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Abstract
In an era marked by generative AI, widening inequality, and information overload, librarians with LIS training find themselves at the forefront of a changing landscape. The traditional paradigm in academia is challenged by new technologies and social shifts, prompting a reassessment the librarian's role as a public leader. This article discusses three perspectives on these issues, placing them within the larger conversation of the LIS field. Dr. Norman Mooradian lays the groundwork for a paradigm shift by exploring the intersection of knowledge and ethics in a knowledge economy. Boheme Morris delves into the complexities of inequality within the high-tech knowledge economy, challenging the efficacy of the "access doctrine." Sarah Wilson's research emphasizes the need for diversity, equity, and inclusion in knowledge access, and sounds a clarion call for library services to do more in the furtherance of DEI.
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Librarians, and all those with LIS training, hold an increasingly complex (and vital) role within a modern economy of knowledge that crosses borders, defines global agendas, and sets the stage for the world that might be. As we take raw data and turn it into understandable information, it becomes important to consider how to use and apply that information. Data turned to information becomes the groundwork for knowledge that can lead to change.

And the world is changing, taking academia, that most stalwart and intractable of entities, along for the ride (Vostal, 2016; Babalola et al., 2019). Academia is commonly seen as stuffy, elitist, and removed from the day-to-day necessities of ordinary folk. In its Information Literacy Framework, the Association of College and Research Libraries conceptualizes scholarship as a conversation (2015). This conversation is open to anyone, from any background or level of training, and yet, “While novice learners and experts at all levels can take part in the conversation, established power and authority structures may influence their ability to participate and can privilege certain voices and information” (Information Literacy Framework, 2015).

LIS professionals are powerful gatekeepers of information and knowledge, making it our responsibility to self-assess and work to remove the barriers of privilege whenever possible. While we should acknowledge the forebearers of the scholarly conversation, we must simultaneously seek out gaps in that conversation from which to launch new inquiries. It is more important than ever to take careful stock of how we enter and take part in that conversation, and of who doesn't have a voice in it at all.

As Thomas Kuhn pointed out in his famous essay, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, science operates within the “paradigm,” or mainstream conversation, of its time (1996). A paradigm in science is made up of all the data, information, and knowledge that is available at that time. And it is especially that which a consensus of voices within the conversation at that time has agreed is currently relevant. And yet, that which is considered relevant, or worthy of inclusion in the conversation, changes over time. Progress is not mere hierarchical growth from lesser states of knowledge to greater, but, in truth, “the successive transition from one paradigm to another via revolution” (Kuhn, 1996, p. 12).

The conversation in this issue

In Volume 13, Issue 2 of The Student Research Journal (SRJ), Dr. Norman Mooradian, Boheme Morris, and Sarah Wilson all take up this task of widening the conversation, of altering the established paradigm within and around LIS.

Mooradian lays the groundwork for just such a paradigm shift in Knowledge Ethics: Conceptual Preliminaries, by charting the "intersection of the key concepts of knowledge and ethics" (Mooradian, 2024, p. 1). Mooradian’s work explores ethics within a “knowledge economy,” where imagination and information, not muscle and machines, drive society. This shift to intangible assets like skills and intellectual property can bring prosperity but also contains challenges like digital class divides and other forms of inequality.

Inequality is center stage in Boheme Morris’s deft review of The Promise of Access: Technology, Inequality, and the Political Economy of Hope by Daniel Greene, which highlights Greene’s argument that even well-intentioned efforts to reduce inequality in a high-tech knowledge economy can lead to deeper issues.

Morris’s review critically examines the "access doctrine," a concept within education and librarianship behind numerous efforts at improving information literacy, and one that does little-
to-nothing to solve problems like that highlighted by Aslett et al. The "access doctrine," as defined by Greene, functions as an explanation of how poverty can be overcome by the individual’s study of technology and the development of technical skills as well as the emphasis of these values by educators and public servants" (Morris, 2024, p. 1).

And yet, simply putting technology into people's hands leaves them with only imperfect access to a vast array of information. For one thing, access alone does not necessarily correspond to equitable access.

In Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Analysis Tools for Timely Audits: Two Case Studies of Carlsbad Libraries, Sarah Wilson’s original research highlights how access to knowledge must be designed so that biases and hidden prejudicial gates are uncovered and removed, making them diverse, equitable, and inclusive (DEI). DEI is more than ensuring that materials in a library collection have token offerings that match historically marginalized communities: it is about uncovering the needs of the local community, and promoting materials that lead to greater human flourishing.

So, technology access is an important equalizer in our world but is not the only component in the puzzle of fostering a just and equitable knowledge economy. Boheme’s review highlights Green’s argument that the “subsummation of poverty policy by a technology policy … presumes [that] if the individual gains skills in technology, they can pull themselves out of poverty and find opportunity and economic success" (Morris, 2024, p. 2). This confronts a popular academic consensus on the access doctrine, one that "fits in to the larger, capitalist structure of the United States" (Morris, 2024, p. 1), the myth of the self-made person. Issues like the access doctrine highlight why we need a better ethical framework for a knowledge economy, one that understands the nature of "unethical behaviors based in or characterized by knowledge ... and the harms caused by behaviors that affect knowledge" (Mooradian, 2024, p. 3).

