided periodic moral support and were good for a few laughs.

Family and friends also played an important role in the completion of this work. Palo Alto and Santa Barbara buddies offered many necessary distractions as did the San Francisco Giants and 49'ers. Mom and Dad were with this thesis all the way, offering encouragement, insight, moral support, and an occasional meal. Dad also served as an insightful field assistant. Most of all I would like to thank my beautiful wife Suzanne. She provided many things that led to the completion of this work, but most of all she gave the love I needed to get this done. So I dedicate this thesis to her. Thanks Babe!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	xii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
Problem and Objective.....	1
Scope of Study.....	3
Geography and Access.....	5
REGIONAL FRAMEWORK.....	6
Sierra Nevada.....	8
Klamath Mountains.....	11
Franciscan Assemblage.....	13
Great Valley Group.....	15
PREVIOUS WORK.....	19
Stratigraphic Framework.....	19
Coast Ranges North of Vacaville.....	20
Northeastern Diablo Range.....	24
Subsurface Stratigraphy.....	26
Submarine-fan Models.....	29
BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC CORRELATIONS.....	32
Methods.....	32
Coast Ranges North of Vacaville.....	32
Northeastern Diablo Range.....	44
Subsurface.....	45
Discussion.....	47
STRATIGRAPHY AND SEDIMENTOLOGY.....	49
Subsurface Stratigraphy.....	49
Methods.....	49

Regional Stratigraphic Units.....	50
Basement Complex.....	50
Older Great Valley Group.....	55
Dobbins Shale.....	55
Forbes Formation.....	56
Kione Formation.....	59
Marsh Creek Formation.....	60
Chico Formation.....	61
Sacramento Shale.....	62
Upper Campanian and Maestrichtian Strata.....	63
Post-Cretaceous Unconformities.....	63
Outcrop Stratigraphy and Sedimentology.....	64
Methods.....	64
Putah Creek Measured Section.....	66
Gates Canyon Measured Section.....	70
Marsh Creek Measured Section.....	75
Interpretations and Discussion.....	83
PETROGRAPHY.....	90
Methods.....	90
Textural and Diagenetic Features.....	91
Provenance.....	93
Coast Ranges North of Vacaville.....	95
Northeastern Diablo Range.....	102
Discussion.....	104
SUMMARY OF KEY ELEMENTS.....	106
Biostratigraphic Correlations.....	106

Basement Structure.....	107
Stratigraphy and Depositional Model.....	110
Subsurface Stratigraphy.....	111
Outcrop Stratigraphy and Sedimentology.....	112
Provenance.....	114
CONCLUSIONS.....	117
REFERENCES CITED.....	120
APPENDIX 1: WELL-LOG DATA.....	135
APPENDIX 2: MEASURED SECTIONS.....	142
A. Putah Creek Measured Section.....	144
B. Gates Canyon Measured Section.....	164
C. Marsh Creek Measured Section.....	176

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.	Index Map.....	2
2.	Three Main Components of the Late Mesozoic Forearc Basin.....	7
3.	Schematic Cross Sections Showing the Evolution of the Great Valley Forearc Basin.....	9
4.	Stratigraphic Nomenclature for Coast Ranges North of Vacaville and Northeastern Diablo Range.....	21
5.	Simplified Geologic Map of the Putah Creek Measured Section Area Showing Locations of Samples.....	33
6.	Simplified Geologic Map of the Gates Canyon Measured Section Area Showing Locations of Samples.....	35
7.	Simplified Geologic Map of the Cannon Hills Area Showing Locations of Samples.....	37
8.	Simplified Geologic Map of the Marsh Creek Measured Section Area Showing Locations of Samples.....	39
9.	Typical Well Log for the Forbes Formation.....	51
10.	Typical Well Log for the Marsh Creek Formation.....	52
11.	Sandstone Bodies of the Forbes Formation Forming a Ridge North of Putah Creek.....	67
12.	Amalgamated Facies B Sandstones of the Forbes Formation from Putah Creek.	69
13.	Paleocurrent Rose Diagram based on Putah Creek Data.....	71
14.	Forbes Formation Facies B and E Sandstones from Gates Canyon.....	73
15.	Flute and Groove Casts at the Base of a Forbes Formation Sandstone from Gates Canyon.....	74

16.	Paleocurrent Rose Diagram based on Gates Canyon Data.....	76
17.	Thickening-upward Sequence of the Marsh Creek Formation.....	77
18.	Facies C and D Sandstones of the Marsh Creek Formation.....	79
19.	Amalgamated Facies B Sandstones of the Marsh Creek Formation.....	80
20.	Facies B, D, and E Sandstones of the Marsh Creek Formation near the Top of the Marsh Creek Section.....	82
21.	Paleocurrent Rose Diagram based on Marsh Creek Data.....	84
22.	QFL Ternary Diagram.....	96
23.	QmFLt Ternary Diagram.....	97
24.	QmPK Ternary Diagram.....	99
25.	LvLsLm Ternary Diagram.....	100
26.	Ratios between Selected Lithologic Framework Components.....	101
27.	Stratigraphic Nomenclature, Biostratigraphic Zonation, and Submarine-fan Facies Associations.....	108
28.	QmFLt Ternary Diagram for Sandstone Samples from the Coast Ranges North of Vacaville and Northeastern Diablo Range.....	116
29.	Early Campanian Paleogeographic Reconstruction.....	118

Plate

1.	Base Map with Cross Sections.....	in pocket
2.	Structure Map - Top Basement.....	in pocket
3.	Isopach Map - Kione Formation.....	in pocket
4.	Isopach Map - F Zone.....	in pocket

5. Stratigraphic Cross Section A-A'.....in pocket
6. Stratigraphic Cross Section B-B'.....in pocket
7. Stratigraphic Cross Section C-C'.....in pocket
8. Stratigraphic Cross Section D-D'.....in pocket
9. Stratigraphic Cross Section E-E'.....in pocket

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1. Biostratigraphic Data for Outcrop
Samples.....43
2. Explanation of Petrographic Parameters.....94
3. Recalculated Framework Parameters based
on Point Count Data.....98

ABSTRACT

The lower Campanian Forbes and Marsh Creek formations represent submarine-fan/slope systems that were deposited in the northern Great Valley forearc basin. These coeval units are bounded by two shale units, the Dobbins Shale below and the Sacramento Shale above, shown by correlation of their unique faunas to be basinwide in extent. These two lower Campanian fan systems are distinguished on the basis of data from well logs, surface sections, paleocurrent orientations, and sandstone composition.

The Forbes Formation represents a mud-rich-fan system characterized by meandering channel-levee complexes that prograded southward down the plunging axis of the forearc basin. The Marsh Creek Formation represents a mixed-sediment system comprised of outer-fan lobes, middle-fan channels, and inner-fan channels. This unit prograded to the west and southwest, transverse to the basin axis. Initially the Marsh Creek system was confined to the structurally controlled Stockton subbasin, but later it spread out across the basin floor.

The data show distinct differences between the two systems suggesting that there was no mixing of the sediment delivered to them. Surface and subsurface mapping also suggests that the two systems did not overlap. This study shows that two separate submarine-fan systems, with

contrasting depositional facies, transport directions, source areas, and structural controls, can form coevally within the same forearc basin setting.

INTRODUCTION

The lower Campanian Forbes and Marsh Creek formations represent submarine-fan systems that crop out along the western margin of the Sacramento basin of California. These systems are part of the Great Valley Group, a thick section of deep-marine siliciclastic deposits that filled the Late Mesozoic Great Valley forearc basin (Ingersoll, 1982, 1990). The Forbes Formation has been recognized in outcrop as far north as Black Butte Reservoir and south to the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville (fig. 1). This unit is best exposed in the Rumsey Hills area, where it originally was mapped and named by Kirby (1943). The Forbes Formation is widely recognized by subsurface workers; it has been penetrated by more than 2,000 wells and has produced large amounts of gas (California Division of Oil and Gas, 1986). The Marsh Creek Formation is exposed in the northeastern Diablo Range (fig. 1), where it was named informally by Cherven and Bodden (1983). This unit is poorly understood in the subsurface and has produced only minor amounts of gas.

Problem and Objectives

Previous work on Upper Cretaceous rocks in the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins has led to the assignment of different stratigraphic names to time-

Figure 1. Index map of the Sacramento and northern San Joaquin valleys and adjacent geologic terranes showing locations of major cities, measured outcrop sections, and outcrops of lower Campanian strata (in black).

equivalent strata in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range. Lower Campanian strata were mapped by Emerson and Roberts (1962) as the Forbes Formation in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and informally named the Marsh Creek Formation or "Marsh Creek Fan" in the northeastern Diablo Range by Cherven and Bodden (1983). These units have been assigned different names because of the geographic separation of the outcrop localities and their uncertain subsurface distribution. No investigation of the possible relationship between these two units has been undertaken previously.

Subsurface mapping of the Forbes Formation in the northern and central Sacramento basin indicates that it is a mud-rich submarine-fan/slope system that prograded southward down the plunging axis of the Sacramento basin (Imperato and others, 1990). In the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins, very few wells penetrate into these rocks because of their great depth. This lack of subsurface control has hindered understanding of the stratigraphic relationship between the Marsh Creek Formation and the Forbes Formation.

Scope of Study

A detailed study focusing on stratigraphy, sedimentology, provenance, biostratigraphy, and subsurface

data is necessary to resolve the inconsistencies in previous mapping of the Forbes strata in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, in its temporal equivalents in the northeastern Diablo Range, and in the subsurface. A better understanding of the relationship between the Forbes and Marsh Creek Formations is developed through research in six principal areas:

(1) Verification of biostratigraphic correlations by integration of data from new samples, from previously confidential reports, and from published literature.

(2) Detailed measurement of three stratigraphic sections (Putah Creek and Gates Canyon in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range).

(3) Geologic mapping of the Forbes Formation and equivalent strata in the vicinity of the three measured sections.

(4) Measurement of paleocurrent indicators in the vicinity of the stratigraphic sections.

(5) Correlation of more than 200 well logs to determine subsurface relationships through the construction of subsurface maps (plates 1-4) and regional stratigraphic cross sections (plates 5-9), based on information from a subsurface log-correlation database.

(6) Provenance studies based on 27 sandstone samples

from the three detailed stratigraphic measured sections and the Cannon Hills (fig. 1).

Geography and Access

The study area includes the central portion of the Great Valley extending from the Sierran foothills on the east to the Coast Ranges on the west. The limits of the area examined extend from the northern edge of T.12N., about 25 mi (55 km) north of Sacramento, to the southern edge of T.5S., about 13 mi (27 km) south of Modesto (fig. 1). The western and eastern limits of the study area follow the configuration of the valley, extending from R.3W. to R.11E.

The Great Valley is a generally flat, low-lying area covered primarily by the alluvial, fluvial, and delta plains of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Along the western margin of the valley, the Coast Ranges rise more than 2800 ft (850 m) above the valley floor. The eastern Coast Ranges are underlain by north-south-trending and east-dipping Cretaceous and Tertiary sedimentary rocks. Topography in this area is very rugged, with numerous north-south-trending ridges underlain by resistant sandstone and conglomerate beds. East-directed drainages cut through the north-south-trending strata to form canyons that provide good exposures of the sedimentary sequence.

REGIONAL FRAMEWORK

The Cretaceous paleogeography along the California continental margin is dominated by the Great Valley forearc basin (Ingersoll, 1979, 1982). The setting of the forearc basin is comprised of three main components (fig. 2): (1) the Sierra Nevada magmatic arc to the east, (2) the Great Valley forearc basin itself, and (3) the Franciscan subduction complex to the west (Dickinson, 1970; Dickinson and Seely, 1979). A fourth component farther north is the composite Klamath terrane, which may have created a westward bulge in the coastline north of the forearc basin (Ingersoll and Schweickert, 1986).

Plate reconstructions support the existence of a convergent margin along the western margin of North America during the Cretaceous (Engelbreton and others, 1985; Debiche and others, 1987). Reconstructions indicated that the oceanic Farallon plate was moving in a northeastward direction and being subducted beneath the relatively stable continental North American plate during the Cretaceous. The interaction between these two plates formed the Great Valley forearc basin. Paleogeographic reconstructions of western North America for the Campanian by Nilsen (1986) showed the Great Valley forearc basin as one of several forearc basins formed by the subduction of the Farallon and

Figure 2. Illustration of the three main components of the late Mesozoic forearc basin (modified from Ingersoll and Dickinson, 1981).

Kula plates. This continental margin, where eastward-subducting oceanic lithosphere formed a trench and outer-arc ridge along a continental margin, is classified as Andean by Dickinson (1981). A volcanic arc formed on the continental block to the east as a result of the subducting oceanic lithosphere; the forearc basin was situated between the trench and arc.

The Great Valley forearc basin formed subsequent to the collision of an intraoceanic arc with continental North America during the Nevada orogeny (Schweickert and Cowan, 1975; Burchfield and Davis, 1981; Saleeby, 1981; Ingersoll, 1983; Schweickert and others, 1984). A new east-dipping subduction complex formed to the west of the suture, creating the Franciscan-Great Valley-Sierra Nevada system (fig. 3). This system persisted along the western margin of North America until the inception of the San Andreas transform boundary in the Miocene.

Sierra Nevada

The Sierra Nevada is in part a Mesozoic batholithic complex that was intruded into Paleozoic and Mesozoic strata. The country rocks have a wide range of lithologic types and are strongly deformed but typically weakly metamorphosed (Bateman, 1983). The western metamorphic belt is composed mostly of upper Paleozoic and lower Mesozoic rocks regionally metamorphosed to greenschist

Figure 3. Schematic cross sections of northern California showing the Late Jurassic to Neogene evolution of the Great Valley forearc basin (modified from Dickinson and Seely, 1979).

facies. These rocks represent ophiolitic sequences, metavolcanic rocks, and sedimentary strata thought to have been deposited along oceanic ridges and island arcs that were subsequently accreted to the continental margin. The Precambrian and Paleozoic strata in the Inyo and White mountains to the east and the roof pendants in the eastern Sierra Nevada consist primarily of quartzite, carbonate, and pelitic rocks deposited in a miogeoclinal setting. The roof pendants and septa within the batholith have undergone thermal metamorphism to the albite-epidote hornfels facies (Bateman, 1983).

The batholiths emplaced during the Mesozoic are in sharp contact with each other or with the country rock (Bateman, 1983). The western Sierra Nevada is characterized by tonalite and quartz diorite; granodiorite is most common in the central part of the range; and quartz monzonite and monzonite are typical of the granitoids to the east. This reflects an eastward increase in potassium, which is independent of the age of the granitoids.

The U-Pb ages of the plutons reflect two distinct periods of magmatism; one was active the Triassic to Jurassic between 206 Ma and 155 Ma, and the other was during the Cretaceous from 125 Ma to 88 Ma (Bateman, 1983). During the Nevadan orogeny between 155 Ma and 125 Ma, plutonism was inactive. The distribution of plutons shows Cretaceous granitoids flanked by Jurassic intrusions with

Triassic plutons to the east. This suggests that the Jurassic plutons were fairly widespread and were subsequently partially destroyed by intrusion of the Cretaceous granitoids (Bateman, 1983).

Models showing the tectonic evolution of the Sierra Nevada have been erected by various workers (Schweickert and Cowan, 1975; Schweickert, 1981; Saleeby, 1981). Although these models have some differences, they all generally fit into the arc-trench model for the Great Valley forearc basin developed by Ingersoll (1982).

Klamath Mountains

The Klamath Mountains geologic province is a west-facing arcuate structure consisting of several tectonostratigraphic terranes with different types and sequences of sedimentary rocks of different ages and different plutonic rocks (Irwin, 1981, 1985). The Klamath Mountains extend from northwestern California into southwest Oregon and form the northern boundary of the Great Valley. The terranes are composed of oceanic crust and island arcs ranging in age from early Paleozoic to Jurassic. Detailed study of plutonic and ophiolitic belts within the Klamath Mountains has helped clarify the age relationships of the terranes (Saleeby and others, 1982; Irwin, 1985). The terranes are thought to have been

amalgamated on oceanic lithosphere prior to their accretion to North America prior to Early Cretaceous time (Irwin, 1985).

The composite Klamath terrane can be divided into four principal terranes, (1) the Eastern Klamath terrane, (2) the Central Metamorphic terrane, (3) the composite Western Paleozoic and Triassic terrane, and (4) the Western Jurassic terrane. These terranes are considered to represent an amalgamated sequence of eastward-dipping imbricate thrust plates (Irwin, 1981). The Eastern Klamath terrane forms the nucleus of the province; it represents an early Paleozoic to Jurassic volcanic arc built on oceanic crust. The Central Metamorphic terrane represents part of a subduction complex that formed during eastward subduction beneath the Eastern Klamath terrane in the Devonian. The Western Paleozoic and Triassic composite terrane, which includes the Rattlesnake Creek, Hayfork, and North Fork terranes and the Western Jurassic terrane, consists mostly of oceanic material that was accreted to the Paleozoic nucleus during the Jurassic (Irwin, 1985).

The Klamath terrane has been intruded by a series of plutons ranging up to Early Cretaceous in age (Irwin, 1985). Paleogeographic models developed by Irwin (1984, 1985) suggest that the Klamath terranes were amalgamated and rotated clockwise west of the North American continent from the Devonian to Jurassic. This was followed by

accretion of the composite Klamath terrane to the continental margin in latest Jurassic or earliest Cretaceous time. It is evident that the terrane was accreted by the Cretaceous, because it was a source for Lower Cretaceous strata of the Great Valley Group (Short and Ingersoll, 1990).

Franciscan Assemblage

The Franciscan Assemblage, highly complex rocks with varying stratigraphy, age, metamorphic grade, and structural state, make up a large portion of the Coast Ranges of northern and central California (Blake and others, 1985). This assemblage, which is thought to be an accretionary complex that formed largely in and above a subduction zone west of the Great Valley forearc basin, is made up of several tectonostratigraphic terranes that have undergone a complex history of subduction, accretion, and translation. Subduction and accretion of the terranes began in the latest Jurassic after the Nevadan orogeny and continued until the initiation of the San Andreas fault system in the Miocene.

The Franciscan rocks can be divided into three fault-bounded belts, the Eastern, Central, and Coastal belts, which can be further subdivided into tectonostratigraphic terranes (McLaughlin and others, 1982; Blake and others,

1984, 1985). The metamorphic mineral assemblages show a progressive increase in grade eastward.

The Eastern belt can be subdivided into the Pickett Peak and Yolla Bolly terranes. The Pickett Peak terrane, which is composed of quartz-veined mica schist, metagraywacke, metavolcanics, and metachert has been interpreted as representing oceanic crustal and supracrustal rocks. The Yolla Bolly terrane is composed of metagraywacke, argillite, and radiolarian chert, deposited in a continental margin basin setting, and alkalic gabbro sills and dikes. These two terranes have metamorphic radiometric ages between 90 and 143 Ma and are metamorphosed to the blueschist facies, suggesting conditions of subduction (Jayko and others, 1986).

The Central belt is primarily a tectonic melange with a sheared matrix of argillite, lithic graywacke, and greenish-gray radiolarian tuff. Within this melange are resistant blocks or "knockers" of greenstone, chert, limestone, graywacke, ultramafic rocks, and high-grade metamorphic rocks (Blake and others, 1985). It is thought that this melange formed within an accretionary wedge at the interface between an oceanic plate and the North American continental margin. Fossils show that these rocks range in age from Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous. Paleontologic and paleomagnetic data from limestones suggest deposition in equatorial latitudes during the Early

Cretaceous, suggesting rapid transport on oceanic plates (Alvarez and others, 1980; Tarduno and others, 1986).

The Coastal belt can be subdivided into the Coastal, Yager, and King Range terranes (Blake and others, 1985). These units are dominated by sheared and fractured mudstone, sandstone, conglomerate, basalt, and chert. These terranes range in age from Paleocene to middle Eocene and have been interpreted as trench-slope deposits (Blake and others, 1985).

The contact between the Franciscan Assemblage and the Great Valley Group originally was thought to be a thrust fault, the Coast Range thrust (Brown, 1968; Bailey and Blake, 1969); however, more recent studies suggest that this contact may be a high-angle normal fault (Jayko and others, 1987). The Coast Range ophiolite, the oceanic crust that forms the base of the the Great Valley Group, is exposed in many places along this contact (Bailey and others, 1970; Hopson and others, 1981). The Franciscan rocks in the northeastern Diablo Range represent a piercement intrusion, primarily serpentinite, that has uplifted the Great Valley Group (Pampeyan, 1961, 1964).

Great Valley Group

The Great Valley Group (Ingersoll and Dickinson, 1981; Ingersoll, 1990) includes the upper Mesozoic and Danian

strata along the western margin of the Sacramento Valley, correlative strata along the western margin of the San Joaquin Valley, and equivalent strata in the subsurface. This group of rocks was formerly called the Great Valley sequence (Bailey and others, 1964). The Great Valley Group is composed chiefly of siliciclastic, deep-marine turbidites that were deposited in the Great Valley forearc basin (Ingersoll, 1978). The present structure of the Great Valley is that of an asymmetrical syncline that has a general north-south trend (Ingersoll and Dickinson, 1981). The western limb is characterized by extensive outcrops of deep-marine strata that dip steeply to the east. The eastern limb is represented by limited exposures of gently west-dipping, shallow-marine strata that rest upon Sierran basement.

The strata along the western margin of the Sacramento Valley first were subdivided by Kirby (1943) into the Venado, Yolo, Sites, Funks, Guinda, and Forbes formations. Goudkoff (1945) subdivided the Great Valley Group into time-stratigraphic units using benthic foraminifera; this classification was later modified and expanded upon by Berry (1974) and Almgren (1986).

Petrographic studies of the Great Valley Group have shown that the Sierra Nevada batholith and Klamath Mountains were the principal sources of sediment for the Great Valley forearc basin (Ingersoll, 1983). Mertz (1990)

has also suggested the Idaho batholith as a source for part of the Great Valley Group. The initial studies along the western margin of the Sacramento basin (Dickinson and Rich, 1972) and the western margin of the San Joaquin basin (Mansfield, 1979) led to the division of the Great Valley Group into five petrographic units. Ingersoll (1983) expanded upon the previous work and divided the Great Valley Group into six units he called petrofacies. The petrofacies record the unroofing of the Sierran batholiths; older units have higher proportions of surficial volcanic detritus, whereas the younger units have increased amounts plutonic material, particularly potassium feldspar, from deep-seated sources.

Submarine-fan facies and related deposits including basin plain, outer-fan, midfan, inner-fan, and slope deposits have been recognized in the Great Valley Group (Ingersoll, 1978). Progradational and retrogradational suites were recognized based on the arrangement of these facies (Ingersoll, 1978). Sedimentologic studies along the western margin of the basin have suggested deposition by south-flowing turbidity currents (Ojakangas, 1968), but other studies have suggested predominantly west-directed paleocurrents (Ingersoll, 1978).

Because of the large volumes of hydrocarbons produced from strata of the Great Valley Group, many subsurface

studies have been conducted. Regional subsurface studies have shown that Cretaceous slope, shelf, and deltaic deposits, in addition to the submarine-fan deposits, are present beneath the Sacramento Valley (Garcia, 1980; Cherven, 1981; Nilsen, 1990). More detailed studies have demonstrated the stratigraphic relationships between submarine-fan/slope/delta systems and the importance of basinwide shale deposits for correlation purposes (Drummond and others, 1976; Imperato and others, 1990; Moore and Nilsen, 1990).

