

August 2018

## Research on university faculty members reasoning about how departments change

Gina Quan  
*University of Colorado Boulder*

Joel Corbo  
*University of Colorado Boulder*

Courtney Ngai  
*Colorado State University*

Daniel Reinholz  
*San Diego State University*

Mary Pilgrim  
*San Diego State University*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/physics\\_astron\\_pub](https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/physics_astron_pub)



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Gina Quan, Joel Corbo, Courtney Ngai, Daniel Reinholz, and Mary Pilgrim. "Research on university faculty members reasoning about how departments change" *American Association of Physics Teachers* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1119/perc.2018.pr.Quan>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@sjsu.edu](mailto:scholarworks@sjsu.edu).



# Research on university faculty member's reasoning about how departments change


Gina M. Quan, Joel C. Corbo, *University of Colorado Boulder*  
 Courtney Ngai, *Colorado State University*  
 Daniel L. Reinholz, Mary Pilgrim, *San Diego State University*



## Background: Reasoning about change

Apply multiple change perspectives (Kezar, 2013)


Determine the most context-appropriate perspective




Reason with multiple productive ideas (Hammer, 2000)

Change Perspective	Underlying Mechanism
Scientific Management	Incentives and rewards
Social Cognition	Shifts in individuals' understanding
Cultural	Shifts in/alignment with culture
Evolutionary	External, unplanned factors
Political	Collective action and bargaining
Institutional	Pressures from other institutions

## Departmental Action Teams (DAT)



## Analysis



We identified two common "stories" that Potions faculty use to talk about the effectiveness of change efforts.

Story 1: **Scientific Management** failed because of misalignment with **culture**

Story 2: **Social Cognition** worked because of alignment with **culture**

"There was a history in [change effort]... to develop courses **that we would then be required to teach**. And... **we're not allowed to park on campus anymore as faculty members unless we pay the going rate. The last thing we can do is teach the damn class the way we want to**. When someone **makes a standard that you'll have to adhere to**...It's just a terrible idea. And yet just exactly that language was used early on in the [change effort]. Including **teeth during performance evaluation**, [and] **a substandard rating and raise.**"

Alex, Potions faculty

"[Potions] sees itself as **progressive, trying to break new ground regularly**...[and] has a long **history of being concerned about what we're doing right and what we're doing wrong**...It is **confusing to wonder why**...we hover in the low teens in terms of number of our students who are female... Everybody knows that there's got to be something going on there. **But we don't honestly think we know what it is**... I think that's something that **thinking people want to understand**... We've got a committee that's going to make suggestions and **keep track of numbers [of retention data]**, and if the numbers become too overwhelming, and we think there's a **path to understanding**, then things will change."

Alex, Potions faculty

"When I first came there was... some number of faculty who were very resistant to the idea of being told to change the way that they teach. I remember people making comments about I'm not going to use clickers or, you know, do whatever, **just because [leader in STEM education] says that's what I should do, you know? This principle of academic freedom and choosing how you're going to do your research and teach your class** can become sort of a tool that people use to resist doing anything differently."

Sally, Potions faculty

"I think one thing that's made [change] happen is **people seeing how it works and seeing examples of other people doing it** and sort of imitating their colleagues, and also **becoming more familiar with the research that this can be beneficial**... For [Potions] faculty, seeing examples of success and **seeing data**, my impression is that **those are the things that tend to be persuasive.**"

Sally, Potions faculty

## Discussion

- Understanding the common ways faculty reason about change can support facilitators in guiding discussion
- Future analyses will study how different reasoning patterns about change emerge and impact faculty members' decision-making
- We advocate for treating faculty thinking in ways that parallel consideration of student thinking

Kezar, A. (2013). *How colleges change*. Routledge.  
 Hammer, D. (2000). Student resources for learning introductory physics. *Am. J. Phys.*, 68(S1), S52-S59.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1626565.