As Mooradian points out, "human beings value knowledge intrinsically and extrinsically while, by virtue of their nature, organizations value knowledge extrinsically only" (Mooradian, 2024, p. 5). This means that organizations value knowledge primarily for its instrumental benefits. They see knowledge as a means to achieve specific goals, like increasing profits, gaining a competitive edge, or solving practical problems. Knowledge is valued not for its inherent worth, but for its potential to generate tangible outcomes. Human beings, on the other hand, carry an innate value of knowledge for its own sake, and find fulfillment in the mere act of learning and understanding information. Think of the joy of reading a captivating book, mastering a new skill, or simply pondering complex ideas. Mooradian’s exploration of this raises the important "question of how organizations balance their extrinsic value in human knowledge with its intrinsic value to their human workers" (Mooradian, 2024, p. 6).

Those in the field of LIS, by virtue of their training, have a responsibility to foster an entire culture that values knowledge intrinsically, and has the learned skills to comprehend good information from bad. This is a paradigm shift for academia as well: a shift away from the pretentions of an esoteric elite and toward an open conversation embedded with equitable on-ramps for an eclectic chorus of voices.

In Sarah Wilson’s diversity audit of two school libraries, the sharp point is made that certain groups of people have a lot more “story representation than others in literature and media" (Wilson, 2024, p. 2). Furthermore, Wilson notes, there were no accessible “peer-reviewed studies of school library collection DEI audits" (Wilson, 2024, p. 8) that they could find during their research into the topic. This emphasizes that those in positions of power within LIS could play a larger role in enhancing DEI efforts. Library journals, for instance, could
enhance the scholarly conversation by putting out "submission call[s] on this topic to see if schools are conducting diversity audits of their materials for empirical research" (Wilson, 2024, p. 8).

**Looking forward, as responsible leaders in the LIS field**

As the complexities of the information age intensify, skills for deciphering good knowledge from a massive input of information are more important than ever before. As was made clear in the 2023 study *Online Searches to Evaluate Misinformation can Increase its Perceived Veracity*, researchers discovered "consistent evidence that searching online to evaluate news increases belief in true news from low-quality sources" (Aslett et al., p. 1). This means that "people who … searched to evaluate misinformation were more likely to believe [misinformation]" (Aslett et al., p. 8). This is a problem born out of the abusive design of information search systems (such as Google’s search) coupled with a lack of training in how to parse and comprehend information.

The issue of deciphering good information from bad information becomes extremely important as our culture progresses into an era of commonplace generative AI, where the norms of the knowledge economy of the past century come under increasing stress. Old legal and ethical frameworks that suited more disconnected periods in history have been challenged at the most fundamental level by advancing technologies, all the way back to the first privacy regulations in common law, which only came about because of the first handheld Kodak cameras (Lisa, 2019, p. 121).

Drastic changes in technology require new, comprehensive ways of thinking about and understanding our responsibilities to one another. For example, as a leading LIS journal, it became clear through 2023 that the *SRJ* had a responsibility to tackle the issue of AI ethics within the context of an open access, peer-reviewed LIS publication.

The *SRJ*'s own AI Working Group spent the latter half of 2023 contextualizing the rapidly developing field of Artificial Intelligence for both the great boons and grave threats this technology brings to bear. As Mooradian points out, the "ethical issue of automation is based in the potential harms caused to knowledge workers whose work is replaced either in part or entirely" (Mooradian, 2024, p. 5). Those in LIS have a responsibility to take the lead in not only drafting effective responses that can mitigate such harms, but also in actively spearheading the ethical development of those technologies, so that new legal and social structures bind them to serve the social good.

Responsibility to others is the central pillar of the work that myself as editor-in-chief, Marc Hoffeditz as managing editor, and Erica Enos as communications coordinator, have been engaged in since our tenure as the new *SRJ* leadership began. As the only double-blind, peer-reviewed, and open-access LIS journal run entirely by current graduate students, we have a special perspective on the rapidly changing climate of LIS, and we know that by leading from example we provide a guide star for comparator organizations, as well as an unparalleled proving ground for students in the field.

Volume 13, Issue 2 of the *Student Research Journal* comes at an important turning point in the global conversation around information access, economies of knowledge, and ethics of technology. Even as the wider field of academia struggles to adapt to the changing conversation of our times, the *SRJ* continues to lead the charge.
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Abstract
This paper lays out the conceptual groundwork for a long-term project examining ethical issues raised when addressing the value of knowledge to a knowledge economy. The project includes a series of papers on specific topics that interrelate to the subjects of knowledge, ethics and organizations. While some of the planned articles for the project will have a practical focus, others, such as this one, will be conceptual in nature. The following outlines selected key concepts for an ethics of knowledge and their relationship with cognate areas of inquiry and practice.
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This paper lays out the conceptual groundwork for a long-term project examining ethical issues raised when addressing the value of knowledge to a knowledge economy. The project includes a series of papers on specific topics that interrelate to the subjects of knowledge, ethics and organizations. While some of the planned articles for the project will have a practical focus, others, such as this one, will be conceptual in nature. The following outlines selected key concepts for an ethics of knowledge and their relationship with cognate areas of inquiry and practice.