PREVIOUS WORK

Stratigraphic Framework

The strata cropping out around the margins of the Great Valley have been the subject of numerous studies since the mid-1800s. Studies focusing on mapping, stratigraphy, sedimentology, petrography, biostratigraphy, and the subsurface have led to a wide range of stratigraphic nomenclatural schemes. The most significant problem, which is made evident by this study, is the assignment of different stratigraphic names to time-equivalent strata at different localities. The stratigraphic nomenclature of the rocks bordering the Great Valley was initially developed through mapping efforts in the second half of the 1800s and the early 1900s. These strata were divided into the "Knoxville" (Upper Jurassic), "Shasta" (Lower Cretaceous), "Chico" (Upper Cretaceous), and "Martinez" (Paleocene). This early work around the margins of the Great Valley was summarized by Matsumoto (1959) and Popenoe and others (1960). Nilsen (1990) reviewed the evolution of the stratigraphic framework of the Santonian, Campanian, and Maestrichtian strata in the Sacramento Valley and synthesized data that have led to the present understanding of surface and subsurface units.

The biostratigraphic framework was established by

Goudkoff (1945), who used benthic foraminifera to define a zonation for the Upper Cretaceous strata of the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys. He erected eleven zones labelled C through J-1. The zones significant to this study are shown on Figure 4.

Almgren (1986) showed that the Forbes Formation in the Putah Creek and Cannon Hills area corresponds to the F-1 and F-2 zones. Almgren showed that F-zone strata extend southward into the northeastern Diablo Range where he referred to them as "Forbes" sandstones. The F-zone strata are underlain by G-1-zone strata, represented by the Dobbins Shale Member of the Forbes Formation in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and an unnamed shale in the northeastern Diablo Range. Overlying the F zone is the Lower E zone, which contains a very distinctive microfossil assemblage. This zone is represented in the Sacramento Shale in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the "white radiolarian shale" of Colburn (1964) in the northeastern Diablo Range (Almgren, 1986).

Coast Ranges North of Vacaville

The Upper Cretaceous "Chico series" was first divided by Kirby (1943) into, in ascending stratigraphic order, the Venado, Yolo, Sites, Funks, Guinda, and Forbes formations. Kirby's mapping extended from north of the Rumsey Hills, south to the Putah Creek area, although he did not map all

Figure 4. Late Cretaceous European stages and associated time divisions (modified from Palmer, 1983), benthic foraminiferal zones (modified from Goudkoff, 1945), and most recent stratigraphic nomenclature for the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville (Putah Creek to Vacaville) and the northeastern Diablo Range.

PUTAH CREEK TO VACAVILLE						NORTHEASTERN DIABLO RANGE					
Time (m.y.)	Formative Zones	European Stages	Emerson & Roberts (1982)	Sims & others (1971)	Nilsen (1990)	Coburn (1981, 1984)	Brabb & others (1971)	Dibblee & Darro (1981)	Chervin & Boddien (1983)	Nilsen (1990)	
70 -	D-1	Maastrichtian				Marsh Creek Formation	Moreno Formation	Moreno Shale	Marsh Creek Formation	See Nilsen (1990)	
	D-2										
75 -	E										
	Lower E	Campanian	Pleasant Valley Shale	Undiff.	Winters Formation	Marsh Creek Formation	Joaquin Ridge Sandstone	Panache Formations	Marsh Creek Formation	Sacramento Shale	
	F-1		Forbes Formation	Forbes Formation	Upper Member						Marsh Creek Formation
	F-2		Guinda Formation	Guinda Formation	Middle Member						
	G-1	Santonian			Dobbins Shale Member	Dobbins Shale Member	Marsh Shale	Unnamed Shale	Panoche Formation		
85 -			Guinda Fm.	Funke Formation							

of these units continuously from the south flank of Cache Creek to Putah Creek (fig. 1).

Correlation and nomenclatural problems developed when work was focused on local areas. The primary source of problems stemmed from correlation of the Guinda and Forbes formations. Workers in the Rumsey Hills area who followed Kirby (1943) included Goudkoff (1945), Jennings (1954), Brooks (1962a,b), Court (1966), and Wagner and Saucedo (1984). Along the south flank of Cache Creek, Jennings (1954) mapped the Guinda Formation as unconformably underlying the Pliocene Tehama Formation, but Brooks (1962a,b), Sonneman and Switzer (1962), and Sims and others (1971) mapped these rocks as the Forbes Formation.

In the Putah Creek area the mapping of Kirby (1943) and Goudkoff (1945) does not correspond. Along Putah Creek, Kirby (1943) subdivided the Forbes into the Dobbins Shale, middle, and upper members. Goudkoff (1945) mapped the Dobbins Shale Member and middle member of Kirby's Forbes Formation as the Guinda Formation. Subsequent mapping by Emerson and Roberts (1962) is consistent with Kirby (1943); they also mapped a thin shale, the Pleasants Valley Shale, above the Forbes Formation (fig. 4). Sonneman and Switzer (1962) divided the Forbes into a lower member and an upper claystone member and mapped a thin shale above the Forbes, the Sacramento Shale, which corresponds with the Pleasants Valley Shale of Emerson and

Roberts (1962). Mapping by Sims and others (1971) was similar to that of Goudkoff (1945) in this area; however, Sims and others (1971) have also included an undifferentiated shale unit at the top of the Forbes. Emerson and Roberts (1962), Sonneman and Switzer (1962), and Sims and others (1971) extended their mapping southward to the Vacaville area (fig. 4). The mapping by these workers here is consistent with their work in the Putah Creek area; however, Emerson and Roberts (1962) showed the Guinda Formation pinching out southward.

The correlation problems in the Coast Ranges from the Rumsey Hills south to Vacaville have been partly resolved as the result of biostratigraphic studies. Almgren (1986) demonstrated that Forbes Formation of Kirby (1943) and Emerson and Roberts (1962) generally correlates with the F zone. The Dobbins Shale Member corresponds to the uppermost G-1 zone and the Forbes Formation correlates with the F-1 and F-2 zones. Studies of ammonites and magnetostratigraphy (Haggart and Ward, 1984), planktic foraminifers (Trosper, 1985), radiolaria (Pessagno, 1976) and calcareous nannofossils (Filewicz, 1986) have also helped to confirm these relationships.

Northeastern Diablo Range

The stratigraphic nomenclature of the Upper Cretaceous rocks in the northeastern Diablo Range has undergone a

confusing evolution. Units have been assigned names extended northward from the central and southern Diablo Range, southward from the Coast Ranges, and from the subsurface, in addition to names applied only locally.

Taff (1935) was the first to divide the Upper Cretaceous in the northeastern Diablo Range. He mapped, in ascending stratigraphic order, the Shasta, Chico, Panoche, and Moreno Formations. Colburn (1961, 1964), working primarily in the northeastern Diablo Range (fig. 4), divided this sequence into the Marsh Creek Formation (J to D-1 zone) and the Deer Valley Formation (C zone). Sonneman and Switzer (1962) extended units northward from the central and southern Diablo Range based on previous mapping by Huey (1948), Oestreich (1958), and Payne (1960). Their Joaquin Ridge Sandstone included the uppermost G-1, F-2, F-1, and part of the E zone. Brabb and others (1971) also extended units from the central Diablo Range. They divided the Cretaceous sequence, in ascending stratigraphic order (fig. 4), into an unnamed sandstone and shale, Marliff Shale of Payne (1960), Joaquin Ridge Sandstone of Goudkoff (1945), Moreno Formation of Payne (1960), and Deer Valley Formation of Colburn (1964).

Dibblee (1980a,b,c,d) and Dibble and Darrow (1981) attempted to simplify the nomenclature by dividing the Upper Cretaceous rocks into the Panoche Formation and

Moreno Shale (fig. 4). The boundary between these units was based on a significant decrease in sandstone in the upper part of the section. Cherven and Bodden (1983) modified the geologic mapping of Brabb and others (1971) along Marsh Creek and Deer Valley roads (fig. 4). They recognized the Sacramento Shale, based on its distinctive microfossil assemblage, and the overlying E-zone Winters Formation, units that originally were recognized in the subsurface. Beneath the Sacramento Shale they showed a Marsh Creek Formation or "Marsh Creek Fan" composed of lower-fan, middle-fan, middle-fan-interchannel, and channel facies. This unit includes F-2 and F-1 zone strata; however, Cherven and Bodden (1983) did not specify the location of the base of the unit. Almgren (1986) elected to extend the Sacramento Valley units southward to the northeastern Diablo Range, where he used "Forbes" sandstone to describe the F-zone strata.

Subsurface Stratigraphy

The discovery of significant hydrocarbon accumulations in Upper Cretaceous strata in the Sacramento basin during the 1950s led to a number of subsurface studies (Chuber, 1962; Reid, 1962; Safonov, 1962, 1968; Weagant, 1962, 1972; Edmonson, 1962, 1967). Edmondson (1962) was the first to study the Forbes Formation in the subsurface in some detail. He recognized the lateral equivalence of the

shallow marine Kione and deep marine Forbes formations. He noticed that the F-zone Forbes Formation thinned eastward, and he placed an unconformity between it and the underlying G-zone Dobbins Shale. Edmondson (1962) suggested that the Forbes Formation was derived from westward-flowing rivers draining the Sierra Nevada. Thomson (1962) studied the Kione Formation and concluded that it had prograded southward from the north and east.

Detailed studies of the Forbes Formation led to a great deal of confusion regarding the depositional environment, facies, and geometry of the productive sandstone bodies. Graham (1981) recognized the turbiditic nature of this unit and believed that the Forbes represented middle-fan deposits in the subsurface and basin-plain deposits in the outcrop. Tillman (1985) suggested that sandstones in gas field areas in the central portion of the basin were laterally continuous outer-fan lobe deposits. Ward (1985) thought that the Forbes Formation is composed of thick channel sandstones interbedded with thin shales overlain by a thick shale interval. Moser and others (1986) determined that the Forbes Formation consists of both outer- and middle-fan deposits overlain by slope and shelf deposits.

Fischer and others (1986) studied cores of the Forbes Formation and observed cyclic sequences of thin-bedded mudstone, siltstone, and sandstone with Bouma sequences.

Rider (1986) suggested that the Dobbins Shale Member of the Forbes Formation was deposited near the shelf-slope break and was locally scoured during formation of submarine canyons that were subsequently filled and overlain by the Forbes Formation. Morgan and Champion (1987) suggested that the Forbes and Kione depositional system was deposited during a eustatic sea-level fall.

The most recent work on the Forbes Formation suggests that it is a mud-rich, basin-plain, deep-sea-fan, and slope turbidite system that prograded southward down the Cretaceous forearc basin (Imperato and others, 1990). The source of sediment for this system is thought to be north of the present basin margin (Mertz, 1990). The Forbes Formation is divided into the Dobbins Shale Member, an unnamed middle member, and an unnamed upper member. The Forbes is overlain in the northern and central Sacramento basin by the fluvial-dominated deltaic deposits of the Kione Formation (Garcia, 1981; Imperato and others, 1990).

The Dobbins Shale Member represents basin-plain deposits that blanketed the basin during a relative sea-level high-stand (Imperato and others, 1990); it is characterized by a low-resistivity log response and corresponds to the G-1 zone (Imperato and others, 1990). The unnamed middle member consists of vertically stacked channel-levee complexes containing, channel, channel-

margin, levee, and interchannel facies corresponding to the F-2 zone (Imperato and others, 1990). Detailed correlation of low-resistivity shale markers within the Forbes has helped delineate the channelized, discontinuous nature of the productive sandstones (Imperato and Nilsen, 1990). These channel-levee complexes form the reservoirs for the majority of the gas produced from the Forbes Formation (Weagant, 1986; Weagant and Sterling, 1989). The unnamed upper member, which corresponds to the F-1 zone, is dominated by mudstone except where cut by sandstone-filled gully deposits which acted as conduits for transport sediment from the Kione delta to the deep-sea-fan (Imperato and others, 1990).

Submarine-fan Models

An understanding of submarine-fan systems has been developed through the study of both modern and ancient systems. The sedimentary processes involved in the deposition of deep-water clastic systems, primarily turbidity currents, are especially important. Middleton and Hampton (1973, 1976) recognized four types of sediment-gravity flows that transport deep-water clastic sediment: (1) turbidity currents, (2) fluidized sediment flows, (3) grain flows, and (4) debris flows. Sediment deposited by turbidity currents forms the Bouma (1962) sequence. This sequence contains up to five divisions, a and b represent-

ing deposition in the upper flow regime, c and d representing the lower flow regime, and e representing pelagic sedimentation (Middleton and Hampton, 1976; Lowe, 1982). The turbidite is the basic element of submarine-fan deposition.

Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972) developed a classification of deep-water clastic deposits based on descriptive characteristics such as thickness, grain size, geometry, structure, and the Bouma sequence. In this classification the letters A to G are used to designate seven turbidite facies. This facies classification has become the foundation for studying deep-water clastic systems worldwide. Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972) have applied these facies to twelve facies associations for their submarine-fan model. Nelson and Nilsen (1984) provided an excellent summary of the facies and facies associations of Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972).

The Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972) classification has gained wide acceptance; however, this scheme was derived from only one type of submarine fan: that with mixed sediment types that originate from a single feeder system. The study of both modern and ancient turbidite systems by many workers throughout the world has developed three models that serve as end members to a broad spectrum of submarine-fan types. These three types of submarine fans

are (1) mixed-sediment fans, (2) sand-rich fans, and (3) mud-rich fans (Nelson and Nilsen, 1984).

The Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972) classification applies best to mixed-sediment fans. These are characterized by a mixture of grain sizes and have well developed outer-fan lobe deposits. These are also referred to as efficient fans because of their ability to transport sediment considerable distances (Mutti, 1979).

Normark (1970, 1978) studied modern fans off the coast of southern California and recognized small, mounded deep-sea fans. He named the topographic bulges, the locus of sedimentation, suprafans. This type of fan is referred to as a sand-rich fan. These are generally small, dominated by sand, and have poorly developed outer-fan lobe deposits. A number of sand-rich fans have been identified in the ancient record (Nilsen, 1979, 1981; Link and Nilsen, 1980; Link, 1981; Moore and Nilsen, 1990).

Mud-rich fans are not well documented in the ancient record, but they are well known in our modern oceans (Garrison and others, 1982; Damuth and others, 1983; Bellaiche and others, 1984; Nelson and others, 1985). These fans generally are very large, elongate, dominated by fine-grained sediment, and have poorly developed outer-fan lobes. Typically, the entire length of the fan is composed of meandering channel-levee complexes separated by extensive mud-dominated interchannel areas.

BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC CORRELATIONS

Methods

The goal of the biostratigraphic analysis was to establish time-stratigraphic correlations between the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range. The data used in this analysis are from a combination of published literature, unpublished reports, and newly acquired outcrop samples. The unpublished reports were provided courtesy of Applied Earth Technology, Inc., but the data provided were limited to information concerning the foraminiferal zones of Goudkoff (1945); lists of species were not released. Shale samples were collected by the writer from Putah Creek, Gates Canyon, Cannon Hills, and Marsh Creek (figs. 5-8) to supplement the published literature and unpublished reports.

Coast Ranges North of Vacaville

Shell Oil Company drilled thirty overlapping diamond core holes through 15,500 ft (4700 m) of section along Putah Creek in 1951 (Stinemeyer, 1979). The goal of this effort was to obtain good quality samples for paleontologic analysis to resolve correlation problems. The cores later were made available at the California Well Repository in

Figure 5. Simplified geologic map modified from Emerson and Roberts (1962) and Trosper (1985) of the Putah Creek measured section area showing locations of sandstone and shale samples and key Shell diamond core holes. Base map is the Monticello Dam 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle.

Figure 6. Simplified geologic map modified from Emerson and Roberts (1962) of the Gates Canyon measured section area showing locations of sandstone and shale samples. Base map is Mt. Vaca 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle.

Figure 7. Simplified geologic map modified from Emerson and Roberts (1962) and Sims and others (1971) of the Cannon Hills area showing locations of sandstone and shale samples. Base map is Fairfield North and Elmira 7.5-minute topographic quadrangles.

Figure 8. Simplified geologic map modified from Colburn (1964) and Cherven and Bodden (1983) of the Marsh Creek measured section area showing locations of sandstone and shale samples. Base map is Antioch South 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle.

Bakersfield and have been used in several biostratigraphic studies (Takayanagi, 1965; Troesper, 1985; Almgren, 1986).

Almgren (1986) used benthic foraminifera to establish correlations from Putah Creek south to the Cannon Hills. His correlations suggest that a complete F-zone section, bounded by the Dobbins Shale Member (G-1 zone) and Sacramento Shale (Lower E zone), is present at both of these localities. Almgren also shows that the F-1 zone, present along Putah Creek, pinches out southward north of the Cannon Hills. Troesper (1985) divided the Forbes Formation into a lower, middle, and upper member along Putah Creek using combined data obtained from the study of planktonic and benthic foraminifera, and calcareous nannofossils. The work of Almgren (1986) and Troesper (1985) generally supports the mapping of Emerson and Roberts (1962) from Putah Creek to the Cannon Hills.

The calcareous nannofossils examined from the Shell diamond core hole samples (Troesper, 1985) showed that the base of the Dobbins Shale Member and the top of the underlying sandstone-dominated Guinda Formation occurs near the top of core C-1 (fig. 5). The boundary between the Campanian (F zone) and the Santonian (G-1 zone) was shown to occur between cores B-5 at 520 ft and B-6 at 105-108 ft. Cores A-1 through A-3 at 142 ft, near the top of the section, were assigned to the P. ovalis zone of Miller

(1983) based on superposition. Samples from the Sacramento Shale (Lower E zone) in the northeastern Diablo Range also are assignable, in part, to the P. ovalis zone.

Data at the California Well Repository from Shell's original paleontologic analysis provide additional control. The F-1/F-2 boundary is shown to occur between cores A-6 and A-7 (fig. 5). This contact corresponds to the boundary between the middle and upper members of the Forbes Formation of Trosper (1985).

Five additional samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville were analyzed for foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils to supplement the previous biostratigraphic work and improve mapping (table 1). Two of the three samples from along Putah Creek (fig. 5) provided useful age control. Sample SH-1 contained foraminifera indicating the basal F-2 zone or uppermost G-1 zone (early Campanian to latest Santonian). The diagnostic species in this sample include Psammosphaera laevigata, Cribrostomoides cretacea (large variety), Eponides bandyi, Trochammina boehmi, and Stensioina exsculpta.

Sample SH-3 from Putah Creek collected above the unconformable Upper Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary contained calcareous nannofossils that suggest a middle Eocene age (fig. 5, table 1). Poorly preserved specimens of Discoaster bifax, Nannotetrina quadrata, Reticulofenestra samudorovi, and Helicosphaera seminulum, indicating the

<u>Sample Location and Number</u>	<u>Foraminifera</u>	<u>Calcareous Nannofossils</u>
Putah Creek		
SH-1	Stage: Early Campanian to Latest Santonian Zone: Basal F-2 or G-1	Barren
SH-2	Barren	Barren
SH-3	Indeterminate	Age: Middle Eocene Zone: CP13/CP14a of Okada and Bukry (1980)
Gates Canyon		
SH-1	Stage: Early to middle Campanian Zone: F-2	Barren
Cannon Hills		
SH-1	Stage: Early to middle Campanian Zone: F-2	Barren
Marsh Creek		
SH-1	Barren	Stage: Late Santonian Zone: Indeterminate
SH-2	Barren	Barren
SH-3	Barren	Barren
SH-4	Stage: Campanian Zone: Lower E	Stage: Middle Campanian Zone: <u>Quadrum nitidum</u> of Sissingh (1977)

Table 1. Biostratigraphic data from analysis of foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils from outcrop samples (sample locations on Figures 5-8).

CP13/CP14a zones of Okada and Bukry (1980), are present. Overlap of N. quadrata and D. bifax is indicative of the middle Eocene Nortonville and Lower Markley Shale, supporting the mapping of Brooks (1962a,h).

Samples SH-1 from Gates Canyon and SH-1 from the Cannon Hills (figs. 6 and 7, table 1) both contained foraminifera indicative of the F-2 zone (early to middle Campanian). Characteristic fossils in these samples include Psammophaera laevigata, Bathysiphon perampla, and Cribrostomoides cretacea (large variety). These samples helped to define the base of the F-zone strata, which is slightly different than that suggested by the mapping of Emerson and Roberts (1962).

Northeastern Diablo Range

Colburn (1961, 1964) established the approximate limits of the F-zone strata of Goudkoff (1945) in the vicinity of Marsh Creek near Mount Diablo from the analysis of twelve samples. Almgren (1986) also recognized the G-1 zone and the Lower E zone, the "white radiolarian shale" of Colburn (1961), bounding the F zone in the vicinity of Mount Diablo. His work showed that the F-1 zone is not present in this area or the Cannon Hills area.

Two of four additional samples taken from along Marsh Creek for this study (fig. 8, table 1) provided important age control to supplement the work of Colburn (1961, 1964)

and Almgren (1986). Sample SH-1 contained calcareous nannofossils including poorly preserved specimens of Watznaueria barnasea, Marthasterites furcatus, Micula staurophora, Eiffellithus eximius, Zygodiscus diplogrammus, and Chiastozygus litterareus that indicate a late Santonian age. This assemblage is diagnostic of the Dobbins Shale Member near its type locality at Salt Creek (Filewicz, 1986). Sample SH-4 (fig. 8) contained both foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils that indicate middle Campanian Lower E zone. Diagnostic foraminifera species include Anomalina henbesti, Globotruncana churchi, and Gyroidina quadrata. Calcareous nannofossils indicate the Quadrum nitidum zone (middle Campanian) of Sissingh (1977); abundant, moderately preserved specimens of W. barnasae, M. staurophora, Quadrum nitidum, Ceratolithoides aculeus, Broinsonia cf. parca, and Reinhardtites anthophorous are present. This assemblage correlates with a portion of the Sacramento Shale at its exposure in the Cannon Hills (Filewicz, 1986).

Subsurface

Subsurface biostratigraphic correlations are based on data from six wells. Data from four wells have been presented in the published literature (Almgren, 1986); two wells are from previously unpublished paleontologic

reports. Four of these wells are displayed on the regional stratigraphic cross sections (plates 7-9); and the other two are correlated with a well on a regional stratigraphic cross section (plate 8).

Almgren (1986) showed that Occidental Petroleum Corp. Mumma #1 (sec. 1, T.12N., R.1W.) and Arbuckle Unit S #1 (sec. 4, T.13N., R.2W.) contain complete F-zone sections, more than 5000 ft (1500 m) thick, with about equal thicknesses of F-1 and F-2 zones. These rocks are underlain by G-1-zone rocks and overlain by Lower E-zone rocks. The biostratigraphic data from these two wells are correlated with the Alta Petroleum, Nelson #1-6 (sec. 6, T.12N., R.2E.) on regional cross section D-D' (plate 8).

Almgren (1986) showed that the Lower E zone directly overlies the F-2 zone, with the F-1 zone absent, in the Standard Oil Co., Peter Cook #1 (sec. 8, T.4N., R.3E.). Approximately 2000 ft (610 m) of F-2-zone section is present in this well, and at a depth of over 15,000 ft (4600 m) the G-1 zone still had not been penetrated. This is the same relationship demonstrated by Almgren in outcrop between Putah Creek and the Cannon Hills where the F-1 zone pinches out southward. South of the Peter Cook #1 well, Almgren (1986) also showed that the F-1 zone is absent in the Amerada Petroleum Corp., Tracy Community #1 (sec. 15, T.2S., R.5E.) displayed on regional cross section C-C' (plate 7).