**Knowledge Ethics: Conceptual Preliminaries**

**Scope and Justification**

The scope of a knowledge ethics is defined by the intersection of the key concepts of knowledge and ethics. More specifically, however, it starts with the recognition that, as a concept, knowledge has a special role in our contemporary economy. It relates to economic activity and individual behaviors within organizations and is itself a valuable economic good sought by individuals and collectives. For this reason, scholars have described our contemporary economy as a “knowledge economy” (LaFayette et al., 2019).

Powell and Snellman (2004, p. 199) offer an early characterization of a knowledge economy, defining it as the “production and services based on knowledge-intensive activities that contribute to an accelerated pace of technical and scientific advance”. LaFayette et al. (2019, p. 66) build upon this definition, stating that a “... knowledge economy is so named because the core commodity – the primary factor of production - is knowledge”. This characterization identifies two ways that knowledge conditions an economy in such a way that it can be described as a knowledge economy (KE). First, knowledge is a raw material for production. As such, it can take two forms: (1) the creation of technologies to be used in production and as an application to the production process or provision of services; and (2) as an economic good itself. A KE produces knowledge goods. LaFayette et al (2019, p. 67) ascribe the economic property of an “experience good” to this aspect of knowledge.

While related to such concepts as information society and the fourth revolution, the idea of a KE accords a unique causal and explanatory role to knowledge that it does not accord to information and automation. This is because, while information and automation are central to our economy, they do not fully account for its distinctive features. The conception of knowledge’s role in the economy, including its relationship to information and automation, is essential to fully understand and operate within a contemporary economy. Accordingly, our understanding of the ethical issues related to the multifarious ways in which knowledge contributes to a KE is critical to its success and sustainability. Given that knowledge is both a significant factor of production and a product in a KE, elucidation of these two

---

1 I would like to acknowledge the work of Denise Bedford who initiated a book project with the title *Knowledge Ethics in the Knowledge Economy* of which I have been a part and which has provided me with an opportunity to connect my current interests in digital ethics with knowledge studies.
contributory aspects will adumbrate the types of issues that arise for a KE and enable knowledge-centric analyses within modern economies.

**Knowledge**

Knowledge is not a new concept to economics, nor is the study of knowledge new to the sciences and humanities. When defining knowledge, a contrast to a related object or state is implied.

**Knowledge / Belief**

For epistemology (the philosophical study of knowledge), the analysis of knowledge centers on how it is distinguished from mere belief. Originating with Plato, the famous justified-true belief theory (JTB) adds the conditions of truth and justification to belief (Ichikawa, & Steup, 2017, p. 4). The transformation of a belief state to a knowledge state is an ongoing focus of epistemology and continues to yield interesting theories. In the context of a KE, however, mere propositional knowledge (knowing that something is the case even if it is not proved true) is too broad a concept to play an explanatory role.

**Knowledge / Information**

The distinction between knowledge and information is another example of knowledge defined in contradistinction to a lesser thing or state. In fact, information is the content of propositional knowledge. Often referred to in the field of knowledge management, Ackoff’s (1998) data-information-knowledge-wisdom (DIKW) pyramid sees knowledge in transformational terms and posits those more elementary and advanced things or states required to transform information into knowledge. While often mentioned and described, DIKW is not given a full and coherent accounting, one problem being that it often straddles both mentalistic concepts of knowledge and external, informational concepts (Abbas, 2010, pp. 10-13; Bates, 2017, p. 2059). Nevertheless, a distinction between information and knowledge is a fruitful focal point of analysis when developing a knowledge ethics due to the assumed distinction between an information economy and a knowledge economy. It is also critical when examining how a knowledge ethics differs analytically from cognate ethical inquiries, including and particularly information ethics.

**Knowledge / Economy**

For the purposes of this discussion, the working definition of knowledge is rooted in its role in a knowledge economy (KE). In an industrial economy, knowledge is a factor of production in the creation of the technologies that constitute industrialization. Because processes are central within an industrial economy (Lafayette, et al., 2019, pgs. 141-142), knowledge of processes is the primary input. In a KE, by contrast, strategic knowledge is primary. Strategic knowledge consists of the ability to solve goals-based problems lacking clear steps requiring discovery (Clark, 2008, pp. 145-147). Broadly considered, it falls into the domain of expertise where knowledge of principles and practices are applied to develop novel solutions to new cases. To illustrate the difference, Clark (2008, p. 146) describes the knowledge of a chef versus a fast-food cook. The chef has knowledge of her/his
customers, the principles of cooking, creative design, etc., and can create new dishes for a specific need or customer base. Lafayette et al. (2019, pp. 144-149) use the terms *capabilities* and *contexts* to describe the type of knowledge characterized by the ability to create or discover valuable outcomes within changing contexts. In contrast, a fast-food cook simply follows a specific process and needs only to memorize steps (Clark, 2008).

**Explicit and Tacit Knowledge**

When conceptualizing knowledge in terms of a KE, it is critical to distinguish external knowledge that is recorded/codified in a medium from knowledge that is internal and constitutive of mental states/dispositions (Fuller, 2002, pp.106-107; Popper, 1979, pp. 106–152). Additionally, the distinction between explicit and tacit knowledge is essential to this conceptualization (Collins, 2013; Johannsen, 2022; Mooradian, 2005; Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1995; Polanyi, 1966). Explicit knowledge is that which is external and recorded. It generally exists within organizations, institutions, and other collectives. Tacit knowledge is internal and generally exists within the human mind. The distinction between explicit knowledge (external and recorded) and tacit knowledge (a state or disposition of the human mind) is important to analyzing and situating the behaviors and harms related to knowledge.