Foraminiferal data for two wells from previously confidential reports provide age control in the southern portion of the basin. Identification of G-1, F, and Lower E zones in the ARCO Oil and Gas Co., Mantelli #1, in section 14, T.1N., R.4E. (plate 8) and Champlin Petroleum Co., Texaco-Chevron-Franzia No. 1-33, in section 33, T.1N., R.8E. (plate 9) provided a basis for well-log correlations and key thicknesses for isopach maps (plate 4).

Discussion

Biostratigraphic data have established chrono-stratigraphic correlations between the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, the northeastern Diablo Range, and the subsurface of the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. Calcareous nannofossil data from sample SH-1 from Marsh Creek combined with lithologic data suggest that the Dobbins Shale is present in the northeastern Diablo Range. Sample SH-4 from Marsh Creek confirmed that the Sacramento Shale is present to the north in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and in the northeastern Diablo Range. The two basinwide shale deposits, the Dobbins Shale (G-1 zone) and Sacramento Shale (Lower E zone) with their distinct microfossil assemblages of foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils, define the limits of the thick F-zone deposits. Almgren (1986) also recognized the

southward pinchout of the F-1 zone in both outcrop and subsurface (plate 8).

STRATIGRAPHY AND SEDIMENTOLOGY

Subsurface Stratigraphy

Methods

The understanding of the stratigraphic relationships between the different lower Campanian strata in the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins was significantly aided by the use of subsurface data. Well logs were used to identify and correlate major stratigraphic units and were the principal source of information for the subsurface analysis. These correlations allowed construction of a series of maps and cross sections that display the important stratigraphic relationships between the Forbes and Marsh Creek Formations (plates 1-9).

Wells were selected for study on the basis of depth of penetration into F-zone strata (minimum of 1000 ft (300 m)), penetration of basement rock, or demonstration of an important stratigraphic or structural relationship. A total of 204 well logs, which were assigned identification numbers and are listed in Appendix 1, were selected. The area from which these wells were selected extends from the northern edge of T.12N. to the southern edge of T.5S. and follows the outline of the valley from R.3W. to R.11E. The wells are plotted on the base map shown on plate 1.

Well-log correlations were developed to display stratigraphic relationships between the Forbes and Marsh Creek formations. Correlated horizons include: (1) top of Cretaceous strata, (2) top of Sacramento Shale, (3) top of Kione Formation, (4) top of F-zone strata, (5) top of Dobbins Shale, and (6) top of basement rocks. Figures 9 and 10 display typical sections of the Forbes and Marsh Creek formations with the underlying Dobbins Shale and overlying Sacramento Shale showing the well-log characteristics of these units. The well-log correlations are included in Appendix 1.

Nine plates display the stratigraphic and structural relationships of the early Campanian strata in the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. Four maps (plates 1-4) include, (1) a base map with cross sections, (2) a structure-contour map of top basement rocks, (3) an isopach map of Kione Formation, and (4) an isopach map of F zone. Five cross sections (Plates 5-9) include three east-west sections (A-A', B-B', C-C') and two north-south sections (D-D', E-E').

Regional Stratigraphic Units

Basement Complex. The basement complex beneath the Great Valley Group consists of the Sierran igneous and metamorphic magmatic-arc complex exposed to the east, and the Franciscan Assemblage accretionary/subduction complex

Figure 9. Typical well log for the Forbes Formation
(General Petroleum Corp., Glide Court #65-10, sec. 10,
T.7N., R.3E.).

Figure 10. Typical well log for the Marsh Creek Formation (Texaco Inc., Franzia #2, sec. 20, T.1S., R.8E.).

exposed to the west. The location of the contact between these two basement types beneath the thick sedimentary sequence has not been determined. Seventy-nine studied wells penetrate the basement complex, the majority being along the eastern margin of the basin where they penetrate the Sierran basement. A structure-contour map was prepared on the top of the known basement surface (plate 2).

Four main faults or fault complexes offset the basement surface. These include (1) the Freeport fault, (2) the Thornton fault, (3) the Stockton fault complex, and (4) the Modesto fault. These faults have a general east-west to northeast-southwest orientation, perpendicular to the strike of the basement surface. The Freeport fault, located in T.7N., R.4-7E., is downthrown to the north with displacement exceeding 1000 ft (305 m). The Thornton fault, located in T.4N., R.6-8E., is downthrown to the north with a maximum offset of only a few hundred feet. The Stockton fault complex has been mapped as consisting of two major faults. The main fault is along T.1-2N. and extends from R.5E. to east of R.8E. The smaller fault, which may also continue to the east, is located a few miles north of the main fault in T.2N., R.7-8E. These faults are down to the south (plate 9), the main fault showing more than 2000 ft (610 m) of vertical displacement and the second fault more than 1000 ft (305 m). The Modesto fault is located in T.2-4S. and R.8-11E. and is downthrown to the

north with almost 2000 ft (610 m) of offset.

The F-zone strata show significant increases in thickness north of the Freeport fault and between the Stockton fault complex and the Modesto fault (plate 4). The thickness of F-zone strata between the Stockton fault complex and Modesto fault suggests a large subbasin, here named the Stockton subbasin. These F-zone isopach values indicate that the timing of the basement faulting is Campanian and/or pre-Campanian (plate 4).

The Stockton arch has been documented as being bounded by a high-angle fault along the northern margin that was active in the late Paleocene with over 2000 ft (610 m) of reverse down-to-the-north displacement (Callaway, 1964; Teitsworth, 1968). This feature forms the present-day boundary between the Sacramento and San Joaquin basins. Subsurface stratigraphic relationships show that the main Stockton fault may have had as much as 4000 ft (1220 m) of Late Cretaceous down-to-the-south displacement based on differences in thickness of the Campanian sedimentary section on opposite sides of the fault (plate 9). This suggests that the Stockton fault has a polyphase history, a Late Cretaceous phase and the previously documented late Paleocene phase. The basement structure map (plate 2), F-zone isopach map (plate 4), and cross section E-E' (plate 9) all suggest Late Cretaceous down-to-the-south displacement.

Older Great Valley Group. This group includes all units older than the Dobbins Shale. This nomenclature is used on the five regional cross sections (plates 5-9) where no attempt was made to subdivide the pre-Dobbins Shale units. This sequence includes the Cenomanian to mid-Santonian Fiske Creek, Venado, Yolo, Sites, Funks, and Guinda Formations, mapped in the Coast Ranges by Kirby (1943). The older Cretaceous strata span the J-1 to G-1 foraminiferal zones (Almgren, 1986).

Dobbins Shale. The Dobbins Shale is an upper Santonian basinwide shale unit deposited during a time of relative sea-level rise or highstand, when coarse sediment was trapped in shallow-marine environments (Imperato and others, 1990). The Dobbins Shale generally has been included as a member of the Forbes Formation (Imperato and others, 1990). However, because this unit is lithologically distinct, and because biostratigraphic and subsurface correlations show that this unit also underlies the Marsh Creek Formation in the northeastern Diablo Range and south of the Stockton fault in the subsurface, the Dobbins Shale probably should be raised to formational status. The Dobbins Shale represents a "condensed" stratigraphic interval of pelagic and hemipelagic shale, probably representing a good time-stratigraphic unit. The

top corresponds to the G-1 - F-zone boundary (fig. 4). The Dobbins Shale represents both basin-plain and marginal-slope facies associations, and possibly a shelf facies along the easternmost margin of the basin (Imperato and others, 1990).

The top of the Dobbins Shale was used for correlation of well logs to define the base of the F-zone strata (Appendix 1). On well logs the Dobbins Shale is represented by a low-resistivity log response (figs. 9 and 10). This unit ranges in thickness from 200 ft (60 m) to 500 ft (150 m) in the basin depocenter to less than 50 ft (15 m) along the eastern margin of the basin. To the east, the Dobbins Shale is onlapped by the F-2-zone Forbes Formation submarine-fan facies (plates 5 and 6). This relationship suggests the presence of a basin-margin unconformity (Imperato and others, 1990).

Forbes Formation. The Forbes Formation was deposited as a mud-rich submarine fan-slope turbidite system fed by the Kione delta system that prograded southward down the plunging axis of the forearc basin (Imperato and others, 1990). The Forbes Formation is early Campanian in age and corresponds to the F zone of Goudkoff (1945). This unit can be divided into submarine-fan and slope facies associations which, in the subsurface, are recognized by their depositional facies, well-log signatures,

biostratigraphic zones, seismic facies, and sandstone distribution (Imperato and others, 1990).

The Forbes Formation is more than 6000 ft (1800 m) in thickness in T.9-12N. R.1W. and thins to less than 2000 ft (600 m) in T.3N. (plate 4). This thinning can be attributed to the pinching out of the Kione delta system along the T.8-9N. border (plate 3). The Kione delta provided the sediment to the Forbes Formation; once this system shut off, Forbes deposition ceased. In the depositional axis of the basin, the contact between the Forbes Formation and Dobbins Shale is conformable. To the east the Forbes Formation gradually thins until it grades into the shelf deposits represented by part of the Chico Formation. This eastward thinning can be attributed to the onlap of the submarine-fan and slope deposits onto the Dobbins Shale along a basin-margin unconformity (plates 5 and 6).

The Forbes Formation was divided into submarine-fan and slope facies associations on three of the regional stratigraphic cross sections (plates 5, 6, and 8). The boundary between these two facies associations is based on well-log signatures (fig. 9), biostratigraphic zones, and sandstone distribution.

The submarine-fan facies association consists of a series of stacked channel-levee sequences. The channel-levee sequences range in thickness from 100 ft (30 m) to

500 ft (150 m) and form thickening-upward and then thinning-upward vertical symmetrical cycles (Imperato and others, 1990). These symmetrical cycles represent laterally migrating channel-levee sequences. The lower part of each sequence represents levee deposits that thicken upward. These are overlain by channel and channel-margin deposits that have blocky and thinning-upward log responses (fig. 9). The submarine-fan facies (see cross section D-D' on plate 8) corresponds to the F-2 zone of Goudkoff (1945).

The slope facies association, which conformably overlies the submarine fan, is represented by shale-rich deposits that are cut by laterally discontinuous sandstone- and conglomerate-filled gully systems. The overall percentage of sandstone is less in the slope because there are fewer gully deposits in the slope compared to channel-levee complexes in the submarine fan. These gully systems acted as conduits to transport sediment from the Kione delta system to the submarine fan. Cross sections A-A' and B-B' (plates 5 and 6) show the slope facies as laterally equivalent to the shelf deposits of the Chico Formation to the east. Conformably overlying the Forbes slope deposits is the Kione delta which pinches out along the border between T.8-9N. South of here the Sacramento Shale conformably overlies the slope deposits. Gully sandstones typically are fining-upward or have blocky or ratty log

signatures (fig. 9). Gully deposits range in thickness from less than 50 ft (15 m) up to 500 ft (150 m); however, most complexes are on the order of 100 ft (30 m) to 200 ft (60 m) thick. The sandstone-to-shale ratios of the slope facies are typically less than those of the submarine-fan facies association. Because the gully systems acted as conduits to transport sediment, coarse material may not have accumulated within the gullies and some of these were later filled with hemipelagic shale. The slope facies association and the Kione Formation were formed during the F-1 zone of Goudkoff (1945), as demonstrated by biostratigraphic data (see cross section D-D' on plate 8). South of the pinchout of the Kione delta, the slope deposits gradually thin until they pinch out in the vicinity of T.4-5N.

Kione Formation. The Kione Formation is the deltaic system that conformably overlies the Forbes Formation slope facies association north of T.8-9N.; it provided the sediment to the prograding Forbes depositional system. Resting conformably above the Kione Formation is the Sacramento Shale. An isopach map of the Kione Formation (plate 3) shows a maximum thickness of almost 500 ft (150 m) in T.12N., R.1W. In the central Sacramento basin, this unit reaches thicknesses of more than 1000 ft (300 m). The isopach map shows four main north-south-oriented deltaic

lobes that pinch out between T.7N. and T.9N. This north-south orientation and the termination to the south suggest that the Kione delta system prograded from the north (plates 3 and 8). The lobate nature of this system suggests that it is a fluvial-dominated system (Galloway, 1975). The Kione Formation is truncated to the east and west in the subsurface by regional unconformities and locally by the Oligocene Markley Canyon fill (Almgren, 1984) in the vicinity of T.12N., R.4-5E. The Kione is made up of a series of delta-front packages that have coarsening- and thickening-upward log signatures (plates 5 and 8). Mud-rich prodelta deposits are included in the Forbes Formation slope facies.

Marsh Creek Formation. The Marsh Creek Formation is a submarine-fan system that is not documented in the subsurface in published literature. Published information concerning the Marsh Creek Formation has been derived from outcrops in the northeastern Diablo Range. This unit is of early Campanian age (F zone) and thus is the same age as the Forbes Formation. The basement structure map (plate 2) and the F-zone isopach map (plate 4) suggest that the Stockton subbasin was the depositional site of this system. Subsurface control for the Marsh Creek is very sparse with the exception of a few wells near the eastern margin of the basin and along the outcrop belt. It is unclear if the

Marsh Creek Formation is restricted to the Stockton subbasin or if it extends farther to the south.

Subsurface information from the eastern margin of the basin in T.1N.-1S., R.8E. indicates the presence of a series of thick east-west-oriented sandstone packages (plates 7 and 9). The sandstone packages range in thickness from 100 ft (30 m) to 500 ft (150 m) and are characterized by blocky to fining-upward log signatures (fig. 10). These sandstones may represent a series of stacked submarine-canyon deposits cutting through slope deposits. The Marsh Creek Formation does not appear to have an associated deltaic system; therefore, it probably was fed directly by sediment transported through these large submarine canyons.

Chico Formation. The Chico Formation includes the shelf deposits of F-zone age that are equivalent to the Forbes and Marsh Creek Formations along the eastern margin of the basin. The approximate limits of this unit are defined on the F-zone isopach map (plate 4). The basinward lateral equivalent of this unit in the southern Sacramento basin is the Forbes Formation slope facies (plates 5 and 6). The Chico Formation generally rests directly upon or just above the Sierran basement and ranges in thickness from over 700 ft (200 m) to less than 100 ft (30 m). This unit shows a variety of blocky, ratty, coarsening- and

fining-upward log signatures (plates 6 and 9). Upper slope, shoreface, deltaic, and fluvial deposits all probably are represented in the Chico Formation.

Sacramento Shale. The Sacramento Shale is a mid-Campanian basinwide shale that probably was deposited during a relative sea-level rise or high stand (Nilsen, 1990). This unit represents a "condensed" stratigraphic interval of pelagic and hemipelagic deposits. The Sacramento Shale has been documented paleontologically as a good time-stratigraphic marker and therefore serves as the stratigraphic datum on four of the regional stratigraphic cross sections (plates 5-8). This unit corresponds to the Lower E zone, defined by a very distinct microfossil assemblage (Almgren, 1986). The Sacramento Shale represents basin-plain deposition in the southwestern portions of the basin, and slope and shelf deposition to the north, northeast, and east.

The Sacramento Shale overlies the Kione, Forbes, and Marsh Creek formations, its base marking the top of the F zone. The Sacramento Shale generally is easy to recognize and is correlated in well logs on the basis of its low-resistivity response and uniform thickness within the basin (figs. 9 and 10). Thicknesses range from 200 ft (60 m) to 400 ft (120 m) within the basin to less than 50 ft (15 m) along the basin margins. The top of the Sacramento Shale

was used for regional well-log correlations and are included in Appendix 1.

Upper Campanian and Maestrichtian Strata. Upper Campanian and Maestrichtian strata include a series of submarine-fan/slope/delta systems separated by basinwide shale units (Moore and Nilsen, 1990). These units represent the final phase of Cretaceous marine sedimentation in the Great Valley forearc basin. Submarine-fan/slope units include the Winters, Lathrop, Tracy, and Blewett formations. Deltaic units include the Starkey, Mokelumne River, and Garzas formations. Basinwide shale units include the Sawtooth, Ragged Valley, H&T, and Hall shales. The upper Campanian and Maestrichtian strata are included in the E, D-2, D-1, and C zones of Goudkoff (1945). The Sawtooth Shale was used as the stratigraphic datum on cross section E-E' (plate 9) to show the increased thickness of the entire Campanian interval within the Stockton subbasin.

Post-Cretaceous Unconformities. Four major post-Cretaceous unconformities that truncate the Campanian and Maestrichtian strata are shown on the five regional cross sections (plates 5-9). These include unconformities at the base of: (1) the Capay Formation, (2) the Markley Canyon fill, (3) the Valley Springs-Mehrten Formation, and (4) the Tehama Formation. The Capay Formation is a shallow-water

marine shale with a basal sandstone unit (Cherven, 1983); it ranges in thickness from 100 ft (30 m) to more than 500 ft (150 m). The Markley Canyon was cut in the late Eocene and filled primarily with hemipelagic mud in the Oligocene. This cut and fill was related to a cycle of tectonism, erosion, and relative sea-level rise (Almgren, 1984). The Valley Springs and Mehrten formations are composed of nonmarine sandstone, conglomerate, and shale (California Division of Oil and Gas, 1982) that reflect erosion of Miocene volcanics in the Sierra Nevada. The Tehama Formation is a Plio-Pleistocene unit composed chiefly of nonmarine sandstone and gravel in the Sacramento Valley.

Outcrop Stratigraphy and Sedimentology

Methods

Three stratigraphic sections of F-zone strata were measured (Appendix 2), two in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and one in the northeastern Diablo Range. Sections measured in the Coast Ranges are in sections 22, 23, and 27, T.8N., R.2W., just north of Putah Creek (fig. 5), and in sections 11 and 12, T.6N., R.2W., just north of Gates Canyon (fig. 6). These sections were selected because of the presence of a complete F-zone interval from the top of the Dobbins Shale to the base of the Sacramento Shale. Maps by Emerson and Roberts (1962) and

biostratigraphic control also were considered when selecting these sections. North of Putah Creek the Sacramento Shale and upper Forbes Formation are truncated so that these sections are not complete. South of Gates Canyon the Forbes Formation is structurally complicated in the Cannon Hills area (fig. 7). The section measured in section 36, T.1N., R.1E. and section 31, T.1N., R.2E. in the northeastern Diablo Range is along Marsh Creek (fig. 8). This section was selected because of the presence of a complete section, biostratigraphic control, quality of the exposures, and accessibility.

All three sections were measured using a 1.5-m Jacob staff, and all sandstone and shale beds were measured and described in detail. Beds less than 3 cm thick were measured and described with adjacent beds. Covered intervals were measured and included in the descriptions. Descriptions for sandstone and shale beds include the features of the Bouma (1962) divisions and the turbidite facies of Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972). Descriptions also include sedimentary features that were thought to be significant in making interpretations. Special attention was focused on measurement of paleocurrent indicators, principally flute and groove casts. All paleocurrent measurements from one bed were averaged so that each individual bed is shown as having only one paleocurrent measurement.

Putah Creek Measured Section

The F-zone (Forbes Formation) section along Putah Creek (fig. 5) is 1604 m (5262 ft) in thickness (Appendix 2). The outcrop belt has a general north-south trend, the average strike is about 173 degrees, and the dips range from 69 degrees east at the base of the section to 55 degrees east at the top. The outcrops consist of thick, competent sandstone packages forming north-south-trending ridges with intervening canyons underlain by poorly exposed strata (fig. 11). The upper portion of the section has a significantly lower ratio of sandstone to shale/coversed interval than the lower part. The lower 1010 m (3314 ft) of section has 18% sandstone and the upper 594 m (1949 ft) of section has 5% sandstone.

Sandstone bodies range in thickness from a few meters to up to 30 m (100 ft), and commonly are discontinuous along strike. They consist of amalgamated or closely interbedded sandstone beds, ranging in thickness from a few centimeters up to 7 m (23 ft). Sandstones are dominantly medium grained and fine upward to very fine sandstone or siltstone for the upper 10 percent of the bed. Sandstone bodies display both thickening- and thinning-upward sequences as well as packages with no apparent trend. Individual beds commonly have erosive bases with flat tops and show lateral thickness variations. Sandstone beds are

Figure 11. View northward of east-dipping sandstone bodies of the Forbes Formation forming a prominent ridge north of Putah Creek (SE1/4 sec. 22, T.8N., R.2W., Monticello Dam 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

graded and show the Ta, Tab, or Tabc Bouma divisions and are classified as Facies B of Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972).

The Facies B beds show a wide range of sedimentary features in addition to the massive, planar laminated, and ripple laminated subdivisions of the Bouma Tabc sequence. The Tc division locally is characterized by convolute or wavy subparallel laminations (fig. 12) instead of the typical ripple laminations. Shale rip-up clasts near the base of beds are fairly common. Flame structures also are typical at sandstone-shale-bed boundaries, indicating loading and dewatering. Dish and pillar structures within Facies B beds are additional evidence of dewatering of sandstones during deposition (fig. 12). Rare shell fragments are associated with Ta subdivisions in Facies B beds. Concretions are common and are distributed laterally within a bed or localized as "cannonball" concretions.

Shale intervals also contain thinly bedded, discontinuous sandstone and siltstone beds ranging in thickness from 1 to 10 cm (0.4 to 4 in). These beds show the Tc Bouma division, and are classified as Facies E of Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi (1972). Towards the top of the section at 1350 m, two beds composed of shale rip-up clasts supported in a sandstone matrix form the base of two sandstone bodies. These beds are laterally discontinuous and are classified as Facies A of Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi

Figure 12. Amalgamated Facies B sandstones of the Forbes Formation showing wavy laminations, scour, and dish structures from the Putah Creek measured section (SW1/4 sec. 22, T.8N. R.2W., Monticello Dam 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

(1972). Rare Facies C and D beds occur within thick covered or shale intervals.

Thirty groove and flute casts were measured on eighteen different sandstone beds in the vicinity of the Putah Creek measured section. All flute casts suggest a general southerly transport direction; therefore, the bidirectional groove casts of similar trend are inferred to reflect a similar paleocurrent direction. The mean paleocurrent measurement is 163 degrees with a range from 132 to 185 degrees indicating a south-southeast transport direction (fig. 13).

Gates Canyon Measured Section

The F-zone section (Forbes Formation) just north of Gates Canyon (fig. 6) is 1202 m (3942 ft) thick (Appendix 2). The section strikes 168 degrees; dips range from 40 to 51 degrees to the northeast. The outcrop is characterized by north-south-trending ridges of thick, resistant sandstone bodies separated by canyons underlain by poorly exposed strata. As in the Putah Creek measured section, the upper portion of this section shows a decrease in sandstone with respect to shale/covered interval. The lower 975 m (3199 ft) of section has 12% sandstone and the upper 227 m (745 ft) has 3% sandstone.

The character of the Gates Canyon measured section is remarkably similar to that at the Putah Creek measured

Figure 13. Paleocurrent rose diagram based on Putah Creek data.

section to the north. Sandstone bodies range in thickness from 2 m (7 ft) up to about 12 m (40 ft), with individual beds ranging from a few centimeters to 3 m (10 ft). Sandstone bodies display both thickening- and thinning-upward sequences. These sandstone bodies are dominated by medium-grained Facies B beds with associated Facies E beds (fig. 14).

Facies B beds with erosive bases and lateral thickness variations typically exhibit Bouma Ta, Tab, or Tabc divisions. Additional sedimentary features include shale rip-up clasts, flame structures, convolute laminations, and dewatering structures. Post-depositional features include concretionary beds or "cannonball" concretions. Laterally continuous pinch and swell Facies E beds are typically interbedded with shale (fig. 14). These beds exhibit Bouma Tc divisions and range in thickness from 1-10 cm (0.4 to 4 in).

This section also contains local Facies A conglomerate composed of shale rip-up clasts near the top of the section at 1090 m. Facies C and D beds locally are associated with thick covered or shale intervals.

Eighty flute and groove casts were measured on the base of sixteen different sandstone beds in the vicinity of the Gates Canyon measured section (fig. 15). Flute casts all show a general southerly transport direction similar to the paleocurrents measured at Putah Creek. Groove casts

Figure 14. Forbes Formation Facies B and E sandstones from the Gates Canyon measured section (NW1/4 sec. 11, T.6N., R.2W., Mt. Vaca 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

Figure 15. Flute and groove casts at the base of a Forbes Formation Facies B sandstone from along the Gates Canyon measured section (NW1/4 sec. 11, T.6N., R.2W., Mt. Vaca 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

have this same trend and are interpreted also to have been formed by south-flowing currents. The mean paleocurrent direction is 180 degrees, with a range from 139 to 245 degrees, indicating a southward transport direction (fig. 16).

Marsh Creek Measured Section

The Marsh Creek section (fig. 8) is 1329 m (4360 ft) thick (Appendix 2). The outcrop belt trends northwest-southeast, with an average strike of 119 degrees. Dips range from 41 to 60 degrees to the northeast, generally shallowing towards the top.

The Marsh Creek measured section can be separated into three distinct sandstone intervals, lower, middle, and upper, separated by thick, covered intervals. The lower interval is 330 m (1083 ft) thick, the lower 135 m (443 ft) of which is dominated by shale and covered sections with relatively few interbedded sandstones. The upper part is dominated by sandstone. Sandstone bodies range in thickness from 2 m (7 ft) up to 15 m (50 ft), with individual beds ranging from a centimeter to more than 7 m (23 ft) thick. In some sequences, sandstone bodies thicken upward (fig. 17); however, most do not have a consistent vertical arrangement. Beds show a wide range of grain sizes, from silt to granule, even within individual sandstone bodies. Sandstones are laterally continuous, and

Figure 16. Paleocurrent rose diagram based on Gates Canyon data.

Figure 17. Overall thickening-upward sequence of the Marsh Creek Formation from the Marsh Creek measured section with Dr. Henry J. Moore II for scale (sec. 36, T.1N., R.1E., Antioch South 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

typically have sharp bases and tops. Some show minor channels at the base. Beds are normally graded and fine upward to a thin shale parting where not amalgamated (fig. 18). Sandstones show various components of the Bouma divisions (fig. 18) and are classified as Facies C and D beds of Mutti and Ricci Lucchi (1972). Sedimentary features in addition to the Bouma sequences include shale rip-up clasts, convolute laminations, and dewatering structures; biogenic features include burrows and shell fragments; and post-depositional features include concretionary beds and "cannonball" concretions.

The middle interval is 206 m (676 ft) thick and is separated from the lower interval by 492 m (1614 ft) of covered interval. Sandstone bodies range from 2 m (7 ft) to 25 m (80 ft) thick; individual beds range from a centimeter to more than 6 m (20 m). Sandstones are dominantly medium grained, although there are a few siltstones and fine-grained sandstones. Sandstone beds within this interval are assigned to Facies B, D, and E of Mutti and Ricci Lucci (1972). Facies B beds are normally graded with Bouma Ta, Tab, or Tabc divisions, commonly amalgamated, and channelized (fig. 19). Facies D beds, typically less than 50 cm (20 in) thick, are much thinner than the Facies B beds, lack the Bouma Ta division, and show more lateral continuity. Facies E beds range in thickness from 1 to 10 cm (0.4 to 4 in), are laterally

Figure 18. Interbedded Facies C and D sandstone^s and Facies G shale of the Marsh Creek Formation along the Marsh Creek measured section (sec. 36, T.1N., R.1E., Antioch South 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

Figure 19. Amalgamated Facies B sandstones of the Marsh Creek Formation showing scoured surfaces and wavy and convolute laminations along the Marsh Creek measured section (NW1/4, sec. 31, T.1N., R.2E., Antioch South 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

discontinuous, and have the Bouma Tc or Tcd divisions. Additional sedimentary features within this interval include shale rip-up clasts, flame structures, convolute laminations, and dewatering structures; biogenic features include burrows and shell fragments; and post-depositional features include concretionary beds.

The upper interval is 101 m (331 ft) thick and is separated from the middle interval by 200 m (656 ft) of covered interval. This upper interval is characterized by thick, coarse- to fine-grained, highly channelized sandstone bodies. The main sandstone body is 42 m (138 ft) thick, with individual Facies A and B beds ranging in thickness from 1.5 to 16 m (5 to 53 ft). These beds are composed of medium to coarse sand; pebbles also are present, but in minor amounts. These beds are separated by intervals of shale with interbedded Facies E sandstone and siltstone. Synsedimentary, deformed Facies F sandstone, siltstone, and shale also were recognized in this interval. A second, thinner (5 m (16 ft)), medium-grained sandstone body near the top of the section shows evidence of channelization, with a Facies B bed pinching out laterally into interbedded shale and Facies E beds (fig. 20). This sandstone body is bounded at its base and top by interbedded shale and sandstone. The Facies A and B beds show Bouma Ta or Tab divisions, contain shale rip-up clasts, and commonly are concretionary.

Figure 20. Facies B, D, and E sandstones of the Marsh Creek Formation near the top of the Marsh Creek measured section with Dr. Henry J. Moore II for scale (sec. 4, T.1S., R.2E., Antioch South 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle).

Fifty-five flute and groove casts and channel orientations on 22 different beds along and in the vicinity of the Marsh Creek measured section were employed as paleocurrent indicators. Flute casts consistently indicate a west to southwest transport direction; therefore, groove casts and channels that have a similar trend are inferred to represent a similar transport direction. The mean paleocurrent measurement is 237 degrees, with a range from 192 to 278 degrees (fig. 21)

Interpretations and Discussion

Based on (1) the total thickness of the sections, (2) overall distribution of sandstone, (3) distribution of facies and facies associations, (4) paleocurrent measurements, and (5) previous work, the Forbes Formation is interpreted to represent part of a southward-prograding, mud-rich, submarine fan-slope system; the Marsh Creek Formation is interpreted as a separate, west-southwest-prograding, mixed-sediment submarine-fan system.

The thickness pattern of the three F-zone sections most convincingly suggests the presence of two depositional systems. The thicknesses of the Putah Creek (T.8N., R.2W., 1604 m) and Gates Canyon (T.6N., R.2W., 1202 m) sections in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville show a decrease in thickness of 402 m (1321 ft) to the south. Much farther to the south, in the northeastern Diablo Range, the Marsh

Figure 21. Paleocurrent rose diagram based on Marsh Creek data.

Creek (T.1N., R.1-2E., 1329 m) section shows an increase in thickness of 127 m (418 ft) relative to the southernmost section (Gates Canyon) in the Coast Ranges.

The distribution of sandstone within the two measured sections in the Coast Ranges is distinctly different from that in the northeastern Diablo Range. The Putah Creek and Gates Canyon sections show a significant decrease in sandstone relative to shale/covered interval in the upper parts of the sections. The lower 1010 m of the Putah Creek section has 18% sandstone and the upper 594 m has 5% sandstone. The lower 975 m of the Gates Canyon section has 12% sandstone and the upper 227 m has 3% sandstone. The lower parts of these sections with a high concentration of sandstone are interpreted as submarine-fan deposits, and the upper parts of the sections with a lower concentration of sandstone are interpreted to mark the change to slope deposits. The decrease in thickness between these two sections of 402 m (1321 ft) is reflected primarily in the slope deposits, which thin by 367 m (1204 ft). This is consistent with the biostratigraphic and subsurface data that suggest a southward-thinning Forbes system, primarily of the slope facies (plate 8).

The distribution of sandstone within the Marsh Creek section does not show any clear pattern. In contrast to the sections in the Coast Ranges, the Marsh Creek section has a higher concentration of sandstone in the upper half

of the section (15%) than in the the lower half of the section (12%). Total percentages of sandstone for each section are: (1) Marsh Creek, 27%, (2) Putah Creek, 23%, and (3) Gates Canyon, 15%.

The sandstone facies in the Putah Creek and Gates Canyon sections are remarkably similar; the Marsh Creek section shows some similarities, but also significant differences. The Putah Creek and Gates Canyon sections are dominated by Facies B beds and associated Facies E beds throughout the section. Sandstone bodies show lateral discontinuity and many Facies B beds show significant channellization and lateral thickness variations. These sandstone bodies are interpreted as channel-levee sequences that include channel, channel-margin, and levee facies associations within the submarine fan. Channel and channel-margin deposits are sandstone rich, generally thin upward, and are comprised chiefly of thick-bedded Facies B beds. Levee deposits are more thinnly bedded with more Facies E beds, tend to thicken upward, and are more shale rich. Thick shale/coveted intervals separating the sandstone bodies may represent an interchannel facies association. The sandstone bodies in the upper part of the sections, where there is a significant decrease in sandstone, are interpreted as gully facies associations within the shale-rich slope. Sandstone bodies in the upper

part of the sections are similar to those in the lower part of the section with the exception of the Facies A shale rip-up-clast conglomerates. However, it is the lower percentage of sandstone in the upper part of the section that supports the gully facies association interpretation. The vertical sequence of beds in Putah Creek and Gates Canyon sections suggests a prograding, mud-rich submarine-fan/slope system.

The Marsh Creek section can be divided into three distinct sandstone intervals with different facies and facies associations. The lower interval grades upwards from a shale-rich sequence with minor interbedded sandstones into a sandstone-rich sequence. This sandstone-rich sequence is dominated by sandstone bodies composed of Facies C and D beds, and many sandstone bodies show a general thickening-upward pattern. This lower interval is interpreted as a basin plain, fan fringe, and outer-fan lobe sequence. Nine separate lobes have been distinguished within the sandstone-rich sequence (Appendix 2).

The middle sandstone interval is very similar to the channel-levee sequences of the Putah Creek and Gates Canyon sections. This interval is dominated by Facies B beds that are channelized and vary in thickness laterally. Thinner Facies D and E beds commonly are interbedded with the Facies B beds within this interval. This interval is interpreted as a middle-fan, channel-levee sequence with

channel, channel-margin, levee, and interchannel facies associations. The thick, covered interval separating the lower and middle intervals is interpreted as an outer-fan/middle-fan transition.

The upper interval is represented by coarse, thick-bedded, highly channelized Facies A and B beds with interbedded shale and Facies E beds. The sandstone packages show a general thinning-upward sequence and are separated by shale and covered intervals. This interval is interpreted as an inner-fan-channel sequence with sandstone-rich inner-fan channels separated by shale-rich interchannel deposits. The thick, covered section separating the middle and upper intervals probably represents a middle-fan/inner-fan transition. The entire vertical sequence of the Marsh Creek section suggests a prograding mixed-sediment submarine-fan system.

The paleocurrent measurements from the three sections show significant differences. The mean measurement from the Putah Creek section is 163 degrees, suggesting southward paleoflow with a slight eastward component. The mean from the Gates Canyon section is 180 degrees indicating a southward paleoflow. The Marsh Creek mean is 237 degrees, indicating paleoflow to the southwest. These Marsh Creek measurements are consistently to the west and southwest for the lower, middle, and upper intervals. This

difference in paleocurrent direction between the Putah Creek and Gates Canyon areas and the Marsh Creek area again suggests the presence of two separate depositional systems.

PETROGRAPHY

Methods

The primary objective of the petrographic analysis is to determine any differences in modal compositions of F-zone sandstones in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range. Twenty-seven sandstone samples were collected for petrographic analysis from Putah Creek, Gates Canyon, and the Cannon Hills in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville (figs. 5-7) and from along Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range (fig. 8). Samples were collected throughout the measured sections to examine the possibility of changes in source through time. Additional samples were collected from the structurally complex Cannon Hills area, which contains the southernmost exposures of F-zone strata in the Coast Ranges. Wherever possible medium-grained sandstones were collected for consistency in modal analyses.

Samples were prepared by the National Petrographic Service in Houston, Texas for petrographic analysis. All samples were impregnated with blue epoxy dye, and half of each thin section was stained for potassium and plagioclase feldspar. Petrographic analysis was conducted with a Leitz petrographic microscope using a point-count stage. Point counting was done using the Gazzi-Dickinson method

(Dickinson, 1970; Ingersoll and others, 1984); more than 300 points were counted per sample. Counts were performed without knowledge of the sample localities to avoid bias. Petrographic parameters and definitions follow those of Dickinson (1970) and Ingersoll (1983), with some minor modifications.

Textural and Diagenetic Features

An understanding of the textural maturity and the diagenetic history of the sandstone samples was established prior to point counting to provide a framework to work within. Sandstones represent a similar level of textural maturity with the exception of two samples from Marsh Creek (MC-7 and MC-8), which are markedly different. The sandstones are poorly sorted and matrix-rich, and the grains are angular to subangular. These samples are texturally and compositionally immature to submature. The two anomalous samples from Marsh Creek are quartz-rich and moderately sorted, and have a high percentage of calcite cement; the grains are subrounded to rounded. These two samples show a much higher level of maturity both texturally and compositionally than all other samples.

Recognition of the diagenetic effects in the sandstone samples is extremely important to the petrographic analysis. The diagenetic alterations must be removed as much as possible to reconstruct the original detrital

composition of the sandstone (Dickinson, 1970; Ingersoll, 1983). The principal diagenetic events that were recognized in this study are similar to those recognized by Mertz (1990) in his study of Campanian and Maestrichtian sandstones in the Sacramento basin.

The early diagenetic events include compaction and mineralogic alteration. Evidence of physical compaction includes breakage and deformation of individual grains and an overall tight packing. The development of a pseudomatrix that can significantly affect the modal data is common in many samples. Partial replacement of grains, especially plagioclase, by potassium feldspar, is common. Staining for both plagioclase and potassium feldspar greatly aids in the recognition of this process.

A second level of diagenetic events is mesogenesis or "intense diagenesis", which occurs above about 80 degrees C (Surdam and Crossey, 1987). The diagenetic events observed in this study attributable to mesogenesis include dissolution, precipitation of clay rims, and increasing development of pseudomatrix. Dissolution and partial dissolution of unstable aluminosilicate grains, mainly plagioclase, biotite, and volcanic lithic fragments, are widespread in some samples. This process creates abundant secondary porosity and can significantly affect modal data if not recognized. Precipitation of illite/smectite clay

rims, significantly occluding pore space, also was observed. High levels of pseudomatrix development were recognized in some samples; reconstruction of the original detrital modes in these samples was especially difficult. A late-stage diagenetic event that was observed in the two anomalous Marsh Creek samples was the precipitation of calcite cement. In these samples, virtually all original pore space has been filled by cement.

Provenance

The objective of the petrographic study is to determine any modal differences of the F-zone sandstones in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range. This should confirm or deny the presence of different Forbes and Marsh Creek systems and show if there was any mixing between these systems.

Study of the vertical sequence of the Great Valley Group documents the unroofing of the Sierran magmatic arc (Dickinson, 1970; Dickinson and Rich, 1972; Dickinson and others, 1979, 1983; Ingersoll, 1978, 1983; Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Mansfield, 1979). The lithic parameters developed by these workers that are used in this study are defined in Table 2.

Ingersoll (1983) used seven petrographic parameters to define six units called petrofacies. The Campanian F-zone sandstones were included by Ingersoll in the Rumsey

Qm	=	Monocrystalline Quartz
Qp	=	Polycrystalline Quartz (including chert)
Q	=	Total Quartz (Qm + Qp)
P	=	Plagioclase feldspar
K	=	Potassium feldspar
F	=	Total feldspar (P + K)
Ls	=	Sedimentary lithic fragment
Lm	=	Metamorphic lithic fragment
Lv	=	Volcanic lithic fragment
L	=	Ls + Lm + Lv
Lt	=	L + Qp
M	=	Mica
Ms	=	Miscellaneous unidentified framework grains

Table 2. Explanation of petrographic parameters.

petrofacies, the youngest of the petrofacies units. QFL and QmFLt ternary diagrams study show that 25 of the 27 samples from this study fall within or very close to the Rumsey petrofacies (figs. 22 and 23; table 3). The two anomalous samples from the Marsh Creek section fall within the field of recycled orogenic detritus (Dickinson and others, 1983). QmPK and LvLsLm ternary diagrams and P/F, Lv/L, and Qp/Q ratios for samples from this study also are similar to those for the Rumsey petrofacies (figs 24-26).

Coast Ranges North of Vacaville

The eighteen samples (figs. 5-7; table 3) from the three localities in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville (Putah Creek, Gates Canyon, and Cannon Hills) yielded very similar results and will be discussed together. On QFL and QmFLt ternary diagrams (figs. 22 and 23) these samples are grouped very closely and fall within the dissected arc field of Dickinson and others (1983). These samples correspond very closely with the Rumsey petrofacies, with about 90% falling within the limits of Ingersoll's (1983) Rumsey field. These samples, however, are slightly more feldspathic than those of the typical Rumsey petrofacies. The QmPK ternary diagram (fig. 24) shows less of a correspondence with the Rumsey petrofacies, with just over 60% of the samples falling within the Rumsey field. The samples that fall outside the Rumsey field have a

Figure 22. QFL ternary diagram for F-zone sandstones. Fields were defined by Dickinson and others (1983) and Ingersoll (1983).

Figure 23. QmFLt ternary diagram for F-zone sandstones. Fields were defined by Dickinson and others (1983) and Ingersoll (1983).

	QFL%					FRMK%				QmPK%				LmLvLs%		
Sample No.	Q	Qm	F	L	Lt	M	P/F	Lv/L	Qp/Q	Qm	P	K	Lm	Lv	Ls	
Putah Creek																
SS-1	41	36	39	20	25	8	61	60	12	48	32	20	34	60	6	
SS-2	36	32	35	29	33	7	69	68	11	49	35	16	25	68	7	
SS-3	39	33	36	25	31	5	60	68	15	48	31	21	25	68	7	
SS-4	39	33	42	19	25	8	70	60	16	44	39	17	30	60	10	
SS-5	33	29	43	24	28	5	62	70	13	40	37	23	16	70	14	
SS-6	41	35	37	22	27	2	65	55	13	49	33	18	36	55	9	
SS-7	32	30	44	24	26	6	59	73	6	41	35	24	22	73	5	
Mean	37.3	32.6	39.4	23.3	27.9	5.9	63.7	64.9	12.3	45.6	34.6	19.9	26.9	64.9	8.3	
S.D.	3.7	2.5	3.6	3.4	3.1	2.1	4.4	6.5	3.3	3.9	2.8	3.0	7.0	6.5	3.0	
Gates Canyon																
SS-1	36	32	40	24	28	4	72	71	11	44	40	16	21	71	8	
SS-2	39	35	36	25	29	5	59	73	10	49	30	21	24	73	3	
SS-3	36	33	43	21	24	8	65	73	8	43	37	20	23	73	4	
SS-4	46	39	34	20	27	5	68	52	16	53	32	15	39	52	9	
SS-5	39	33	36	26	31	7	59	71	15	48	31	22	23	71	6	
SS-6	35	31	43	22	26	6	58	69	12	42	34	24	29	69	2	
SS-7	35	29	46	19	24	5	68	70	15	39	42	19	19	70	11	
Mean	38.0	33.1	39.7	22.4	27.0	5.7	64.1	68.4	12.4	45.4	35.1	19.6	25.4	68.4	6.1	
S.D.	3.9	3.2	4.5	2.6	2.6	1.4	3.9	7.4	3.0	4.8	4.6	3.2	6.7	7.4	3.3	
Cannon Hills																
SS-1	38	35	39	23	26	6	56	66	8	47	30	23	20	66	14	
SS-2	37	34	43	21	23	7	51	77	6	45	28	27	18	77	5	
SS-3	30	29	52	17	19	8	65	49	5	35	42	23	45	49	6	
SS-4	44	36	35	22	29	3	71	52	17	51	35	14	32	52	16	
Mean	37.7	33.5	42.3	20.8	24.3	6.0	60.8	61.0	9.0	44.5	33.8	21.8	28.8	61.0	10.3	
S.D.	5.7	3.1	7.3	2.6	4.3	3.7	9.0	13.0	5.5	6.8	6.2	5.5	12.5	13.0	5.6	
Marsh Creek																
SS-1	45	39	39	16	22	5	73	52	13	50	37	13	34	52	14	
SS-2	49	43	33	19	24	5	68	68	11	57	29	14	26	68	6	
SS-3	32	28	45	23	27	9	67	66	13	38	42	20	27	66	7	
SS-4	36	32	41	23	27	7	65	63	10	44	36	20	26	63	11	
SS-5	46	39	34	20	26	6	67	67	14	54	31	16	18	67	15	
SS-6	41	32	41	18	30	7	58	64	21	44	33	23	28	64	8	
SS-7	74	63	13	13	24	0	37	55	14	83	6	11	35	55	10	
SS-8	66	54	16	18	30	1	35	60	18	77	8	15	28	60	12	
SS-9	36	34	48	16	18	6	55	64	6	41	32	27	33	64	3	
Mean	47.2	40.4	34.4	18.4	25.3	5.1	58.3	62.1	13.3	54.2	28.2	17.7	28.3	62.1	9.6	
S.D.	14.2	11.4	12.3	3.3	3.8	2.9	13.8	5.5	4.4	15.9	12.6	5.2	5.2	5.5	3.9	

Table 3. Recalculated framework parameters from point-count data of F-zone sandstones (S.D. = Standard Deviation).

Figure 24. QmPK ternary diagram for F-zone sandstones. Arrow was defined by Dickinson and Suczek (1979) and "Rumsey" field by Ingersoll (1983).

Figure 25. LvLsLm ternary diagram for F-zone sandstones. "Rumsey" field is from Ingersoll (1983).

Figure 26. Ratios between selected lithologic framework components for F-zone sandstones. Squares represent the mean value and bar scales the standard deviation. See Table 3 for calculated values. Abbreviations: R = mean for Rumsey petrofacies; C = mean for Cortina petrofacies.

relatively large amount of plagioclase. On the $LvLsLm$ ternary diagram, however, these samples fit very closely with Rumsey values (fig. 25).

P/F , Lv/L , and Qp/Q values and the percent framework mica were calculated along with standard deviations for these sandstones (fig. 26; table 3). The P/F ratio is the most important parameter for determining petrofacies (Ingersoll, 1983). Coast Range samples with means ranging from 61% to 64% indicate a slightly higher ratio of P/F than the typical Rumsey mean of 55%. These values show a closer relationship with the Cortina petrofacies, which is slightly older than the Rumsey petrofacies. The Lv/L ratios also are slightly higher than the Rumsey mean of 60%; values range from 61% to 68% for the three Coast Range localities. These values fall between the Rumsey and Cortina petrofacies means of Ingersoll (1983). The Qp/Q ratios which range from 9% to 12% are not in line with either the Rumsey or Cortina petrofacies; the Rumsey is 3% and Cortina is 5%. The closest match is to the older Boxer petrofacies (9%). The percent framework mica from the Coast Range samples collected for this study is just below 6%; this value is closer to the Cortina petrofacies (5%) than the Rumsey petrofacies (10%).