**Ethics**

Numerous concepts compose the core of any system of ethics, including but not limited to harms; goods; rights; obligations; autonomy; responsibility; fairness, and others. A knowledge ethics takes its shape through analysis of knowledge as a factor contributing significantly to problems. Its scope includes unethical behaviors based in or characterized by knowledge (knowledge behaviors) and the harms caused by behaviors that affect knowledge (knowledge harms). In conceptualizing knowledge behaviors and knowledge harms, our anchor is the definition of knowledge laid out above.

As mentioned earlier, commonplace propositional knowledge or perceptual knowledge is not sufficient to define the scope of analysis. In addition to the earlier example of the fast-food cook, the knowledge required by a pickpocket offers another example of propositional knowledge. Theft of a wallet requires both the perceptual awareness of a vulnerable wallet and propositional knowledge in the form of justified beliefs about its contents. Theft is wrongful behavior, but it is not a knowledge behavior in a sense relevant to a KE. Likewise, the harm caused by losing a wallet is a harm to one’s finances and psychology. While the harm presupposes perceptual and propositional knowledge, it is not a knowledge harm because the person’s knowledge is not adversely affected in a direct manner. By contrast, the use of knowledge capabilities to execute an intellectual property theft (e.g., defeating a DRM system) could count as a knowledge harm and knowledge behavior respectively.

**Information Ethics / AI Ethics**

Information ethics is a cognate ethics domain addressing the ethical use of information throughout its lifecycle. Topics include information privacy; confidentiality and disclosure; cyber security; copyright; trade secrecy; and others
Most of these issues can be understood in relation to a wide range of organizational and social contexts and different types of rights, benefits, and harms. For example, breaches of privacy cause many harms such as, for example, financial loss and mental suffering. While they do not require or presuppose the conceptions of knowledge central in a KE, they do presuppose common place types of knowledge (e.g., propositional and perceptual knowledge). Issues related to intellectual property, such as trade secrecy and copyright, do involve the kind of knowledge relevant to a KE, especially in explicit forms. In these cases, there is an intersection between information and knowledge ethics. Work done on these topics will be part of the corpus of knowledge ethics as it develops, and divergence can be anticipated as issues of personal knowledge ownership arise which fall outside traditional conceptions of intellectual property. This will be especially true for internal (tacit) forms of knowledge.

**Business Ethics**

Another cognate domain is business ethics. Having a long history dating back to the 1970s, the field of business ethics is relatively mature (Moriarty, 2021, p. 1). Business ethics addresses business organizations and business-related activities central to economies. In this way it connects with the knowledge economy insofar as it pertains to economic activities. As businesses and their functions evolve into the knowledge economy, they and their activities will, ipso facto, become topics of knowledge ethics. Traditional issues include the ethics of marketing and sales; products liability and risk; employment; management; and corporate responsibility among others (Bowie, 2002, pp. 1-16). Insofar as these business functions involve knowledge processes that contribute to the knowledge economy (as opposed to the industrial economy), they are included in the scope of knowledge ethics. Much current work within the field of business ethics will be relevant to knowledge ethics and the theoretical and practical work of knowledge ethics will be an insightful source for research in the field of business ethics.

**Professional Ethics**

The domain of professional ethics also relates to knowledge ethics in that knowledge is a core component in the conception of professions. Professions are defined by their specialized knowledge; public serving missions; professional communities; relative autonomy in their governance; the ability to determine the fundamental aspects of professional work; and the trust that society confers in them. This public trust is a function of the other factors due to the specialized knowledge of professionals and their commitment to a public good. Professional duties are shaped by these common characteristics. Professional duties apply across professional domains and include fiduciary duties, avoidance of conflicts of interest, standards of work, due care, and confidentiality (Mooradian, 2018, pp. 43-47). While confidentiality in some form is common across professions, the information professions (e.g., libraries, archives, records, and others) include robust obligations related to the management of information. In this respect, their professional ethics intersect with information ethics. Finally, as professions constitute part of the economy, and many operate within the context of commercial organizations, professional ethics also intersect with business ethics.
Intrinsic / Extrinsic Value

Turning from specialized ethics to value theory, the distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic value is relevant to a knowledge ethics. According to this distinction, some things are valued in and of themselves and serve as ends of action while other things are valued as means to realize these ends (Zimmerman & Bradley, 2019). Knowledge is valued for itself; Plato (ca. 427-348 BCE) considered it to be the highest intrinsic good (Plato, ca. 360-247 BCE/1925, *Philebus*, Section 60e). Aristotle (384-322 BCE) observed that knowledge is valuable for itself and as a mean to other ends, stating "ALL men by nature desire to know. An indication of this is the delight we take in our senses; for even apart from their usefulness they are loved for themselves; and above all others the sense of sight" (Aristotle, 350 BCE/1941, *Metaphysics, Book 1, Part 1*). Further, knowledge is a critical element of human flourishing (Kantar & Bynam, 2021). This distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic value is relevant to the analysis of many ethical issues relating to knowledge. This includes analysis of the relation of knowledge workers to organizations because human beings value knowledge intrinsically and extrinsically while, by virtue of their nature, organizations value knowledge extrinsically only.