Northeastern Diablo Range

Nine samples from along Marsh Creek in the

northeastern Diablo Range were evaluated (fig. 8; table 3). QFL and QmFLt ternary diagrams (figs. 22 and 23) show that seven of these samples fall within or very close to the dissected arc field of Dickinson and others (1983). Two samples, MC-7 and MC-8, fall within the recycled orogenic detritus field of Dickinson and others (1983), far from the grouping of the other samples. On the QFL ternary diagram fewer than half of the Marsh Creek samples fall within the Ingersoll's (1983) Rumsey petrofacies; some samples are more feldspathic, whereas others are more quartzose. The QmPK ternary diagram also shows the variability of these samples; only three samples fall within the Rumsey field (fig. 24). On the LvLsLm ternary diagram, the samples show a relatively close grouping, all within the Rumsey field (fig. 25).

The P/F ratio for the Marsh Creek samples (58%) falls between the Rumsey petrofacies mean (55%) and Cortina petrofacies mean (61%). The Lv/L ratio of these samples (62%) corresponds relatively closely to the Rumsey mean (60%). The Qp/Q ratio for these samples (13%), which is similar to the samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, is significantly higher than the Rumsey (3%), Cortina (5%), and Boxer (9%) petrofacies means. The percent framework mica for these samples is slightly more than 5%, which is very close to the Cortina petrofacies value of 5%. This average value, however, is somewhat

affected by the lack of mica in the two quartz-rich samples, MC-7 and 8.

Discussion

F-zone sandstone samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range generally fit into the petrographic models developed for the Great Valley Group (Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Dickinson and others, 1983; Ingersoll, 1983). The majority of the 27 samples (figs. 22 and 23) fall within or very close to the dissected arc field of Dickinson and others (1983). The exceptions to this are the two quartz-rich samples from the upper portion of the Marsh Creek section that fall within the recycled orogenic detritus field. Most samples (figs. 22-25) also closely resemble the Rumsey petrofacies of Ingersoll (1983); however, some ratios of framework parameters fit the Cortina petrofacies better (fig. 26).

A detailed comparison of the samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range reveals several distinct differences. The QFL, QmFLt, and QmPK ternary diagrams (figs. 22-24) show these differences most clearly. Samples from the three localities in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville fall into a tight grouping, suggesting that they had a similar source and transport and diagenetic histories. In contrast, the samples from the

northeastern Diablo Range show a much greater variation, suggesting that they had a more variable source and/or transport and diagenetic histories. Some samples from Marsh Creek fall into the tight grouping of samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, but it is difficult to establish a vertical pattern from the Marsh Creek samples that might suggest a mixing of the two systems.

SUMMARY OF KEY ELEMENTS

The primary objective of this study was to develop a paleogeographic reconstruction and depositional history for the late Santonian to middle Campanian strata in the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. A combination of biostratigraphic, structural, subsurface stratigraphic, outcrop, and petrographic data was used to perform this study.

Biostratigraphic Correlations

The first objective was to establish chronostratigraphic boundaries for the late Santonian to middle Campanian strata within the study area. Foraminiferal and calcareous nannofossils provide the basis for the biostratigraphic correlations (Goudkoff, 1945; Miller, 1983; Almgren, 1986). The interval of interest is the thick F-zone (F-1 and F-2 zone) submarine-fan deposits bounded at the base by the Dobbins Shale and at the top by the Sacramento Shale.

The Dobbins Shale and Sacramento Shale apparently represent basinwide shales that were deposited during relative high sea-level stands. These units are both relatively thin, contain very distinct microfossil assemblages and represent good chronostratigraphic units (Almgren, 1986; Filewicz, 1986). Both the Dobbins Shale

(representing the uppermost G-1 zone) and Sacramento Shale (representing the Lower E zone) have been biostratigraphically correlated from Putah Creek to the Cannon Hills in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, along Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range, and in the subsurface of the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. Thus, the correlation of these units provides the chronostratigraphic boundaries that define the F-zone-age submarine-fan deposits (fig. 27).

Basement Structure

The structure of the basement surface played a significant factor in controlling deposition of the lower Campanian F-zone strata. The structure-contour map (plate 2) shows four faults or fault complexes that trend northeast-southwest, perpendicular to strike of the basin, that are shown to offset the basement surface. These are: (1) the Freeport fault, (2) the Thornton fault, (3) the Stockton fault complex, and (4) the Modesto fault. The timing of this faulting was Campanian and/or pre-Campanian, based on the isopach thickness of F-zone strata in the vicinity of the faults (plate 4).

The Stockton fault complex and the Modesto fault are especially important because the downthrown basement block between these two faults created a large subbasin, the Stockton subbasin, that was filled with a significant

Figure 27. Stratigraphic nomenclature, biostratigraphic zonation, and submarine-fan facies associations for late Santonian to middle Campanian strata.

EUROPEAN STAGES	BENTHIC FORAMINIFERAL ZONES Goudkoff (1945) Almgren (1986)	COAST RANGES NORTH OF VACAVILLE	NORTHEASTERN DIABLO RANGE		
Middle Campanian	Lower E	Sacramento Shale	Sacramento Shale		
Early Campanian	F-1	Forbes Formation	Marsh Creek Formation		
	F-2			Slope	Inner Fan
				Submarine Fan	Middle Fan
Late Santonian	G-1	Dobbins Shale	Dobbins Shale		

thickness of F-zone deposits (plate 4). The basement structure-contour map indicates about 2000 ft (610 m) of down-to-the-south offset on the main Stockton fault.

Consideration of the present nature of the Stockton fault and the subsurface stratigraphic relationships suggests that there may have been about 4000 ft (1220 m) of offset during the Late Cretaceous phase of movement. This is based on the well-documented late Paleocene phase of movement that demonstrates about 2000 ft of reverse displacement, down-to-the-north on the Stockton fault (Callaway, 1964; Teitsworth, 1968). This is the opposite sense of offset from the Late Cretaceous phase of movement which compensated for only about 2000 ft (610 m) of offset in the basement. Regional stratigraphic cross section E-E' (plate 9) also shows 4000 ft (1220 m) of Campanian strata (F and E zones) just south of the Stockton fault, suggesting down-to-the-south offset on the fault.

Stratigraphy and Depositional Model

Work on the subsurface stratigraphy, outcrop stratigraphy, and sedimentology resulted in data allowing a much greater understanding of the lower Campanian F-zone strata than had been possible earlier. The isopach maps (plates 3 and 4) and stratigraphic cross sections (plates 5-9), based on well-log correlations (Appendix 1), illustrate the subsurface stratigraphic relationships in

the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. The three detailed measured sections with facies and facies associations (Appendix 2) and paleocurrent measurements have allowed the development of submarine-fan models.

Subsurface Stratigraphy

Well-log correlations support the biostratigraphic data, which suggest that the F-zone rocks are bounded by the basinwide subjacent Dobbins Shale and superjacent Sacramento Shale (fig. 27). These units are readily correlated based on their low-resistivity log signature.

The isopach map of rocks of the F zone (plate 4) indicates two distinct thick trends, further suggesting the presence of two separate submarine-fan systems. The rocks of the thick trend in the northern portion of the study area (T.9-12N., R.1W.) belong to the Forbes Formation, a southward-prograding submarine-fan system. The southward thinning of this system is closely related to the southward termination of the Kione Formation (plates 3 and 8), the time-equivalent delta that fed the Forbes system.

A second thick trend of F-zone rock exists within the Stockton subbasin (plate 4). This structurally controlled subbasin was the depocenter for the Marsh Creek Formation, which was derived from the Sierra Nevada to the east. Well-logs along the eastern margin of the subbasin display thick sandstones interpreted as east-west-trending

submarine canyon systems that transported sediment westward into the basin (plates 7 and 9).

Outcrop Stratigraphy and Sedimentology

Three detailed outcrop measured sections of complete F-zone intervals provided information to interpret submarine-fan models based on facies and facies associations (Appendix 2). The total thicknesses of the sections helped to establish F-zone isopach trends (plate 4). Paleocurrent indicators also were measured along each measured section to determine paleoflow.

The two measured sections in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville, at Putah Creek and Gates Canyon (figs. 5 and 6), exhibit very similar stratigraphic and sedimentologic characteristics. Sandstone bodies throughout the sections are lenticular and dominated by Facies B beds. They verify that this system is made up of meandering channel-levee complexes that form part of a mud-rich submarine-fan system. Significant decreases in sandstone in the upper portion of each section reflect a slope facies overlying the submarine-fan deposits.

The total thickness from Putah Creek section to the Gates Canyon section decreases by 402 m (1321 ft). This thinning to the south corresponds with the subsurface isopach trends which also show southward thinning. Sandstone to shale/covered interval ratios calculated from

the measured sections suggest that the thinning takes place principally in the slope deposits. This also suggests that the southward thinning is due to pinch out of the slope deposits. Paleocurrent measurements from Putah Creek and Gates Canyon both indicate a southerly paleoflow with a slight eastward component.

The measured sections of F-zone rocks at Putah Creek and Gates Canyon both represent the Forbes Formation. This unit was deposited in a southward-prograding mud-rich submarine-fan/slope system composed mainly of meandering channel-levee complexes (fig. 27).

The F-zone section measured at Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range is distinctly different from the two Coast Ranges sections. This section can be divided into lower, middle, and upper sandstone intervals, each exhibiting different facies and facies associations. This section is interpreted to be composed of outer-fan lobes, middle-fan channels, and inner-fan channels of a mixed-sediment submarine-fan system (fig. 27).

The significant increase in thickness of F-zone deposits in the northeastern Diablo Range indicates the presence of a different submarine-fan system. Paleocurrent indicators from the Marsh Creek section also confirm this; measurements indicate a westerly to southwesterly paleoflow.

The F-zone measured section from Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range represents the Marsh Creek Formation. This unit was a west- and southwest-prograding mixed-sediment submarine-fan system that was deposited transverse to the Great Valley basin axis. The deposition of this unit initially was limited to the Stockton subbasin, but subsequently it prograded out across the basin floor.

Provenance

F-zone sandstone samples collected for this study from four localities plot in the dissected arc field of Dickinson and others (1983), with the exception of two samples from Marsh Creek, which fall in the recycled orogenic field. Overall, the sandstones that fall within the dissected arc field also fit very closely with the Rumsey petrofacies of Ingersoll (1983). Some framework parameters plot closer to the Cortina petrofacies of Ingersoll (1983), but it is clear that they generally fit into the petrologic models previously established for the Great Valley Group.

Samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range have some distinct differences recognizable on the QFL, QmFLt, and QmPK ternary diagrams (figs. 22-24). All 18 samples from the Forbes Formation in the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville

fall into a very tight grouping (fig. 28), probably the result of an extensive transport history through the Kione delta system from the northern Sierra Nevada batholith or possibly the Idaho batholith (Mertz, 1990). Nine samples from Marsh Creek in the northeastern Diablo Range show a wide grouping (fig. 28). Some fall within or near the tight grouping of samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville. This could suggest a possible mixing of two sources, but this appears to be unlikely because no vertical petrographic pattern has been recognized (table 3) and stratigraphic and sedimentologic evidence does not support mixing. The variability of the Marsh Creek samples probably reflects some sediment contributions from previously deposited shelf sandstones or the Sierran foothill metamorphic belt in addition to the batholithic source.

Figure 28. QmFLt ternary diagram for F-zone sandstone samples from the Coast Ranges north of Vacaville and the northeastern Diablo Range.

CONCLUSIONS

Thick lower Campanian F-zone submarine-fan deposits occur throughout the Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. Biostratigraphic data show that the submarine-fan deposits are between two basinwide shale units, the Dobbins Shale and Sacramento Shale, that can be used as chronostratigraphic boundaries. Thus, the major rock units between these shales can be considered coeval.

This study has documented the presence of two separate lower Campanian submarine-fan/slope systems in the southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins. The Forbes system prograded southward down the plunging axis of the forearc basin (fig. 29). This was a mud-rich fan system composed principally of meandering channel-levee complexes. The Marsh Creek submarine-fan/slope system prograded westward and southwestward, transverse to the basin axis (fig. 29). This was a mixed-sediment fan system, consisting of outer-fan lobes, middle-fan channels, and inner-fan channels.

The factors that controlled the deposition of these units within the Great Valley forearc basin were responsible for producing their different features. The Forbes system was fed by an extensive delta system and prograded unrestricted down the entire length of the Sacramento basin. Transport through the delta system

Figure 29. Early Campanian paleogeographic reconstruction.

has contributed to the relatively well-sorted nature of the Forbes sandstones. The unrestricted depositional basin allowed the Forbes to build southward until the Kione delta system shut down.

The Marsh Creek system was fed by large submarine-canyon systems, and deposition initially was limited to the Stockton subbasin. The petrographic variations and poor sorting of the Marsh Creek sandstones are a result of the relatively short transport history of the sediment and the variability of rock types in the source area. These factors led to the mixed-sediment nature of the Marsh Creek system. The extent and direction of progradation of the Marsh Creek system was controlled by Cretaceous fault systems.

This study shows that two separate submarine-fan systems, with contrasting depositional facies, transport directions, source areas, and structural controls, can form coevally within the same forearc basin setting.

REFERENCES CITED

- Almgren, A.A., 1984, Timing of Tertiary submarine canyons and marine cycles of deposition in the southern Sacramento Valley, California, in Almgren, A.A., and Hacker, P.D., eds., Paleogene Submarine Canyons of the Sacramento Valley, California: Pacific Section, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, v. SV-1, p. 1-16.
- Almgren, A.A., 1986, Benthonic foraminiferal zonation and correlations of Upper Cretaceous strata of the Great Valley of California -- A modification, in Abbott, P.L., ed., Cretaceous Stratigraphy, Western North America: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 46, p. 137- 152.
- Alvarez, K., Kent, D.V., Silva, I.P., Schweickert, R.A., and Larson, R.A., 1980, Franciscan Complex limestone deposited at 17 degrees south paleolatitude: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v.91, p. 476-484.
- Bailey, E.H., and Blake, M.C., Jr., 1969, Late Mesozoic tectonic development of western California: Geotectonics, v. 3, p. 148-154.
- Bailey, E.H., Blake, M.C., Jr., and Jones, D.L., 1970, On-land Mesozoic oceanic crust in California Coast Ranges: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 700-C, p. 70-81.
- Bailey, E.H., Irwin, W.P., and Jones, D.L., 1964, Franciscan and related rocks, and their significance in the geology of western California: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 183, 177 p.
- Bateman, P.C., 1983, A summary of critical relations in the central part of the Sierra Nevada batholith, California, U.S.A., in Roddick, J. A., ed., Circum-Pacific Plutonic Terranes: Geologic Society of America Memoir 159, p. 241-254.
- Bellaiche, G., Droz, L., and others, 1984, Detailed morphology, structure and main growth of the Rhone deep-sea fan: Marine Geology, v. 55, p. 181-193.
- Berry, K.D., 1974, Mesozoic foraminiferal zonation, Turonian to Tithonian stages, Pacific Coast province:

Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists,
Pacific Section, p. 1-29.

Blake, M.C., Jr., Howell, D.G., and Jayko, A.S., 1984,
Tectonostratigraphic terranes of the San Francisco Bay
Region, in Blake, M.C., Jr., ed., Franciscan geology
of northern California: Society of Economic
Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Pacific Section, v.
43, p. 5-22.

Blake, M.C., Jr., Jayko, A.S., and McLaughlin, R.J., 1985,
Tectonostratigraphic terranes of the northern Coast
Ranges, California, in Howell, D.G., ed.,
Tectonostratigraphic terranes of the circumpacific
region: Circumpacific Council for Energy and Mineral
Resources, Earth Science Series, v. 1, p. 159-171.

Bouma, A.H., 1962, Sedimentology of some flysch deposits:
Amsterdam, Elsevier, 168 p.

Brabb, E.E., Sonneman, H.S., and Switzer, J.R., Jr., 1971,
Preliminary geologic map of the Mount Diablo-Byron
area, Contra Costa, Alameda, and San Joaquin Counties,
California: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field
Studies Map MF-484, scale 1:62,500.

Brooks, B.D., 1962a, Geologic map of Capay Valley and
vicinity, in Bowen, O. E., Jr., ed., Geologic
Guide to the Gas and Oil Fields of Northern
California: California Division of Mines and Geology
Bulletin 181.

Brooks, B.D., 1962b, Geologic map of Potrero-Kirby Hills
area, in Bowen, O. E., Jr., ed., Geologic Guide to
the Gas and Oil Fields of Northern California:
California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 181.

Brown, J. A., Jr., 1968, Thrust contact between Franciscan
group and Great Valley northeast of Santa Maria,
California (Ph.D. thesis): Los Angeles, California,
University of Southern California, 236 p.

Burchfield, B.C., and Davis, G.A., 1981, Triassic and
Jurassic tectonic evolution of the Klamath Mountains-
Sierra Nevada geologic terrane, in Ernst, W.G., The
geotectonic development of California: Englewood
Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, 706 p.

California Division of Oil and Gas, 1982, California oil
and gas fields, northern California: California
Division of Oil and Gas Volume 3, TR10, unpaginated.

- California Division of Oil and Gas, 1986, 71st Annual Report of the state oil and gas supervisor: California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil and Gas Publication PR06, 157 p.
- Callaway, D.C., 1964, Distribution of uppermost Cretaceous sands in the Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basin of California: San Joaquin Geological Society Selected Papers, v. 2, p. 5-18.
- Cherven, V.B., 1981, A delta-slope-submarine fan model for the Maestrichtian part of the Great Valley sequence, southern Sacramento and northern San Joaquin basins, California (Ph.D. thesis): Stanford, California, Stanford University, 141 p.
- Cherven, V.B., 1983, Stratigraphy, facies, and depositional provinces of the middle Eocene Domengine Formation, southern Sacramento Basin, in Cherven, V.B., and Graham, S.A., eds., Geology and Sedimentology of the Southwestern Sacramento Basin and East Bay Hills: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 29, p. 43-57.
- Cherven, V.B., and Bodden, W.R., 1983, Field trip road log: Day 1: Upper Cretaceous and Paleogene strata of southern Sacramento forearc basin, Sacramento to Berkeley, in Cherven, V.B., and Graham, S.A., eds., Geology and Sedimentology of the Southwestern Sacramento Basin and East Bay Hills: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 1-20.
- Chuber, S., 1962, Late Mesozoic stratigraphy of the Sacramento Valley: San Joaquin Geological Society Selected Papers, v. 1, p. 3-16.
- Colburn, I.P., 1961, The tectonic history of Mount Diablo, California (Ph.D. thesis): Stanford, California, Stanford University, 234 p.
- Colburn, I.P., 1964, The Mesozoic stratigraphy in the vicinity of Mount Diablo, California, in Guidebook to the Mount Diablo Field Trip: Geological Society of Sacramento Field Trip Guidebook, p. 9-22.
- Court, J.E., 1966, Geology of the southern part of the Guinda quadrangle, Yolo County, California (M.A. thesis): Davis, California, University of California, 65 p.

- Damuth, J.E., Kowsmann, R.O., Flood, R.D., Belderson, R.H., and Gorini, M.A., 1983, Age relationships of distributary channels on Amazon deep-sea fan: Implications for fan growth pattern: *Geology*, v. 11, p. 470-473.
- Debiche, M.G., Cox, A., and Engebretson, D.C., 1987, The motion of allochthonous terranes across the North Pacific basin: *Geological Society of America Special Paper*, v. 207 p.
- Dibblee, T.W., Jr., 1980a, Preliminary geologic map of the Antioch South quadrangle, Contra Costa County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-536, scale 1:24,000.
- Dibblee, T.W., Jr., 1980b, Preliminary geologic map of the Byron Hot Springs quadrangle, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-534, scale 1:24,000.
- Dibblee, T.W., Jr., 1980c, Preliminary geologic map of the Clayton quadrangle, Contra Costa County, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-547, scale 1:24,000.
- Dibblee, T.W., Jr., 1980d, Preliminary geologic map of the Tassajara quadrangle, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-544, scale 1:24,000.
- Dibblee, T.W., Jr., and Darrow, R.L., 1981, Guidebook to the regional geology of the East Bay Hills and northern Diablo-Livermore Valley area, *in* Frizzell, V., ed., *Geology of the Central and Northern Diablo Range, California*: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 19, p. 65-112.
- Dickinson, W.R., 1970, Interpreting detrital modes of graywacke and arkose: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 40, p. 696-707.
- Dickinson, W.R., 1981, Plate tectonics and the continental margin of California, *in* Ernst, W.G., ed., *The geotectonic development of California*: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, p. 1-28.
- Dickinson, W.R., Ingersoll, R.V., and Graham, S.A., 1979, Paleogene sediment dispersal and paleotectonics in

- northern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Part II, v. 90, p. 1458- 1528.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Rich, E.I., 1972, Petrologic intervals and petrofacies in the Great Valley sequence, Sacramento Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 83, p. 3007-3024.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Seely, D.S., 1979, Structure and stratigraphy of forearc regions: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 63, p. 2-31.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Suczek, C.A., 1979, Plate tectonics and sandstone composition: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 63, p. 2164-2182.
- Dickinson, W.R., Beard, L.S., Brakenridge, G.R., Erjavec, J.L., Ferguson, R.C., Inman, K.F., Knepp, R.A., Lindberg, F.A., and Ryberg, P.T., 1983, Provenance of North American Phanerozoic sandstones in relation to tectonic setting: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 94, p. 222-235.
- Drummond, K.F., Christensen, E.W., and Berry, K.D., 1976, Upper Cretaceous lithofacies model, Sacramento Valley, California, in Jantzen, R. E., ed., Tomorrow's Oil from Today's Provinces: Pacific Section, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Miscellaneous Publication 24, p. 76-88.
- Edmondson, W.F., 1962, Stratigraphy of the late Upper Cretaceous in the Sacramento Valley: San Joaquin Geological Society Selected Papers, v. 1, p. 17-26.
- Edmondson, W.F., chm., 1967, Correlation section, Sacramento Valley, Winters to Modesto, California: Pacific Section, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Correlation Section 16.
- Emerson, D. O., and Roberts, R. D., compilers, 1962, Geologic map of Putah Creek area, in Bowen, O. E., Jr., ed., 1962, Geologic Guide to the Gas and Oil Fields of Northern California: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 18.
- Engebretson, D.C., Cox, A., and Gordon, R.G., 1985, Relative motions between oceanic and continental plates in the Pacific basin: Geological Society of America Special Paper, v. 206, 59 p.
- Filewicz, M.V., 1986, Late Cretaceous (Santonian/Campanian)

- calcareous nannofossils from the Forbes Formation along Salt Creek, western Sacramento Valley, California, in Abbott, P.L., ed., Cretaceous Stratigraphy, Western North America: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 46, p. 153-160.
- Fischer, P.J., Setiawan, J., and Cherven, V.B., 1986, Core description and analysis using X-radiography and cat-scanning: Examples from Sacramento and San Joaquin Basins, California (abs.): American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 70, p. 468.
- Galloway, W.E., 1975, Process framework for describing the morphologic and stratigraphic evolution of deltaic depositional systems, in Broussard, M. L., ed., Deltas, Models for Exploration: Houston, Texas, Houston Geological Society, p. 87-98.
- Garcia, R., 1980, Depositional systems and their relationship to gas accumulation in the Sacramento Valley, California: San Joaquin Geological Society, v. 5, p. 2-24.
- Garcia, R., 1981, Depositional systems and their relation to gas accumulation in Sacramento Valley, California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 65, p. 653-673.
- Garrison, L.E., Kenyon, N.E., and Bouma, A.H., 1982, Channel systems and lobe construction in the Mississippi Fan: Geo-Marine Letters, v. 2, p. 31-39.
- Goudkoff, P.P., 1945, Stratigraphic relations of Upper Cretaceous in Great Valley, California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 29, p. 956-1007.
- Graham, S.A., 1981, Stratigraphic and depositional patterns and hydrocarbon occurrence, Sacramento Valley, California, in Graham, S. A., ed., Field Guide to the Mesozoic-Cenozoic Convergent Margin of Northern California: Pacific Section, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, v. 50, p. 43-58.
- Haggart, J.W., and Ward, P.D., 1984, Late Cretaceous (Santonian-Campanian) stratigraphy of the northern Sacramento Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 95, p. 618-627.
- Hopson C.A., Mattinson, J.M., and Pessagno, E.A., Jr., 1981,

- Coast Range ophiolite, western California, in Ernst, W.G., ed., The geotectonic development of California: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, p. 418-510.
- Huey, A.S., 1948, Geology of the Tesla quadrangle, California: California Division of Mines Bulletin 140, 75 p.
- Imperato, D.P., and Nilsen, T.H., 1990, Deep-sea-fan channel-levee complexes, Arbuckle Field, Sacramento basin, California, in Barwis, J.H., McPherson, J.G., and Studlick, J.G., eds., Sandstone Reservoirs: New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 535-555.
- Imperato, D.P., Nilsen, T.H., and Moore, D.W., 1990, Regional stratigraphy of the mud-rich turbidite system of the Forbes Formation, Sacramento basin, California, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 69-80.
- Ingersoll, R.V., 1978, Submarine fan facies of the Upper Cretaceous Great Valley sequence, northern and central California: Sedimentary Geology, v. 21, p. 205-230.
- Ingersoll, R.V., 1979, Evolution of the Late Cretaceous forearc basin, northern and central California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Part I, v. 90, p. 813-826.
- Ingersoll, R.V., 1982, Initiation and evolution of the Great Valley forearc basin of northern and central California, USA, in Leggett, J.K., ed., Sedimentation and Tectonics of Modern and Ancient Plate Margins: Geological Society of London Special Publication 10, p. 459-467.
- Ingersoll, R.V., 1983, Petrofacies and provenance of late Mesozoic forearc basin, northern and central California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 67, p. 1125-1142.
- Ingersoll, R.V., 1990, Nomenclature of Upper Mesozoic strata of the Sacramento Valley of California: Review and recommendations, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 1-4.