Example Issue: Automation

The automation of knowledge work is a pressing societal concern brought to the forefront by emerging technologies such as robotic process automation (RPA) and, especially, various forms of machine learning (ML). As such, it is a central issue in the ethics of artificial intelligence (Moradi & Levy, 2020, pp. 271-288). Machine learning, and particularly deep learning (DL), raises the possibility of automating tasks associated with tacit knowledge. Large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT produce human-like text which implicates tacit knowledge on multiple levels. Software vendors and organizations are rapidly attempting to automate conversation-centered work such as, for example, customer support. Brynjolfsson et al. (2023) report on a case study about how ChatGPT was used in the customer support function of a software company. The study highlights the “... model’s ability to encode the potentially tacit knowledge of high performers ...” (Brynjolfsson et al., 2023, p. 24).

The ethical issue of automation is based in the potential harms caused to knowledge workers whose work is replaced either in part or entirely. It concerns the fair distribution of the burdens and benefits of replacement automation as well as the assignment of responsibility for addressing those harms and unfair distributions. This is an ethical issue for AI insofar as it arises from AI technologies and this causal factor is the common characteristic of issues that form the AI ethics literature. The centrality of data and information in information ethics makes it relevant to AI ethics and an extension of information ethics. Automation of work is also an issue for business ethics because employment issues (e.g., employment at will, compensation, working conditions) are a major consideration for the field.

---

2 On Collins view, the most collective tacit knowledge (CTK) is cultural and based in language. He describes it as “... the irreducible heartland of the concept” (Collins, 2013, p. 119).
Finally, automation of knowledge work is relevant to professional ethics because professionals are a paradigmatic class of knowledge workers whose responsibilities link to their expertise.

Despite there being many fields with a disciplinary perspective on automation, a knowledge ethics makes a distinct analytical contribution. This contribution is based in its focus on knowledge behaviors and knowledge harms which are implicated in AI automation. For example, how knowledge workers should and should not interact with AI systems in terms of sharing and using their expertise is an important topic of study as AI systems advance and deployed more widely. The question of how knowledge workers are harmed in relation to their knowledge is also important and may be among the most important to address.

Clearly, there is an economic harm at issue with the automation of knowledge work and this harm is at the heart of current debates. Economic harm fits well within the scope of business ethics; however, due to the distinction between intrinsic and extrinsic value, it falls in the category of extrinsic (dis)value. Knowledge also has intrinsic value and is an important part of human flourishing (Kantar & Bynum, 2021). Therefore, the question of how organizations balance their extrinsic value in human knowledge with its intrinsic value to their human workers falls within the scope of knowledge ethics.

**Conclusion**

This paper has set out some of the basic concepts needed for the development of an ethics of knowledge as it pertains to the knowledge economy. Additional fundamental concepts require development in order to create the necessary ethical framework. A clearly demarcated and analyzed set of issues involving knowledge behaviors and knowledge harms is necessary, as are associated recommendations and guidance. Hopefully, this short article is a step in that direction.
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Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Analysis Tools for Timely Audits: Two Case Studies of Carlsbad Libraries

Abstract
When libraries explore how their youth collections can be more diverse, equitable, and inclusive (DEI), it is beneficial to first identify where representation gaps exist amongst their holdings. Digital DEI audit tools can quickly target areas for improvement. The following studies use digital instruments to analyze the DEI representation in two youth library collections in Carlsbad, California. The fiction picture book collections were probed at both a Carlsbad elementary school in Encinitas Union School District (EUSD) and the Georgina Cole public library (Cole). Three digital instruments were used: Diverse BookFinder Collection Analysis Tool, TeachingBooks Collection Analysis Toolkit, and collectionHQ Diversity Analysis Tool. The results were compared to local demographics. The purpose of the audits was to answer: To what degree do these collections represent diverse populations? The author found that diverse populations are underrepresented in each collection and some groups have no representation at all. Most books with diverse representation lack variety and often do not connect the story to the identity or cultural experience of the diverse characters featured.
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Introduction

A *Library Journal* survey of public libraries in 2022 shared a "need to ensure that collections reflect voices previously ignored due to racism and other structural biases" (Wyatt, 2022). To tackle this need, organizations may attempt to make their collections more diverse, equitable, and inclusive, often shortened to DEI. Diversity connotes an ample representation of various people. Equity acknowledges the presence and gaps in diversity, striving for fairness for all groups and supporting the distinct needs of specific individuals. Inclusion avoids homogeneity, considering a variety of components that make up a person's identity such as race, culture, gender, gender expression, sexual orientation, mental and physical ability, religion, and socioeconomic status. Raimi et al. (2022) define these terms in the workplace with diversity being a “deliberate recognition, acceptance and accommodation of different individuals,” equity granting “fair access to opportunities and respectful treatment,” and inclusion extending “opportunities and other resources” to “excluded, marginalized and minority groups” (p. 27). Diversity, equity, and inclusion are interdependent. Acknowledging all three is vital when developing strategic measures to improve collection representation at an institution. This article focuses on DEI analyses of youth library materials.

The following research includes two case studies examining DEI representation in youth library collections in Carlsbad, California. One study investigated the fiction picture books at a Carlsbad elementary school in the Encinitas Union School District (EUSD). The other analyzed the fiction picture book collection at Georgina Cole Library (Cole), a public branch within the Carlsbad City Library (CCL). The studies explored the degree to which these libraries’ youth picture book collections represent diverse populations in Carlsbad.

The author conducted a diversity audit of these two libraries using Diverse BookFinder and TeachingBooks. At Cole, collectionHQ was used as well. Each tool disclosed a wealth of information and all of them had their own strengths and limitations. The analyses primarily focused on race and cultural representation. At both institutions, Diverse BookFinder showed that less than 10% of the collections had diverse content, even though the total percentage of racial diversity in the community is significantly higher. TeachingBooks showed that, on average, 1% or less of diverse identities are represented in the collections. The non-White1 Carlsbad community totals 37.7%, but only 18.2% of Cole’s fiction picture book collection was flagged for DEI content by collectionHQ. There is a lack of representation of First/Native Nations and Middle Eastern/North African populations in both collections. The EUSD school also had no Bi/Multiracial/Mixed Race books. Additional diverse identity markers beyond race appear to have low representation as well. For example, 14% of the school’s students identified as having a disability in 2020-2021, but TB reported that there

---

1 “White” is capitalized here and throughout the article because *The SRJ* follows the formatting guidelines in APA 7th Edition. However, the author acknowledges that this is part of a larger, evolving discussion in Library and Information Science. Just looking at the 20 articles cited in this manuscript, only 5 capitalize "White" in the body of the authors’ work. Nine of the articles do not use an upper-case “w” for “white”, even though they capitalize racial and cultural groups elsewhere. In these 9 articles, “White” is only capitalized when referenced in data or lists.
were no books at the elementary school with this representation and less than 1% of books have this content at Cole. cHQ revealed that it only represents .6% of the Cole collection. The collection data primarily focused on who is represented, but Diverse BookFinder also captured how racial groups were represented in each collection. Most of the diverse books at both libraries do not connect the story to the race and culture of the characters featured. Diverse BookFinder explains that this type of story could feature “any child,” where swapping a different character into the plot would not cause significant change (Diverse BookFinder, 2023c). If this representation of diverse groups in picture books at each library continues to dominate, diverse youth may only see their experiences partially mirrored in literature, and community cultural understanding opportunities may be missed. Oppression and resilience stories are lacking for most groups, particularly Latinx which is the highest racial demographic in Carlsbad after White. This absence could give the impression that Latinx groups do not experience these challenges. These audits reveal that both Carlsbad libraries have a small sampling of picture books that feature diverse characters and need a wider variety of stories that fully explore their experiences.

DEI Relevance

Why is DEI important for youth collections? Dr. Rudine Sims Bishop, an education expert, coined an analogy that compared children's books to "mirrors, windows, and sliding glass doors" (Bishop, 1990). Her quote is heavily featured in DEI scholarly work:

Books are sometimes windows, offering views of worlds that may be real or imagined, familiar or strange. These windows are also sliding glass doors, and readers have only to walk through in imagination to become part of whatever world has been created or recreated by the author. When lighting conditions are just right, however, a window can also be a mirror. Literature transforms human experience and reflects it back to us, and in that reflection we can see our own lives and experiences as part of the larger human experience. Reading, then, becomes a means of self-affirmation, and readers often seek their mirrors in books. (Bishop, 1990, p. ix)

The “human experience” referenced by Bishop has an infinite number of stories to tell. However, the reality is that certain groups of people have a lot more story representation than others in literature and media. Novelist Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie (TED, 2009) adds that when unique stories are lacking, the narrative about a demographic could trend toward a stereotype. Even if the story that emerges is authentic, originating from the demographic itself, if it dominates the media it can lead to misconceptions about a group. When multiple stories of diverse demographics are shared, it helps avoid the “danger of a single story” (TED, 2009). Henderson et al. (2020) noticed this gap of "intersecting identities" when they learned that Timothy, a seven-year-old Black student, showed an interest in inquisitive characters like Curious George, but an audit revealed there were no books that mirrored that young Black boy's experience (p. 747). All the stories in
Timothy’s classroom with Black individuals were nonfiction books about the civil rights movement (Henderson et al., 2020).

What can libraries do to ensure their collections have diverse and inclusive content? They can conduct an audit to identify any equity gaps, thus revealing where improvement is needed. According to a survey by Library Journal in 2022, in which 131 public libraries responded, “46% of respondents have conducted a diversity audit to evaluate holdings” (Wyatt, 2022, p.30). This figure is up compared to a similar survey by Library Journal in 2019 in which only 9% had undertaken a diversity audit (Vercelletto, 2019). If this increase in DEI collection audits continues, libraries may need to draft a systematic plan to evaluate who exactly is depicted in their library collections and how they are represented. Learning about cultural competency, collaborating with community groups, finding DEI-related resources, and focusing on existing gaps in representation will help reach these objectives.

**Background**

The author chose EUSD and Cole for case studies because of her association with each organization as a student intern while earning a Master of Library and Information Science.