- Ingersoll, R.V., Bullard, T.F., Ford, R.L., Grimm, J.P., Pickle, J.D., and Sares, S.W., 1984, The effect of grain size on detrital modes: A test of the Gazzi-Dickinson point-counting method: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 54, p. 103-116.
- Ingersoll, R.V., and Dickinson, W.R., 1981, Great Valley Group (sequence), Sacramento Valley, California, *in* Frizzell, V., ed., Upper Mesozoic Franciscan Rocks and Great Valley Sequence, Central Coast Ranges, California: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 1-30.
- Ingersoll, R.V., and Schweickert, R.A., 1986, A plate-tectonic model for Late Jurassic ophiolite genesis, Nevadan orogeny and forearc initiation, northern California: *Tectonics*, v. 5, p. 901-912.
- Irwin, W.P., 1981, Tectonic accretion of the Klamath Mountains, *in* Ernst, W.G., ed., The Geotectonic Development of California (Rubey Volume I): Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, p. 30-49.
- Irwin, W.P., 1984, Paleomagnetism in the Klamath Mountains, California and Oregon, *in* Howell, D.G., ed., Proceedings of the Circum-Pacific Terrane Conference, 1983: Stanford University Publications, Geological Sciences, v. 18, p. 122-125.
- Irwin, W.P., 1985, Age and tectonics of plutonic belts in accreted terranes of the Klamath Mountains, California and Oregon, *in* Howell, D.G., ed., Tectonostratigraphic terranes of the circum-Pacific region: Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral Resources, Houston, p. 187-199.
- Jayko, A.S., Blake, M.C., Jr., and Brothers, R.N., 1986, Blueschist metamorphism of the eastern Franciscan belt, northern California, *in* Evans, B.W., and Brown, E.H., eds., Blueschists and eclogites: Geological Society of America Memoir 164, p. 107-123.
- Jayko, A.S., Blake, M.C., Jr., and Harms, T., 1987, Attenuation of the Coast Range ophiolite by extensional faulting, and nature of the Coast Range "thrust," California: *Tectonics*, v. 4, p. 475-488.
- Jennings, C.W., compiler, 1954, Geologic map, Capay-Wilbur Springs area, *in* Mee, J.H., Jr., chm., Capay-Wilbur Springs Area, West Side Sacramento Valley, California: Northern California Geological Society and Pacific

Section, American Association of Petroleum Geologists,
Spring Field Trip Guidebook, unpaginated.

Kirby, J.M., 1943, Upper Cretaceous stratigraphy of west side of Sacramento Valley south of Willows, Glenn County, California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 27, p. 279-305.

Link, M.H., 1981, Sand-rich turbidite facies of the Upper Cretaceous Chatsworth Formation, Simi Hills, California, in Link, M.H., Squires, R.L., and Colburn, I.P., eds., Simi Hills Cretaceous Turbidites, Southern California: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Fall Field Trip and Guidebook, p. 63-71.

Link, M.H., and Nilsen, T.H., 1980, The Rocks Sandstone, an Eocene sand-rich deep-sea fan deposit, northern Santa Lucia Range, California: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 50, p. 583- 602.

Lowe, D.R., 1982, Sediment gravity flows: II. Depositional models with special reference to the deposits of high-density turbidity currents: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 52, p. 279-297.

Mansfield, C.F., 1979, Upper Mesozoic subsea fan deposits in the southern Diablo Range, California: Record of the Sierra Nevada magmatic arc: Geological Society of America Bulletin, Part I, v. 90, p. 1025-1046.

Matsumoto, T., 1959, Upper Cretaceous ammonites of California, Part I: Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Series D (Geology), v. 8, p. 91-171.

McLaughlin, R.J., Kling, S.A., Poore, R.Z., McDougall, K.A., and Beutner, E.C., 1982, Post-middle Miocene accretion of Franciscan rocks, northwestern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 93, p. 595-605.

Mertz, K.A., Jr., 1990, Summary of the diagenetic history of the Upper Cretaceous Forbes, Starkey, and Winters Formations, Sacramento basin, California, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 53-68.

Middleton, G.V., and Hampton, M.A., 1973, Sediment gravity

- flows-- Mechanics of flow and deposition, in Turbidites and Deep-water Sedimentation, Lecture Notes for Short Course: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 1-38.
- Middleton, G.V., and Hampton, M.A., 1976, Subaqueous sediment transport and deposition by sediment gravity flows, in Stanley, D.J., and Swift, D.J.P., eds., Marine Sediment Transport and Environmental Management: New York, Wiley, p. 197-218.
- Miller, P.L., 1983, Late Cretaceous coccolith biostratigraphy of San Miguel Island, California: Micropaleontology, v. 29, p. 182-201.
- Moore, D.W., and Nilsen, T.H., 1990, Upper Campanian and lower Maestrichtian depositional systems, southern Sacramento basin, California, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 133-142.
- Morgan, S.R., and Campion, K.M., 1987, Eustatic controls on stratification and facies associations in deep-water deposits, Great Valley sequence, Sacramento Valley, California (abs.): American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 71, p. 595.
- Moser, J., Mulhern, M., and Suchsland, R., 1986, Electrofacies- and dipmeter-aided modeling of Forbes Formation, Sacramento Valley (abs.): American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 70, p. 473.
- Mutti, E., 1979, Turbidites et cones sous-marins profonds, in Homewood, P., ed., Sedimentation Detritique (fluviale, littorale et marine): Fribourg, Switzerland, Institute de Geologie, Universite de Fribourg, p. 353-419.
- Mutti, E., and Ricci Lucchi, F., 1972, Le torbiditi dell' Appennine settentrionale: Introduzione all'analisi di facies: Memorie Societa Geologica Italiana, v. 11, p. 161-199. (Translated into English by T.H. Nilsen, 1978, International Geology Review, v. 20, p. 125-166.)
- Nelson, C.H., Maldonado, A., Coumes, F., Got, H., and Monaco, A., 1985, Ebro Fan, Mediterranean, in Bouma, A.H., Normark, W.R., and Barnes, N. E., eds., Submarine Fans and Related Turbidite Systems: New York,

Springer-Verlag, p. 121-128.

- Nelson, C.H., and Nilsen, T.H., 1984, Modern and ancient deep-sea fan deposits: Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Short Course 14, 404 p.
- Nilsen, T.H., 1979, Comparative sedimentology of the lower Tertiary Butano and Cantua deep-sea fan sequences, central California (abs.): Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 11, p. 120.
- Nilsen, T.H., 1981, Early Cenozoic stratigraphy, tectonics and sedimentation of the central Diablo Range between Hollister and New Idria, in Frizzell, V. ed., Geology of the Central and Northern Diablo Range, California: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 19, p. 21-34.
- Nilsen, T.H., 1986, Cretaceous paleogeography of western North America, in Abbott, P. L., ed., Cretaceous Stratigraphy, Western North America: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 1-40.
- Nilsen, T.H., 1990, Santonian, Campanian, and Maestrichtian depositional systems, Sacramento basin, California, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 95-132.
- Normark, W.R., 1970, Growth patterns of deep-sea fans: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 54, p. 2170- 2195.
- Normark, W.R., 1978, Fan valleys, channels and depositional lobes on modern submarine fans: Characters for recognition of sandy turbidite environments: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 62, p. 912-931.
- Oestreich, E.S., 1958, Geology of the Tassajara quadrangle, California (M.S. thesis): Berkeley, California, University of California, 80 p.
- Ojakangas, R.W., 1968, Cretaceous sedimentation, Sacramento Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 79, p. 973-1008.
- Okada, H., and Bukry, D., 1980, Supplementary modification

- and introduction of code numbers to the low-latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975): *Marine Micropaleontology*, no. 5, p. 321-325.
- Palmer, A.R., compiler, 1983, The decade of North American geology 1983 geologic time scale: *Geology*, v. 11, p. 503-504.
- Pampeyan, E.H., 1961, Geology and mineral deposits of Mount Diablo, Contra Costa County, California: California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 80, 31 p.
- Pampeyan, E.H., 1964, Franciscan and related rocks of the Mount Diablo piercement, Contra Costa County, California, in Guidebook to the Mount Diablo field trip, Geological Society of Sacramento, p. 1-8.
- Payne, M.B., 1960, Type Panoche, Panoche Hills area, Fresno County, California: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Spring Field Trip Guidebook, 12 p.
- Pessagno, E. A., Jr., 1976, Radiolarian zonation and stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous portion of the Great Valley sequence, California Coast Ranges: *Micropaleontology*, Special Publication 2, 95 p.
- Popenoe, W.P., Imlay, R.W., and Murphy, M.A., 1960, Correlation of the Cretaceous formations of the Pacific coast (United States and northwestern Mexico): *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 71, p. 1491-1540.
- Reid, E.F., 1962, Economics of Sacramento Valley exploration and development, in Bowen, O.E., Jr., ed., *Geologic Guide to the Gas and Oil Fields of Northern California*: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 181, p. 39-43.
- Rider, J., 1986, Reconstruction of submarine canyon systems associated with proto-Stockton Arch during the Late Cretaceous (abs.): *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, v. 70, p. 477.
- Safonov, A., 1962, The challenge of the Sacramento Valley, California, in Bowen, O.E., Jr., ed., *Geologic Guide to the Gas and Oil Fields of Northern California*: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin 181, p. 77-97.
- Safonov, A., 1968, Stratigraphy and tectonics of Sacramento

- Valley, in Beebe, B.W., and Curtis, B.F., eds., Natural gases of North America: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 9, v. 1, p. 611-635.
- Saleeby, J.B., 1981, Ocean floor accretion and volcano-plutonic arc evolution of the Mesozoic Sierra Nevada, in Ernst, W.G., ed., The geotectonic development of California: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, 706 p.
- Saleeby, J.B., Harper, G.D., Snoke, A.W., and Sharp, W.D., 1982, Time relations and structural-stratigraphic patterns in ophiolite accretion, west central Klamath Mountains, California: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 87, p. 3831-3848.
- Schweickert, R.A., 1981, Tectonic evolution of the Sierra Nevada Range, in Ernst, W.G., ed., The geotectonic development of California: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, p. 87-131.
- Schweickert, R.A., and Cowan, D.S., 1975, Early Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the western Sierra Nevada, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 86, p. 1329-1336.
- Schweickert, R.A., Bogen, N.L., Girty, G.H., Hanson, R.E., and Merguerian, C., 1984, Timing and structural expression of the Nevadan orogeny, Sierra Nevada, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 95, p. 967-979.
- Short, P.F., and Ingersoll, R.V., 1990, Petrofacies and provenance of the Great Valley Group, southern Klamath Mountains and northern Sacramento Valley, in Ingersoll, R.V., and Nilsen, T.H., eds., Sacramento Valley Symposium and Guidebook: Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, v. 65, p. 39-52
- Sims, J.D., Fox, K.F., Jr., Bartow, J.A., and Helley, E.J., 1971, Preliminary geologic map of Solano County and parts of Napa, Contra Costa, Marin and Yolo Counties, California: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-484, scale 1:62,500.
- Sissingh, W., 1977, Biostratigraphy of Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton: Geological Mijnbouw, v. 56, p. 37-65.
- Sonneman, H.D., and Switzer, J.R., Jr., 1962, Geologic map

of the central Coast Ranges, California: unpublished, scale 1:24,000.

- Stinemeyer, E.H., 1979, Putah Creek Upper Cretaceous section, Yolo-Solano County line, California, in Church, H.V., dir., Display of cores from the Winters Sand (Upper Cretaceous), Sacramento Valley, California: California Well Sample Repository, Special Publication No. 2, p. 18-20.
- Surdam, R.C., and Crossey, L.J., 1987, Integrated diagenetic modeling: a process-oriented approach for clastic systems: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 15, p. 141-170.
- Taff, J.A., 1935, Geology of Mount Diablo and vicinity: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 46, p. 1079-1106.
- Takayanagi, Y., 1965, Upper Cretaceous planktonic foraminifera from the Putah Creek subsurface section along the Yolo-Solano County line, California: Tohoku University Science Reports, Series 2, Geology, v. 36, p. 161-237.
- Tarduno, J.A., McWilliams, M., Sliter, W.V., Cook, H.E., Blake, M.C., Jr., and Premoli-Silva, I., 1986, Southern hemisphere origin of the Cretaceous Laytonville Limestone of California: Science, v. 231, p. 1425-1428.
- Teitsworth, R.A., 1968, Lathrop gas field, San Joaquin County, California, in Beebe, B.W., and Curtis, B.F., eds., Natural Gases of North America: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 9, v. 1, pt. 2, p. 653-663.
- Thomson, J.N., 1962, Geology of the Kione Formation: San Joaquin Geological Society Selected Papers, v. 1, p. 27-35.
- Tillman, R.W., 1985, Upper Cretaceous fan lobe production, Forbes Sandstone, California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Deep Water Clastics Reservoir School Lecture Notes, p. 176-199.
- Trosper, E.J., 1985, Paleoenvironmental analysis of the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian/Campanian) Forbes Formation, Sacramento Valley, California (M.S. thesis): Los Angeles, California, University of Southern California, 196 p.

- Wagner, D. L., and Saucedo, G. J., 1984, Geologic structure in the Capay Hills: California Geology, v. 37, p. 23-28.
- Walker, R.G., 1967, Turbidite sedimentary structures and their relationship to proximal and distal environments: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 37, p. 25-43.
- Ward, P., 1985, The Upper Cretaceous Forbes Formation of the Sacramento Valley, California: Depositional history and correlation (abs.): Geological Society of America, Abstracts with Programs, v. 17, p. 416.
- Weagant, F. E., 1962, Grimes gas field: San Joaquin Geological Society Selected Papers, v. 1, p. 36-46.
- Weagant, F.E., 1972, Grimes gas field, Sacramento Valley, California, in King, R.E., ed., Stratigraphic Oil and Gas Fields-- Classification, Exploration Methods and Case Histories: American Association of Petroleum Geologists and Society of Exploration Geophysicists, p. 428-439.
- Weagant, F.E., 1986, Exploring for Forbes sands not easy, but profitable: World Oil, December, p. 53-58.
- Weagant, F.E., and Sterling, R.H., 1989, Seismic amplitude with offset discovery used successfully in Sacramento basin: Oil and Gas Journal, February 13, 1989, p. 52-58.

APPENDIX 1
WELL-LOG DATA

EXPLANATION FOR WELL-LOG CORRELATION DATABASE

Well Information

ID: Represents well identification number used for this study. Well locations with ID numbers are found on the base map (plate 1).

Well Name: Well name and number as it appears of the original well log.

Location: Location of well in section, township, and range of the Mount Diablo Base Meridian.

Well-Log Correlations

Top K: Top of the Cretaceous strata.

Ks: Top of the Sacramento Shale.

Kk: Top of the Kione Formation.

F zone: Top of the F zone strata except where the Kione Formation is present (there the F zone log correlation represents the top of the Forbes Formation although the Kione Formation is in the F zone).

Kd: Top of the Dobbins Shale.

bsmnt: Top of the basement complex.

Well-Log Correlation Values

Numerical values represent the measured depth of the well-log correlation recorded from the original well log measured from the well head elevation.

ND: No data for correlation.

NP: Not present, horizon is not present depositionally or has been truncated by an unconformity.

NDE: Not deep enough, horizon is below logged interval.

NSE: Not shallow enough, horizon is above logged interval.

ID	WELL NAME	LOCATION	Top K	Ks	Kk	F zone	Kd	bsmt
1	SCHOFIELD #1-1	1-12N- 2W	2740	NP	NP	2740	NDE	NDE
2	PHILLIPS-LADD-ET AL #26-2	2-12N- 2W	2655	NP	NP	2655	8790	NDE
3	GULF-NGC-PAYNE #1	4-12N- 2W	2560	NP	NP	2560	7860	NDE
4	WEST DUNNIGAN #1-13	13-12N- 2W	2450	NP	2450	2720	NDE	NDE
5	TIPPETS, MARY A. #2	21-12N- 2W	1715	NP	NP	1715	NDE	NDE
6	WEST DUNNIGAN #25-1	25-12N- 2W	1950	NP	1950	2290	NDE	NDE
7	HAMBLEY #1	36-12N- 2W	2050	NP	NP	2050	NDE	NDE
8	MUMMA #1	1-12N- 1W	3690	4360	4710	5180	NDE	NDE
9	HERSHEY #1	10-12N- 1W	4100	4100	4460	4955	NDE	NDE
10	ABELE #1	29-12N- 1W	2260	2260	2530	2875	NDE	NDE
11	COOK, J.E., ET AL #1	31-12N- 1W	1790	1790	1900	2290	8530	NDE
12	RIVER FARMS #1	3-12N- 1E	ND	4580	4880	5060	9930	NDE
13	CAMERON RECLAMATION #1	21-12N- 1E	ND	4970	5315	5500	NDE	NDE
14	RIVER FARMS #4-1	23-12N- 1E	ND	4835	5235	5615	10795	NDE
15	HONIG #1	1-12N- 2E	ND	3490	3910	4005	NDE	NDE
16	UNIT #1-1	3-12N- 2E	ND	3910	4200	4465	8055	NDE
17	NELSON #1-6	6-12N- 2E	ND	4240	4675	4950	9670	NDE
18	MEZGER #1	10-12N- 2E	ND	3935	4405	4840	NDE	NDE
19	HONIG, BARBARA #1	11-12N- 2E	ND	3730	4200	4460	8390	NDE
20	CHINA BEND #1-1	20-12N- 2E	ND	4555	4990	5310	10295	NDE
21	ROBBINS #A-1	21-12N- 2E	ND	4375	4840	5180	NDE	NDE
22	MAGOON ESTATE #1	5-12N- 3E	ND	2990	3445	3690	7000	7630
23	KREIG #12-15	12-12N- 3E	ND	2390	2930	3310	6040	6620
24	INGRAHAM #1	13-12N- 3E	1750	2425	3020	3400	6115	NDE
25	SMC-CAMERON-DOUGHER #1	31-12N- 3E	ND	3850	4350	4540	8410	8938
26	AILEEN, MARTY #1	35-12N- 3E	ND	3115	3680	3980	NDE	NDE
27	CORLISS #1	1-12N- 4E	2015	NP	NP	2015	3120	3448
28	DAVIS, TOM #1	21-12N- 4E	2495	NP	2495	2540	4820	5250
29	VAN DYKE #1	24-12N- 4E	2210	NP	NP	2210	3640	4100
30	LIENERT #55-29	29-12N- 4E	2300	2430	2770	3100	5325	6023
31	OSTERLI #3	31-12N- 4E	3050	3050	3165	3390	5935	6712
32	BONNEFELD #1	10-12N- 5E	610	NP	NP	610	1505	1624
33	KINGSBURY #4X-11	11-11N- 3W	500	NP	NP	500	555	NDE
34	BEMMERLY, L.M. #1	8-11N- 1W	2200	2310	2520	2890	NDE	NDE
35	HERMLE #1	22-11N- 1W	ND	3110	3330	3585	NDE	NDE
36	CARLETON & CO. #1	25-11N- 1W	ND	4000	4200	4510	NDE	NDE
37	ARCO #1	34-11N- 1W	ND	3660	3840	4140	NDE	NDE
38	UNIVERSAL-RICHEY #1	35-11N- 1W	ND	3955	4165	4490	NDE	NDE
39	RICHIE #1	36-11N- 1W	ND	4100	4300	4690	NDE	NDE
40	KNAGG-WALLACE #1	12-11N- 1E	ND	5220	5580	5930	NDE	NDE
41	REIFF #1	29-11N- 1E	3605	5180	5560	5635	11560	15180
42	SLAVEN #1	30-11N- 1E	ND	4405	4620	4920	NDE	NDE
43	RIVER GARDEN FARMS #1	4-11N- 2E	ND	4860	5245	5450	NDE	NDE
44	KCL-STANDARD-CAMER. #1	32-11N- 2E	ND	5650	5950	6190	NDE	NDE
45	RITCHER #1	5-11N- 3E	ND	3850	4385	4795	8140	NDE
46	AMERICAN #1-1	12-11N- 3E	3100	3210	3540	3820	6880	NDE
47	DUERIG-KNAGGS-CHUR. #1	34-11N- 3E	ND	4480	4825	5110	8280	NDE