**Encinitas Union School District (EUSD)**

**Mission, Strategic Plan, and Site Information.** Encinitas Union School District (EUSD) serves students enrolled in kindergarten through 6th grade (Encinitas Union School District, n.d.-a). The school district is committed to improving DEI practices at all its sites. The word “diverse” is in EUSD’s mission statement:

Our mission is to assist our children to value learning and to be successful in school, society, and work by providing a challenging and nurturing learning experience. We work in partnership with family and community preparing children to be leaders in a diverse, ever-changing world.

(Encinitas Union School District, n.d.-b)

Goal 5 in EUSD’s Local Control and Accountability Plan is to provide “exemplary programs, a wide variety of high-quality learning resources, and engaging opportunities to provide personalized learning for the unique needs of diverse groups” (Encinitas Union School District, 2021-2022a). EUSD developed an Equity Committee in 2018 to help address cultural diversity initiatives for the organization. The district’s Board of Trustees adopted a policy saying “the district shall proactively identify class and cultural biases as well as practices, policies, and institutional barriers that negatively influence student learning, perpetuate achievement gaps, and impede equal access to opportunities for all students” (Encinitas Union School District, 2018). Along with developing periodic equity training for all staff members, the Equity Committee garnered feedback from former students of diverse identities. This dialogue revealed experiences with racial
slurs and microaggressions on campus. The students shared feelings of disconnectedness with their school community due to a lack of representation of their identity. At the end of the 2021-2022 school year, the district added an extra $1,000 to its library budgets to purchase materials that increase the story representation of diverse groups. It renewed these funds for each library the following year. Among the many steps which have improved DEI within the district, some libraries began conducting collection diversity audits in 2021.

This study examined one of the EUSD schools that is situated in Carlsbad, CA. Total enrollment for the 2020-2021 School Year was 599 (Encinitas Union School District, 2021-2022b). At the time of this study, a certified teacher librarian supervised all the district libraries. The individual locations are managed by Library Media Assistants. This particular EUSD school has a spacious and inviting library that houses roughly 14,000 physical materials. Here is a breakdown of the school-age children demographics at this location:

- 44.4% Female
- 55.6% Male
- 0.2% American Indian or Alaska Native
- 5.8% Asian
- 0.8% Black or African American
- 2.3% Filipino
- 15% Hispanic or Latino
- 4% Two or More Races
- 69.8% White
- 3.2% English Learners
- 0.5% Homeless
- 9.7% Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
- 14% Student with Disabilities (School Accountability, 2020-2021).

**Carlsbad City Library (CCL) and the Georgina Cole Branch (Cole)**

**Mission, Vision, Strategic Framework, and Site Information.** The mission, vision, and strategic framework do not touch on any specifics regarding DEI. However, the library was very supportive of the author’s audit for this research paper. The following are Carlsbad City Library (CCL)’s mission and vision statements:

**Mission**

Carlsbad City Library provides community members of all ages with convenient access to high quality resources and services to inform and enrich individual and community life.

**Vision**

Carlsbad City Library is the destination for information, enjoyment of reading, lifelong learning, and cultural enrichment for those who live, work and play in Carlsbad. (Carlsbad City Library, n.d.)
The desired outcomes in CCL's strategic framework are to help “advance” a “resourceful, resilient, prospering,” and “inspired community” (City of Carlsbad, 2016).

CCL comprises three branches: Dove, Georgina Cole (Cole), and the Library Learning Center. The organization is governed by a Board of Trustees and led by a Director and two Deputy Directors. In addition, CCL has two administrative Principal Librarians who collaborate. One is dedicated to data analysis while the other focuses on outreach. The Cole branch employs roughly 80 employees with seven devoted to the Children’s section. Youth programming includes storytimes, partnership reading events, collaborations with youth entertainers, and summer reading.

**City of Carlsbad.** Carlsbad, CA is a coastal city in the north of San Diego County. According to 2020 Census data, 114,746 people live in Carlsbad (United States Census Bureau, n.d.). Here are the city’s demographics for people of all ages:

- 69.3% White
- 15.5% Hispanic or Latino
- 9.1% Asian
- 4.4% Unknown identification
- 1.1% Black
- 0.4% Indigenous
- 0.2% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
- 7% identified as two or more races

An estimated 23.2% of this population is under 18 (United States Census Bureau, n.d.).

**Literature Review**

How do information professionals conduct DEI examinations within their organizations? Several tendencies surfaced in the literature but a consensus on the most efficient practice has yet to be determined. How an audit is conducted and the commitment involved in performing this type of evaluation rests largely on the organization’s strategic objectives.