ID	WELL NAME	LOCATION	Top K	Ks	Kk	F zone	Kd	bsmnt
48	SILLS	#1-3	3-11N- 4E	2650	NP	2650	2730	4920 NDE
49	OSTERLI	#2	5-11N- 4E	2480	2690	2920	3190	5550 6348
50	MORRISON	#1-9	9-11N- 4E	2615	2785	2980	3130	5665 NDE
51	LAUPPE	#1-28	28-11N- 4E	2950	3100	3310	3550	6050 NDE
52	DIAMOND K RANCH	#1	16-11N- 5E	ND	1290	1650	1970	3070 3364
53	DUNCAN	#1	9-10N- 2W	NSE	NSE	NSE	NSE	3390 NDE
54	ESPARTO CORE HOLE	#2	16-10N- 2W	NSE	NSE	NSE	NSE	3190 NDE
55	ESPARTO COREHOLE	#1	22-10N- 2W	800	NP	NP	800	4480 NDE
56	RUSA-LEDERER	#1	2-10N- 1W	ND	4100	4280	4665	NDE NDE
57	ROCO-MAULER	#62-3	3-10N- 1W	ND	3940	4140	4550	NDE NDE
58	STEVENS, ANNA B.	#1	7-10N- 1W	3150	3150	3370	3445	NDE NDE
59	REIFF	#1	14-10N- 1W	ND	4555	4720	5070	NDE NDE
60	STANDARD-HAYES	#44-24	24-10N- 1W	ND	4900	5030	5445	NDE NDE
61	HORGAN COMMUNITY	#1	5-10N- 1E	ND	4775	4750	4800	NDE NDE
62	SECTION 10	#1	10-10N- 1E	ND	5520	5720	5830	NDE NDE
63	SHELL-OLIVER	#1	24-10N- 1E	ND	6000	6165	6330	NDE NDE
64	PAYNE, E.A.	#1-11	11-10N- 2E	ND	5610	5890	5980	10770 NDE
65	SSJDD	#1	4-10N- 3E	ND	4730	4960	5270	9120 NDE
66	SILLS	#1-10	10-10N- 4E	ND	3685	3810	3960	7010 7818
67	RAYMOND	#1	14-10N- 4E	ND	3560	3690	4140	6655 NDE
68	HUMBLE-HICOK	#1	32-10N- 4E	3760	4640	4825	4920	8400 NDE
69	MUSCHETTO	#1	22-10N- 6E	1200	NP	NP	1200	1395 1680
70	ROMINGER, E.A.	#1	36- 9N- 2W	750	NP	NP	750	ND ND
71	DESERET FARMS	#6-2	6- 9N- 1W	3370	3405	3670	3810	NDE NDE
72	NEAVES-CHAPMAN	#1	31- 9N- 1W	1530	1580	NP	1835	NDE NDE
73	ROCO-ULRICH	#77-6	6- 9N- 1E	ND	5340	5590	5850	NDE NDE
74	CACHE CREEK RANCH	#1	8- 9N- 1E	3350	5520	5875	6050	ND ND
75	INVESTMENT OPER.	#3-13	13- 9N- 2E	ND	6760	NP	6965	NDE NDE
76	AGIP-WOODLAND SO.	#3-1	21- 9N- 2E	ND	7160	7265	7420	NDE NDE
77	SWANSTON	#1	26- 9N- 3E	ND	6200	NP	6555	10990 NDE
78	MARTY	#1	18- 9N- 4E	ND	5295	NP	5535	9145 NDE
79	NATOMAS LAND CO.	#1	13- 9N- 6E	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 1780
80	PEREZ	#1	32- 8N- 1W	3900	5165	NP	5415	NDE NDE
81	CAMERON GLIDE	#1	14- 8N- 1E	ND	7650	NDE	NDE	NDE NDE
82	WINTERS	#2-1	18- 8N- 1E	ND	6885	NP	7150	NDE NDE
83	BELTRAMI	#4	25- 8N- 2E	ND	8665	NP	8890	NDE NDE
84	CITIES-GLIDE-COLBY	#1	14- 8N- 3E	ND	7315	NP	7740	NDE NDE
85	CURREY	#7	24- 7N- 2E	4490	9700	NP	10050	NDE NDE
86	GLIDE	#4-1	1- 7N- 3E	5480	8530	NP	8780	11420 NDE
87	MAXWELL, JOHN C.	#1	7- 7N- 3E	4120	9010	9395	9460	NDE NDE
88	GLIDE-COURT	#65-10	10- 7N- 3E	4300	8535	NP	8950	12410 15080
89	PARSONS	#1-1	24- 7N- 3E	4610	8885	NP	9170	NDE NDE
90	MURDOCH	#1	27- 7N- 4E	3210	8035	NP	8510	10015 11610
91	UNION-DOW-STD COMM.	#1-1	31- 7N- 4E	3705	9040	NP	9330	11760 NDE
92	SHERMAN	#5	32- 7N- 4E	3590	8860	NP	9135	11320 NDE
93	ELLIOTT RANCH	#36-67	36- 7N- 4E	3700	7600	NP	7915	8495 NDE
94	UNION-DOW-ATTORNEY	#1	13- 7N- 5E	2850	4575	NP	4785	4960 5130
95	SACRAMENTO	#A-1	16- 7N- 5E	3220	6500	NP	6720	7100 7660

ID	WELL NAME	LOCATION	Top K	Ks	Kk	F zone	Kd	bsmnt
96	HBC-EDWARDS, E A #1-17	17- 7N- 5E	2950	6770	NP	7365	8155	NDE
97	SIMS COMMUNITY #2	18- 7N- 5E	2665	6720	NP	7150	7865	9400
98	SIMMS, ET AL #1	20- 7N- 5E	ND	6970	NP	7270	7780	8275
99	KRAMER, J.P. #1	26- 7N- 5E	3285	5490	NP	5765	6080	6420
100	CRYSTAL 28 #1	28- 7N- 5E	3540	6575	NP	6880	7320	NDE
101	OCCIDENTAL-FREEPORT #B-1	29- 7N- 5E	ND	6635	NP	7020	7330	8100
102	BERRY #1	31- 7N- 5E	3665	7365	NP	7560	8110	8640
103	ELLIOTT RANCH #32-36	32- 7N- 5E	ND	7215	NP	7365	7820	NDE
104	CAL. PLANS-POPPY #3	33- 7N- 5E	3575	6810	NP	7090	7400	NDE
105	VENADA-PEDERSON #1	34- 7N- 5E	3310	5740	NP	5815	6330	6830
106	H & C-HARLANDER #1	4- 7N- 6E	ND	2670	NP	3080	3930	5080
107	PLAN #1	8- 7N- 6E	1550	3190	NP	3410	3690	NDE
108	PLAN #1	19- 7N- 6E	2745	4290	NP	4450	4610	4780
109	WAECELL #1	6- 7N- 7E	ND	2590	NP	2640	2810	3000
110	BOTTIMORE, C.W. #2	34- 7N- 7E	ND	2425	NP	2455	NP	2590
111	MCCULLOCH-MASON #1	32- 6N- 1W	1715	NP	NP	1715	4795	NDE
112	VENADA-LAUREL, E A #1	3- 6N- 3E	5550	9550	NP	9790	NDE	NDE
113	POLLOCK #1	5- 6N- 4E	ND	9015	NP	9325	11550	NDE
114	WURSTER #3	27- 6N- 4E	4085	9490	NP	9755	11670	NDE
115	GOLD #1	3- 6N- 5E	ND	6580	NP	7025	7255	7780
116	CAL. PLANS-POPPY #2	4- 6N- 5E	ND	6650	NP	6955	7320	NDE
117	VITA-FEE #11-5	5- 6N- 5E	ND	7380	NP	7510	8015	NDE
118	NGC-ELLIOT #6-45	6- 6N- 5E	3715	7840	NP	8095	8735	NDE
119	JILLSON #1	9- 6N- 5E	3640	6825	NP	7235	7435	8110
120	HARRY #1	26- 6N- 5E	3680	6830	NP	6885	7030	7790
121	BARTHOLOMEW #1	4- 6N- 6E	ND	4030	NP	4055	NP	4180
122	HOLTHOUSE, JR., M. #1-19	19- 6N- 6E	3330	5630	NP	5710	5930	6670
123	BOTTIMORE, R.L. #1	3- 6N- 7E	ND	2520	NP	2530	NP	2650
124	GILL #1	13- 6N- 7E	ND	2365	NP	2365	NP	2470
125	HOWARD #1	24- 6N- 7E	ND	2510	NP	2510	NP	2650
126	TOLINAS FARMS #1	20- 5N- 1W	520	1660	NP	1915	5020	NDE
127	PETERSEN #1	32- 5N- 1E	8430	9885	NP	10060	ND	NDE
128	MCCORMACK-WILLIAMS. #9	25- 5N- 4E	ND	9305	NP	9650	11510	12610
129	HOWARD FEE #24-11	11- 5N- 5E	3935	7660	NP	7900	8250	8480
130	CAPITAL CO. #6	27- 5N- 5E	3315	8365	NP	8740	9415	10220
131	CHEVRON-BLOSSOM CO. #1-29	29- 5N- 5E	3840	9110	NP	9600	10990	NDE
132	THOMPSON #2	33- 5N- 8E	ND	2835	NP	2835	NP	3000
133	MCCULLOCH-MACSON-S. #1	10- 4N- 1W	3615	NP	NP	3615	6240	NDE
134	LAMBIE #5	25- 4N- 1W	3085	3620	NP	3855	ND	NDE
135	COOK, JR., PETER #16	10- 4N- 2E	6885	14225	NP	14565	NDE	NDE
136	COOK, JR., PETER #13	12- 4N- 2E	7085	14550	NP	14920	NDE	NDE
137	COOK, JR., PETER #15	8- 4N- 3E	4875	12490	NP	12835	NDE	NDE
138	COOK, JR., PETER #11	18- 4N- 3E	6860	12540	NP	12910	NDE	NDE
139	COMMUNITY #1-1	1- 4N- 6E	2740	4655	NP	4800	5260	5710
140	SHELL-BROVELLI #1	4- 4N- 6E	3180	5920	NP	6315	6460	7280
141	STEFFAN FEE #13-6	6- 4N- 6E	ND	7700	NP	7975	8110	NDE
142	FEIST #1-7	7- 4N- 6E	ND	7790	NP	8230	8400	NDE
143	COMMUNITY #2-1	12- 4N- 6E	2770	4740	NP	4930	5410	5690

ID	WELL NAME	LOCATION	Top K	Ks	Kk	F zone	Kd	bsmnt
144	WOODBIDGE	#32-1	32- 4N- 6E	4030	8110	NP	8550	8750 9240
145	COMMUNITY	#1-18-5	5- 4N- 7E	ND	4360	NP	4405	4500 4640
146	GRUSSENDORF	#1	7- 4N- 7E	2730	4400	NP	4430	4645 4790
147	LODI COMMUNITY	#9-1	9- 4N- 7E	2550	4000	NP	4050	4280 4460
148	LODI COMMUNITY	#10-1	10- 4N- 7E	2545	3940	NP	3975	4260 4490
149	FERRERO	#1-10	10- 4N- 7E	ND	4000	NP	4040	4290 4480
150	THOMPSON	#1	11- 4N- 7E	ND	3935	NP	3935	4065 4315
151	COMMUNITY	#14-23-1	23- 4N- 7E	2575	3965	NP	3995	4220 NDE
152	LOCKE	#1	25- 4N- 7E	2335	3860	NP	3860	4065 4230
153	MONTGOMERY	#1	29- 4N- 8E	ND	3570	NP	3570	3730 3905
154	BOULDIN DEV.	#1	23- 3N- 4E	4750	11360	NDE	NDE	NDE NDE
155	EAST LODI	#1-1	16- 3N- 7E	2970	5460	NP	5490	5675 6050
156	TERESI	#1	6- 3N- 8E	ND	3890	NP	3890	4095 4290
157	BREITENBUCHER, W.G.	#1	10- 3N- 8E	2400	3350	NP	3350	3510 3640
158	BENJAMIN	#1-2	1- 2N- 7E	ND	5140	NP	5140	5250 5380
159	MOORE	#12-2	12- 2N- 7E	ND	5175	NP	5175	5390 NDE
160	MARTINET	#25-2	2- 2N- 8E	2325	3935	NP	3935	4125 4230
161	L & W TRUSTEE	#1	3- 2N- 8E	ND	3985	NP	3985	4150 4280
162	ROBBINS	#1	19- 2N- 8E	2470	6235	NP	6440	6675 6970
163	EILERS	#1-32	32- 2N- 8E	1860	4780	NP	5335	7480 NDE
164	UNIT	#1-14X-36	36- 2N- 8E	2160	4710	NP	4710	4955 5070
165	MANTELLI	#1	14- 1N- 4E	5600	12535	NP	13215	14725 16220
166	FOLEY	#1	24- 1N- 5E	ND	11195	NP	11785	11975 12230
167	ARBINI	#1	13- 1N- 7E	2215	6290	NP	6885	8760 NDE
168	SIGNAL	#47-1	20- 1N- 7E	2665	8205	NP	8665	10265 10905
169	EAST STOCKTON	#1	3- 1N- 8E	1700	4915	NP	5425	7015 NDE
170	EAST STOCKTON	#1	5- 1N- 8E	1800	4980	NP	5585	7910 8520
171	TEXACO-CHEV.-FRANZ.	#1-33	33- 1N- 8E	2350	6845	NP	7350	9140 9630
172	SACCO-NECK-SOUZA	#1	17- 1S- 3E	ND	5380	NP	5775	NDE NDE
173	LOUIS SOUZA	#1	19- 1S- 3E	NSE	NP	NP	NP	2780 NDE
174	NORRIS GRUNAUER	#1	26- 1S- 4E	ND	13010	NP	13395	NDE NDE
175	LAWRENCE	#1	35- 1S- 5E	4090	11330	NP	11920	NDE NDE
176	LATHROP	#B-5	7- 1S- 6E	3600	9675	NP	10435	12140 NDE
177	MACHADO	#1	36- 1S- 7E	3110	8740	NP	9020	NDE NDE
178	FRANZIA	#1	8- 1S- 8E	ND	7435	NP	7800	9790 NDE
179	SIMMS	#1	9- 1S- 8E	2500	7440	NP	7690	9265 NDE
180	VIERRA	#1	17- 1S- 8E	2625	7535	NP	7855	9750 NDE
181	FRANZIA	#2	20- 1S- 8E	ND	7460	NP	7760	9555 NDE
182	COOKSON	#1	29- 1S- 8E	ND	7655	NP	7940	9900 NDE
183	CASTELLO	#1-13	13- 2S- 3E	NSE	NP	NP	NSE	2075 NDE
184	WEAVER CORDES	#1	26- 2S- 4E	2325	2345	NP	2720	6310 NDE
185	NOLA	#1	29- 2S- 4E	NSE	NP	NP	NSE	2510 NDE
186	TRACY COMMUNITY	#1-1	15- 2S- 5E	3385	11870	NP	12450	NDE NDE
187	HAYES, L.H.	#24-1A	24- 2S- 6E	3645	11230	NP	11720	14900 15955
188	GILBERT	#1	3- 2S-10E	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 4751
189	BRICHETTO	#1	28- 2S-10E	1850	5700	NP	5900	ND 6445
190	CLARIBELL	#1-32	32- 2S-11E	1930	NP	NP	NP	NP 4531
191	TF	#1-1	2- 3S- 4E	1635	1725	NP	2120	NDE NDE

ID	WELL NAME	LOCATION	Top K	Ks	Kk	F zone	Kd	bsmnt
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
192	MCCULLOCH-COYNE ET #1	10- 3S- 4E	NSE	NP	NP	NSE	3770	NDE
193	STEELE #47-19	19- 3S- 5E	1550	4200	NP	4570	NDE	NDE
194	VERNALIS FARMS #1	27- 3S- 6E	3490	11370	NP	11810	NDE	NDE
195	YOUNG COMMUNITY #1	34- 3S- 8E	3260	10340	NP	10585	13055	13680
196	ALVES #1	2- 3S- 9E	2490	7550	NP	7865	8920	9145
197	BRIGHT #1	3- 3S-11E	1080	NP	NP	NP	NP	3178
198	METZGER #1	23- 3S-11E	1040	NP	NP	NP	NP	3293
199	GREAT BASINS-CONNOL #63X-5	5- 4S- 5E	NSE	4380	NP	4680	ND	NDE
200	NEEL #1	12- 4S- 9E	3425	9515	NP	9515	NP	9760
201	BRADEN #1	7- 4S-11E	2285	6550	NP	6550	NDE	NDE
202	GONSALVES #1	36- 5S- 9E	3400	10765	NP	10960	ND	12307
203	WELL #1	20- 5S-10E	NSE	9985	NP	10145	ND	11350
204	EVANS AND COOK #1	23- 5S-11E	2730	NP	NP	NP	NP	6505
205	SOCO-RAPP #1	28- 5S-11E	3125	NP	NP	NP	NP	7321

APPENDIX 2
MEASURED SECTIONS

EXPLANATION FOR MEASURED SECTION

Grain sizes:	<div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle; text-align: center;"> ----- INCREASING GRAIN SIZE ----- < </div> C - clay S - silt V - very fine-grained sand F - fine-grained sand M - medium-grained sand C - coarse-grained sand V - very coarse-grained sand G - granules P - pebbles
Paleocurrent:	Arrows indicates restored azimuthal direction of flow with azimuthal value.
Facies:	A, B, C, D, E, F, and G (from Mutti and Ricchi Lucchi, 1972)
Bouma divisions:	a, b, c, d, and e (from Bouma, 1962)
Definitions:	massive - graded Bouma Ta division planar - planar (or parallel) laminated rippled - current ripple laminated
Symbols:	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="margin-right: 10px;"> PC-SS-1 </div> <div> planar laminated ripple laminated convolute laminated planar cross stratified shale rip-up clasts concretionary clasts concretionary bed shells/shell fragments dewatering structures flame structures bioturbated synsedimentary deformed bed full thickness not represented location of sandstone sample </div> </div>

A. PUTAH CREEK MEASURED SECTION

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 1 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
105		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstones (2); planar cross stratified	D	c
			Sandstones (2); massive, planar; planar cross strat	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale ripout clasts, concretionary	B	abc
			Sandstone, massive	3	a
			Sandstone; massive, flame structures	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale ripout clasts	B	ab
85		INTERCHANNEL	Covered interval - 63 m		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
30		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 30 m		
0

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 2 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
125		LEVEE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 3:1	DEG	cde
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
120		CHANNEL	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 3:1	E,G	cde
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; planar cross strat., flame structures	B	c
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	aa
115		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, erosional base	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, erosional base	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 4:1	E,G	cde
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone (2); massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, sh. rip-up clasts	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
110		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 4 of 19	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
295		LEVEE	Covered interval		
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E,G	cde
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	E,G	cde
			Sandstone; planar	D	b
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	E,G	cde
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:7 21m	D,E,G	cde
270			Shale; poorly exposed	G	e
266			Sandstone; planar, deforming structures	B	b
		CHANNEL MARGIN			

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 5 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFM CVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
320		LEVEE	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
		INTERCHANNEL	Covered interval - 9 m		
			Sandstone; planar, concave-upward	D	b
			Sandstone; planar, convolute laminations, concave-upward	B	bc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations, concave-upward	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar	B	b
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	B	bc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	b	ab
305		LEVEE	Covered interval		
			Intersorted sandstone and shale; 1:1 pinon and swell	DEG	cds
300					

PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.

PAGE 6 of 19

THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.			
380		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone (S); massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Covered interval					
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts	B	a			
			375		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab						
325		INTERCHANNEL?				Covered interval		
						Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	C	?
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	C	?			
			Covered interval - 42 m					
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc			
			Shale	G	e			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab			
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; S:S	E,G	cab			
			Sandstone; planar	D	b			
			Shale	G	e			
			Sandstone; massive	B	a			
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; S:S	E,G	cab			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab			
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a			
			Covered interval					

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 7 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
435	C	CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
425		INTERCHANNEL?	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval - 40 m		

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 8 of 19	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
455		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
450		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
445		CHANNEL	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
440		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, covered at top	B	a

THICKNESS
(meters)

PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.

PAGE 10 of 19

GRAIN SIZE
C S V F M C V G P
| | | | | | | |

FACIES
ASSOC.

ROCK DESCRIPTION

FACIES

BOUMA
SEQ.

INTERCHANNEL?	Sandstone; massive	B	a
	Covered interval - 12m		
CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts	B	a
	Sandstone; massive, planar, concave-up clasts	B	ab
	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 20 m		
LEVEE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E6	cde
	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
	Covered interval		
	Sandstone; planar	D	b
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 7:1	E6	cde
	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
	Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	E6	cde
	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 30m		
CHANNEL	Sandstone, massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, poorly exposed	B	ab
	Covered interval		
	Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, poorly exposed	B	ab
	Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
	Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
	Covered interval		

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.			PAGE 11 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
LEVEE		Covered interval			
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E, G	gab	
	INTERCHANNEL?		Covered interval - 7.5 m			
	CHANNEL		Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Covered interval	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab	
	CHANNEL MARGIN		Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Shale	G	e	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc	
			Shale	G	e	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, flame structures, concave-up clasts	B	bc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, concave-up clasts	B	abc	
		Sandstone; massive	B	a		
		Sandstone; massive, concave-up clasts, shell fragments	B	a		

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 12 of 19	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
680		LEVEE	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:2	E, G	cd, e
		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 24 m		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	C	a
			Covered interval - 8 m		
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:1	E, G	cd, e
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	3	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone, massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts, conglomeratic clasts	B	a
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	abc
675		LEVEE	Covered interval - 8 m		

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 13 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
770		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 60 m		
		CHANNEL/ CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar, ripple	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, ripple	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 40 m		
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar ripple	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
		LEVEE	Covered interval		

PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.				PAGE 14 of 19	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1005		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, top covered	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, concutaneous cleats	B	20
1000					
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; planar	B	b
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
995			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
990		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 159 m		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	C	aa
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	C	a

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 16 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION		FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1245	SLOPE	Covered interval			
		Sandstone; massive planar, rippled		B	abc
		Sandstone; massive planar		B	ab
		Sandstone; massive, planar shale rip-up clasts		B	ab
		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled		B	abc
		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled		B	abc
	GULLY	Covered interval			
		Sandstone; massive, planar		B	ab
		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled		B	abc
	SLOPE ?	Covered interval - 50 m			
1190	GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed		B	ab
		Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed		B	ab
		Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed		B	a
		Covered interval			
		Sandstone; massive, planar poorly exposed		B	ab
	SLOPE ?	Covered interval - 48 m			
	GULLY	Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed		B	a
		Sandstone; massive		B	a
		Sandstone; massive		B	a
		Sandstone; massive planar		B	ab
1140	SLOPE	Covered interval			

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.		PAGE 17 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1265		SLOPE	Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
		SLOPE	Covered interval		
1260		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive planar shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
1255		SLOPE	Covered interval		

PC-55-7

PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.			PAGE 18 of 19		
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1360		SLOPE	Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Covered interval		
		SLOPE	Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
		SLOPE	Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
		1355		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled
Sandstone; massive, planar	B				ab
Sandstone; massive, planar	B				ab
Sandstone; massive, planar	B				ab
Shale rip-up-clast conglomerate	A				a
Covered interval					
Sandstone; planar rippled	B				bc
Sandstone; massive, planar, dewatering structures	B				ab
Shale rip-up-clast conglomerate	A				a
Covered interval - 80 m					
SLOPE ?	Covered interval - 80 m				
1350					

THICKNESS (meters)		PUTAH CREEK SECS. 22, 23, 27, T.8N., R.2W.			PAGE 19 of 19	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION		FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1804	~	SLOPE ?	Covered interval; some scattered outcrops of shale - 240 m			

B. GATES CANYON MEASURED SECTION

GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.			PAGE 1 of 11		
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
<div><div>165</div><div>160</div><div>155</div><div>150</div><div>0</div></div> <div> </div>	LEVEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc	
	INTERCHANNEL ?	Covered interval			
		Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts, top covered	C	a	
			Shale	G	e
		Covered interval			
	CHANNEL / CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc	
		Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab	
		Sandstone, massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab	
		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc	
	INTERCHANNEL ?	Covered interval - 150m			

GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.			PAGE 2 of 11		
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
225		CHANNEL/MARGIN	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
175		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 43 m		
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:1	E,G	cae
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
170		LEVEE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E,G	cae
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar shale rip-up clasts	S	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E,G	cae
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E,G	cae

GC-55-1

GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.				PAGE 3 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
335		CHANNEL	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	po
305		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 25 m		
		CHANNEL / CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; planar, rippled, poorly exposed	D	bc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	B	abc
235		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 65 m		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	C	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Covered interval - 9 m		

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 4 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
INTERCHANNEL?	Sandstone, poorly exposed	B	?
			Covered interval - 115 m		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; rippled	D	c
			Sandstone; rippled	D	c
			Interbedded sandstone and shale: 3:1	E,G	cd
			Sandstone; massive, planar, planar cross laminations, flame structures	B	abc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale: 3:1	E,G	cd
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale: 5:1	E,G	cd
			Sandstone; massive, planar, planar cross laminations, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale: 1:1	E,G	cd
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar poorly exposed	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar rippled, poorly exposed	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Covered interval		

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 5 of 11	
	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
530		CHANNEL	Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Shale	C	e
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame-tinged structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
525		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
470		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 50m		
			Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ab
		CHANNEL/MARGIN	Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone: poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.			PAGE 6 of 11	
GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 		FACIES ASSOC.		ROCK DESCRIPTION		FACIES BOUMA SEQ.
600		LEVEE		Covered interval		
				Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ac
595		CHANNEL		Intervbedded sandstone and shale: 1:1	E.G	cde
				Covered interval		
				Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ad
				Sandstone: planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	3	bc
				Shale	G	e
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc
				Sandstone: massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
				Sandstone: massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
				Intervbedded sandstone and shale: 7:1	E.G	cde
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
590		CHANNEL		Sandstone: planar, convolute laminations, shale rip-up clasts	B	bc
				Sandstone: planar, convolute laminations, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	bc
				Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ab
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
				Sandstone: planar, rippled	2	ccc
				Sandstone: planar, rippled	2	cc
				Sandstone: planar	2	b
				Sandstone: massive, planar	B	ab
				Intervbedded sandstone and shale: 2:1	E.G	cde
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	3	abc
585		LEVEE		Sandstone: rippled, shale rip-up clasts	D	c
				Sandstone: massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	ab
				Intervbedded sandstone and shale: 7:1	E.G	cde
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	3	abc
				Sandstone: massive, planar, convolute laminations	B	abc
				Intervbedded sandstone and shale: 1:1	E.G	cde
				Covered interval - 52 m		
				Sandstone: massive, planar, rippled	2	abc
				Covered interval		
				Covered interval		
535		INTERCHANNEL?		Covered interval		
GC-55-4				Sandstone: massive, planar	3	ab

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 7 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
700		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, concretionary	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
610		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 85 m		
		LEVEE	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	B	abc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 3:1	E.G	cd
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
605		CHANNEL	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
		LEVEE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 3:1	E.G	cd
			Sandstone; massive, planar, flame structures	B	ab
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Covered interval		

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 8 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
775		CHANNEL	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
755		LEVEE?	Covered interval - 15m		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; planar convolute laminations	B	loc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:1	E.G	cap
			Sandstone massive, planar, base covered	B	ab
705		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 45 m		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive planar rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab

GC-55-5

GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.			PAGE 9 of 11		
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
CHANNEL MARGIN		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	aa
	INTERCHANNEL?		Covered interval - 24m		
	CHANNEL	GC-SS-6	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, ripple, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, flame structures	B	abc
	CHANNEL MARGIN/LEVEE		Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Interbedded sandstone and shale: 9:1	E.G	cd
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	aa
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, poorly exposed	D	bc
			Sandstone; massive planar, convolute laminations	B	abc
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
	INTERCHANNEL?		Covered interval - 66m		

THICKNESS (meters)			GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 10 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.	
	CSVFMCVGP 					
970		CHANNEL	Covered interval			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc	
			Covered interval			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Covered interval			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
		INTERCHANNEL ?	Covered interval - 30 m			
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	aa	
			Covered interval - 8 m			
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?	
CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive planar poorly exposed	B	ab			
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, dewatering structures	B	abc			
	Sandstone; massive, planar, small rip-up clasts	B	ad			
	Covered interval - 46 m					
INTERCHANNEL ?	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ad			

THICKNESS (meters)		GATES CANYON SECS. 11, 12, T.6N., R.2W.		PAGE 11 of 11	
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1202			Covered interval - 110 m		
		SLOPE ?			
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, concretionary	B	ab
			Shale rip-up-clast conglomerate	A	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
1080					
		SLOPE ?	Covered interval		
		GULLY	Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	B	a
1085					
		SLOPE ?	Covered interval - 108 m		
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone, massive, planar, rippled	B	auc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	auc
975					

GC-SS-7

C. MARSH CREEK MEASURED SECTION

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 1 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
70		FAN FRINGE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	D, G	c, d, e
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	aca
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:9	D, G	c, d, e
15		BASIN PLAIN	Covered interval - 52 m		
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:9	D, G	c, d, e
10
0			Covered interval - 8 m		

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 2 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
FAN FRINGE	Sandstone; massive; shale rip-up clasts	C	a
			Covered interval - 9m		
			Sandstone; massive; shale rip-up clasts	C	a
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:7	D, G	c, d, e
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	b, c, e
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	D, G	c, d, e
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 3 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
140 					

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 4 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
160		INTERLOBE	Covered interval		
155			Sandstone; pebbles, shell fragments	C	a
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	C	abc
150		LOBE 2	Covered interval
	Shale	G	e
145		LOBE 1	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, poorly exposed	C	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 5 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
180		LOBE 3	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
			Shale	G	e
170		LOBE 3	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, small frags., convoluted	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, convoluted	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
165		INTERLOBE	Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive planar, rippled	C	abc

MC-55-2

196 /

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 8 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
255			Covered interval		
250		LOBE 7	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, convoluted	C	abc
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, convoluted	C	abc
			Covered interval		
245			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc
	Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	D,G	c,d,e
	Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc
			Covered interval		
240		LOBE 6	Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
	Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	D,G	c,d,e
	Sandstone, massive, planar	C	abc
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts, convoluted clasts	C	abc
	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	D,G	c,d,e
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	D,G	c,d,e
	Sandstone; massive	C	a
	Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc
	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 9 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
LOBE 7	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
		INTERLOBE 8	Covered interval - 51 m		
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	D,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale ripple clasts	C	abc
			Sandstone; massive	C	a
		LOBE 8	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	D,G	c,d,e
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	C?	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	C?	?
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	C?	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar,	C	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	D,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone, massive, planar	C	ab
		LOBE 7	Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 10 of 18						
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.	
825		LEVEE	Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:3	E, G	c, d, e	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, concretionary	B	abc	
			Covered interval			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab	
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:1	E, G	c, d, e	
			Sandstone; massive	B	a	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 3:1	E, G	c, d, e	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 1:3	E, G	c, d, e	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc	
325		LOBE 9	Covered interval - 492 m			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	abc	
			Covered interval			
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab	
			Sandstone; massive, planar	C	ab	
278		LOBE 9	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C?	?	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	C	abc	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, burrowed	C	abc	
			Covered interval
	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:1	D, G	c, d, e	
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	C	abc	

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 11 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
850		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	B?	?
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab
			Sandstone; concretionary, poorly exposed	B?	?
845
840

INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 10 m		
		LEVEE	Sandstone; poorly exposed	B?	?

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 12 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
970		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, burrowed	B	abc
860		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval -105 m		
		CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
			Covered interval		
855			Sandstone; massive, planar, poorly exposed	B	ab

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 14 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1015		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	B	awc
			Sandstone; planar	D	bc
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 3:1	E,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive planar, shale rip-up clasts, Covered interval	B	abc
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 3:1	E,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
			Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
			Sandstone; planar	D	b
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; massive	B	a
		LEVEE	Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bce
			Sandstone; planar rippled	D	bce
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; planar	D	b
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts, concretionary clasts	B	abc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; poorly exposed	?	?
			Interbedded siltstone and shale; 3:1	E,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; planar	D	bc
1010		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; planar, rippled, burrowed	D	bce
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bce
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bce
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, convolute laminations	B	awc
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
1005		CHANNEL MARGIN	Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
			Covered interval		
1000		 		

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 15 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE CSVFMCVGP 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1230		MC-SS-7 INNER-FAN CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, concretionary, fractured perpendicular to bedding	B	a
1025		MC-SS-6 CHANNEL MARGIN	Covered interval - 200 m		
1020		LEVEE	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Sandstone; massive, planar, concretionary	B	ab
		CHANNEL MARGIN	Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bce
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bce
			Sandstone; planar	D	be
			Sandstone; planar flame structures	D	b
			Sandstone; massive planar, rippled, downward no str.	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Sandstone; massive planar, convolute laminae, shale mgs. up dls.	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled	D	bc
			Covered interval		
			Sandstone; rippled, pinch and swell	E	c
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, flame structures	B	abc
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled, shale rip-up clsts.	B	abc
			Sandstone; planar	D	b
			Sandstone; planar, shale rip-up clasts	D	be
			Sandstone; rippled, pinch and swell	E	c
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive planar, rippled, shale rip-up clsts	B	abce
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, shale rip-up clasts	D	bce
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, flame structures	D	bce
			Sandstone; rippled, pinch and swell, flame structures	E	c
			Sandstone; massive, planar, rippled	B	abce
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	E, G	cde
			Sandstone; massive, planar	B	abce
			Sandstone; planar	D	be
			Shale	G	e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, shell frags., flame str.	B	abce
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, shale rip-up clsts., shell frags.	D	bc
			Sandstone; planar, rippled, shale rip-up clsts., shell frags.	D	bc
			Sandstone; planar, shale rip-up clasts, shell fragments	D	b
			Sandstone; massive planar, rippled, shale rip-up clsts.	B	abce

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 16 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
	Intermixed sandstone, siltstone, and shale; syndimentary folding	F	
1250			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts; concretionary, fractured perpendicular to bedding	B	a
1245	C	INNER-FAN CHANNEL			
1240					
1235			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts; concretionary, fractured perpendicular to bedding	B	a

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 17 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
		INTERCHANNEL			
1270		INNER-FAN CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	A	ab
			Covered interval		
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	E,G	c,d,e
1265			Sandstone; massive, planar, shale rip-up clasts	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 5:1	E,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive, shale rip-up clasts	B	a
1260			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	E,G	c,d,e
			Sandstone; massive, burrowed, concretionary	B	a
1255			Interbedded sandstone, siltstone, and shale; 1:3	E,G	c,d,e

MARSH CREEK SEC. 36, T.1N., R.1E., SEC. 31, T.1N., R.2E. PAGE 18 of 18					
THICKNESS (meters)	GRAIN SIZE C S V F M C V G P 	FACIES ASSOC.	ROCK DESCRIPTION	FACIES	BOUMA SEQ.
1329		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 22 m		
1305		LEVEE/INTERCHANNEL	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:7	E, G	c, d, e
1300		INNER-FAN CHANNEL	Sandstone; massive, planar	B	ab
			Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:1	E, G	c, d, e
			Sandstone; massive, planar, pinches out laterally into interchannel/levee facies associations, partially concretionary	B	ab
		LEVEE	Interbedded sandstone and shale; 1:3	E, G	c, d, e
1295		INTERCHANNEL?	Covered interval - 25 m		

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

Base Map with Cross

with Cross Sections

R 2 E

R 4 E

R 6 E

T
12
N

R 6 E

T
12
N

A'

T
10
N

T
8
N

B'

T

T
6
N

T
4
N

T
2
N

Gates Canyon
Measured Section

111

126

127

133

134

135

136

85

87

R 2 W

T
1

Marsh Creek
Measured Section

C

T
6
N

T
4
N

T
2
N

T
1
S

R 11 E

Structure-Contour Map - Top

ir Map - Top Basement Rocks

ks

E

R 6 E

T
12
N

T
10
N

T
8
N

T
6
N

T
6
N

T
4
N

T
2
N

R 2 W

T
1
S

R 2 W

T
1
S

R 1 E

T
3
S

Plate 2 - Structure-Contour Map - Top Basement Rocks
(below sea level)

Contour interval - 1000 ft

- **Dry & Abandoned**
- **Abandoned Producer**
- **Producer**

F-zone Outcrop

Fault, U-upthrown block, D-downthrown block
arrows indicate sense of movement

Measured Section

Contour Line

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

Isopach Map - Kion

Map - Kione Formation

R 6 E

T
6
N

T
4
N

T
2
N

R 2 W

T
1
S

R 2 W

T
1
S

R 1 E

T
3
S

Plate 3 - Isopach Map - Kione Formation

THICK - Thick trend, THIN - Thin trend
NP Not Present

Contour interval - 50 ft

- Dry & Abandoned
- Abandoned Producer
- Producer

F-zone Outcrop

Fault, U-upthrown block, D-downthrown block
arrows indicate sense of movement

Measured Section

Contour Line

R 7 E

R 9 E

R 11 E

T
1
S

T
3
S

T
5
S

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

Isopach Map - F

R 3 W

R 1 W

R 2 E

T 12 N

T 10 N

T 8 N

T

ch Map - F zone

R 6 E

T
6
N

T
4
N

T
2
N

R 2 W

T
1

T
1

R 1 E

T 3 S

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

A

WEST

TIES WITH D-07

KCL-STANDARD-CAMERL NO. 1
KERN COUNTY OIL CO.
SEC. 32, T.11N. R.2E.

PAYNE, S.A. NO. 1-11
CHEVRON, USA
SEC. 11, T.10N. R.2E.

DUBURG-ENAGOS-CHUR. NO. 1
PEXCO, INC.
SEC. 34, T.11N. R.3E.

U.S. & GAS CO.
T.11N. R.1E.

A'
EAST

DUEBEG-KNAGGS-CHUR. NO. 1
PEXCO, INC.
SEC. 34, T.11N. R.3E.

LAUPPE NO. 1-28
GETTY OIL CO.
SEC. 28, T.11N. R.4E.

DIAMOND E RANCH NO. 1
PLATEAU O & G CO.
SEC. 16, T.11N. R.3E.

Plate 5 - Regional Stratigraphic Cross Section A-A'		
WELL SYMBOL EXPLANATION	CROSS SECTION SCALE	STRATIGRAPHIC EXPLANATION
○ GAS PRODUCER		— FORMATION CONTACT

B

WEST

TIES WITH D-D'

BELTRAMI NO. 4
CAPITOL OIL CORP.
SEC. 23, T. 8N. R. 12E.

GLIDE-COURT NO. 65-10
GENERAL PET. CORP.
SEC. 10, T. 7N. R. 13E.

CAMERON GLIDE NO. 1
CAMERON OIL CO.
SEC. 14, T. 8N. R. 12E.

SP RESISTIVITY

SP RESISTIVITY

SP RESISTIVITY

Sacramento
BASINWIDE SHU

Forbes F1
SLOPE F1

Forbes F1
URMARINE I

GLIDE-COURT NO. 65-10
GENERAL PET. CORP.
SEC. 10, T.7N. R.3E.

MURDOCH NO. 1
ROCKY MOUNTAIN DRILLING
SEC. 27, T.7N. R.4E.

SACRAMENTO NO. A-1
CALIFORNIA EXPL. CO.
SEC. 16, T.7N. R.5E.

UNION-DOW ATTORNEY NO. 1
UNION OIL
SEC. 13, T.7N. R.5E.

Plate 6 - Regional Stratigraphic Cross Section

WELL SYMBOL EXPLANATION	CROSS SECTION SCALE	51
⊙ GAS PRODUCER	800	51
⊙ ABANDONED GAS PRODUCER		
⊙ SHUT IN GAS		
⊙ GAS SHOW		

B'
EAST

SACRAMENTO NO. A-1
CALIFORNIA EXPL. CO.
SEC. 16, T.7N. R.5E.

UNION-DOW ATTORNEY NO. 1
UNION OIL
SEC. 13, T.7N. R.5E.

WABOELL NO. 1
NORRIS OIL CO.
SEC. 4, T.7N. R.7E.

5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0

Forbes Formation
SLOPE FACIES

Forbes Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Forbes Formati
SLOPE FACIES

F-1 zone

Forbes Formati
SUBMARINE FAN F/

F-2 zone

Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FAC

Order Great Valley Gr

G-1 zone

Basement Cr

Plate 6 - Regional Stratigraphic Cross Section B-B'

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

C

WEST

Upper Campanian and
Maestrichtian Strata

MARSH CREEK ROAD
MEASURED SECTION
SEC. 36 T. 14. N. R. 1E.
SEC. 31 T. 14. N. R. 2E.

Campanian and
irichian Strata

WEAVER-CORDES NO. 1
RICHARD RHEEM
SBC 26, T.2S R. 4E.

TRACY COMMUNITY NO. 1
AMERADIA PET. CORP.
SBC 15, T.2S R. 5E.

Post-Cretaceous Unconformity

Lower E zone

F zone

G-1 zone

CASTELLO NO. 1-13
SUPERIOR OIL CO.
SEC. 13, T.2S R. 3E.

NOLA NO. 1
SANTA FE MINERALS
SEC. 29, T.2S R. 4E.

DATUM

TRACY COMMUNITY NO 1-1
AMERADA PET CORP
SBC 15 T 25 R 5E

MACHAT
BERRY P
SEC 34

TIES WITH D-D'

L.H. HAYES NO 24-1A
AMOCO PROD CO
SEC 24 T 25 R 6E

Upper Campanian and
Maestrichtian Strata

DATUM

Sacramento Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Marsh Creek Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Older Great Valley Group

Basement Complex

Plate 7 - Regional Stratigraphic Cross Section C-C

WELL SYMBOL EXPLANATION	CROSS SECTION SCALE	STRATIGRAPHY
WELL SYMBOL EXPLANATION	CROSS SECTION SCALE	STRATIGRAPHY

C'

EAST

TIES WITH E-E'

MACHADO NO. 1
BERRY PET. CO.
SEC. 34, T. 15, R. 7E

COOKSON NO. 1
HARECO CORP.
SEC. 29, T. 15, R. 8E

TIES WITH D-D'

L.H. HAYES NO. 24-1A
AMOCO PROD. CO.
SEC. 24, T. 25, R. 6E

DP RESISTIVITY

Upper Campanian and
Maestrichtian Strata

Sacramento Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Marsh Creek Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Older Great Valley Group

Basement Complex

DAVIDOR & DAVIDOR
SEC 19, T.15 R.3E

CASTELLO NO. 1
SUPERIOR OIL CO.
SEC 13, T.25 R.

CASTELLO NO 1-13
SUPERIOR OIL CO
SEC 13, T.25 R.3E

NOLA NO 1
SANTA PE MINERALS
SEC. 29, T.25 R.4E.

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

D
NORTH

RIVER GARDEN FARMS NO. 1
ATLANTIC OIL CO.
SEC. 4, T.11N. R.2E.

TIES WITH A - A'

PAYNE, R.A. NO. 1-11
CHEVRON, USA
SEC. 11, T.10N. R.2E.

NELSON NO. 1-6
ALTA PET.
SEC. 4, T.12N. R.2E.

TIES WITH B-B'

BELTRAMI NO. 4
CAPITOL OIL CORP.
SEC. 25, T. 9N, R. 2E.

CURREY NO. 7
CAPITOL OIL CORP.
SEC. 24, T. 7N, R. 2E.

INVESTMENT OIL NO. 3-13
SHELL OIL CO
SEC. 13, T. 9N, R. 2E.

TIES WITH A-A'

PAYNE, S.A. NO. 1-11
CHEVRON, USA
SEC. 11, T. 10N, R. 2E.

Post-Cretaceous Unconformity

Upper Campanian and
Maastrichtian Strata

Lower E zone

F-1 zone

CURRISY NO. 7
CAPTOL OIL CORP.
SEC. 24, T. 7N. R. 2E.

COOK, JR., PETER NO. 15
STANDARD OIL CO.
SEC. 8, T. 4N. R. 3E.

Sacramento Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Forbes Formation
SLOPE FACIES

Forbes Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

PETER NO. 15
RD OIL CO.
4N. R. 3E.

BOULDIN DBV NO. 1
UNION OIL
SBC. 13, T. 3N. R. 4E.

MANTELLI NO. 1
ARCO OIL & GAS CO
SBC. 14, T. 1N. R. 4E.

DATUM

Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

D'
SOUTH

INO. 1
& GAS CO.
IN. R.4E.

LATHROP NO. B-5
OCCIDENTAL PET. CORP.
SEC. 7, T.15. R.6E.

DEPTH

DEPTH

Upper Campanian and
Maastrichtian Strata

TIES WITH C-C'

L.H. HAYES NO. 24-1A
AMOCO PROD. CO.
SEC. 24, T.25. R.6E.

DEPTH

F zone Undifferentiated
HEMPELAGIC SHALE FACIES

STOCKTON FAULT

Sacramento Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Marsh Creek Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Forbes Formation
SLOPE FACIES

Forbes Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Top Dobbins Shale
BASINWIDE SHALE FACIES

Forbes Formation
SLOPE FACES

Forbes Formation
SUBMARINE FAN FACIES

Plate	
WELL SYMBOL EXPL	
☆	GAS PRODUCER
☆	ABANDONED GA PRODUCER
☆	SHUT-IN GAS
◇	GAS SHOW
◇	DRY AND ABAND
PALEONTOLOGIC EX	
8	GOL FOR ZON
LOWER 8	
F-1	
F-2	
Q-1

PLEASE NOTE:

Oversize maps and charts are filmed in sections in the following manner:

LEFT TO RIGHT, TOP TO BOTTOM, WITH SMALL OVERLAPS

The following map or chart has been refilmed in its entirety at the end of this dissertation (not available on microfiche). A xerographic reproduction has been provided for paper copies and is inserted into the inside of the back cover.

Black and white photographic prints (17" x 23") are available for an additional charge.

University Microfilms International

E
NORTH

TIES WITH C-C'

TEXACO-CHEV. PLANT. NO. 1-33
CHAMPLIN PET. CO.
SEC. 33, T.1N. R.8E.

COOKSON NO. 1
NARBCO CORP.
SEC. 29, T.1S. R.8E.

ALVES NO. 1
ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO.
SEC. 2, T.3S. R.9E.

BRADEN NO. 1
CENTRAL CALIF. EXPL. CO.
SEC. 7, T.4S. R.11E.

E'

SOUTH

BRADEN NO. 1
CENTRAL CALIF. EXPL. CO.
SBC. 7, T.4S. R.11E.

EVANS & COOK NO. 1
OHIO OIL CO.
SBC. 23, T.5S. R.11E.

Plate 3 - Regional Stratigraphic Cross Section E-E'

<p>WELL SYMBOL EXPLANATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☆ GAS PRODUCER ☆ ABANDONED GAS PRODUCER ☆ SHUT-IN GAS ◇ GAS SHOW ◇ DRY AND ABANDONED <p>PALEONTOLOGIC EXPLANATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> E LOWER E F-1 GOLDKOFF (1948) FORAMINIFERAL ZONATIONS F-2 G-1 	<p>CROSS SECTION SCALE</p> <p>800 400 0</p> <p>feet</p> <p>0 1</p> <p>miles</p>	<p>STRATIGRAPHIC EXPLANATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — FORMATION CONTACT ~ UNCONFORMITY — INTRAFORMATIONAL MARKER — SANDSTONE BOUNDARY - - - DASHED WHERE APPROXIMATE OR INFERRED — STRATIGRAPHIC HAND LINE FAULT
---	--	--