**Diversity Audits**

**Peer-Reviewed Audit Tendencies**

Finding peer-reviewed articles discussing library DEI audits of youth collections at schools and public libraries within the past five years was challenging. The author discovered only one article that met these criteria. Wickman and Sweeney (2018) audited easy reader and juvenile biographies at a rural public library. The author could not locate a peer-reviewed article featuring a school library DEI audit. Henderson et al.’s study (2020) from an *The Reading Teacher* came close, examining classroom libraries. There were a few studies of youth materials outside
this narrow scope that were insightful regarding DEI audits. Backman et al. (2018) audited an urban public library but it analyzed teen fiction rather than children’s materials. Cahill et al.’s (2021) audit explored youth materials at public libraries in rural, urban, and suburban regions but it only analyzed books featured during storytime programs for preschool children. Most of the DEI audit studies on youth collections were conducted on collections housed in university libraries for the use of undergraduate and graduate students pursuing a degree in education (Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Kester, 2022; Salem, 2022). Daly’s (2017) study was an unusual departure where a panel of librarians and teachers analyzed 60 nominated books containing diversity. These books were not part of any specific physical collection. The professionals pared down the titles into a 22-book list for classroom promotion. Similarly, Moeller and Becnel (2018) analyzed the Young Adult Library Services 2015 graphic novel booklist to discover character representation, their primary role in the story, and whether the author was from a racially diverse background. They compared their data to statistics in the Cooperative Children's Book Center research on youth books in 2015 (Moeller & Becnel, 2018). The author included a few more peer-reviewed studies that had tangential relevance. Pedersen (2022), Schneider and Norman (2023), and Stone (2020) all involved in DEI analyses but did not examine youth literature.

Audit approaches generally fell into two prescribed analysis methods. One path used a predetermined set of criteria outlined on a questionnaire/survey that organizational personnel completed as they scanned materials (Backman et al., 2018; Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Cahill et al., 2021; Daly, 2017; Henderson et al., 2020; Kester, 2022; Moeller & Becnel, 2018; Schneider & Norman, 2023; Stone, 2020; Wickham & Sweeney, 2018). The other uploaded collection data into a digital tool for analysis (Pedersen, 2022; Salem, 2022).

The scope of the evaluations also varied. Some auditors sampled a subcategory within a particular collection area or looked at materials recommended by a library association for a specific year (Henderson et al., 2020; Moeller & Becnel, 2018; Schneider & Norman, 2023). Two generated a random data set from a determined section (Cahill et al., 2021; Wickman & Sweeney, 2018). Sometimes, the recency of the material also played a factor in the dataset selection (Backman et al., 2018; Buchanan & Sydney, 2019). One looked at books published nearly a decade apart to discover if diverse content had increased (Stone, 2020). Other audits tackled a more extensive scope of materials to get a genuine sense of the representation (Pedersen, 2022; Kester, 2022; Salem, 2022).

Auditors usually wanted to compare their data or collection to something else. Some researchers utilized an outward-in approach where they began by looking at a pre-existing list from another entity and then compared it to the collection. Examples include a purchase wish list, data at the Cooperative Children's Book Center (Cooperative Children's Book Center Diversity Statistics, n.d.), or titles released by publishers (Backman et al., 2018; Schneider & Norman, 2023; Stone, 2020). Daly (2017) and Moeller and Becnel (2018) used a list of nominated books for comparison. Stone (2020) looked at newly published titles on the market and materials recently acquired by the library. Conversely, other researchers had an inside-out process where they determined the representation
level in the collection and then compared it to outside data, like local demographics or other statistics (Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Cahill et al., 2021; Henderson et al., 2020; Kester, 2022; Salem, 2022).

**Tendencies in Practitioner Articles, Panels, and Posts**

While this topic is lacking in peer-reviewed journals, the author found plenty of articles about diversity audits from practitioners. Some of these practitioner publications revealed additional discoveries. Elrod and Kester’s (2020) study used Diverse BookFinder like Salem (2022). Elrod and Kester completed an audit, acquired ninety-five new books to address the gaps, and then ran a second audit. Jensen’s (2018) audit took many months and used personnel for the analysis. Ultimately, the author advocated that professionals take a random sample of one section at a time before tackling another area in the collection. Jensen also noted that “body acceptance” is an area that is underrepresented in literature (2018, p.3). None of the tools used in the author’s case study used this identity marker, but Jensen's observation is worth considering as weight and body shape factor into identity. Homan’s (2019) examination empowered youth to perform the audit. Students contemplated representation and what was missing in nonfiction areas of the collection, particularly regarding holidays, science, biographies, history, sports, and hobbies (Homan, 2019). Having a youth perspective in the audit process helps avoid the pitfall of adult bias. Wells et al. (2022) tasked undergraduates to perform their audit and the participating students found the work engaging. Kirkland (2021) acknowledged that most audits identify gaps in representation and then purchase items to improve that baseline. However, “adding these new resources to our collections only addresses half of the issue. We must also weed out the outdated and biased books” (Kirkland, 2021, p.3). Bogan (2022a) illustrated that point more fully when discussing her weeding practices in an educational setting. “We must remember that the children in our schools have no choice about being there. They are legally required to spend every school day in the school and therefore the library must be safe and representative for them” (Bogan, 2022a, para. 8). For author Jenny Dupuis, this was lacking for her as a youth at her school (Kirkland, 2021). The books about indigenous populations, with which she identified, were titled *Peoples of the Past* (Kirkland, 2021), and showed harmful representations and an absence of stories set in the present. This one-sided representation has been a problem at EUSD as well. An equity training revealed that a current student in the district mistakenly thought that Native Americans were extinct.

**Challenges with Diversity Audits**

The literature identified a repeated lack of representation of Indigenous and Middle Eastern groups (Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Elrod & Kester, 2020; Kester, 2022; Salem, 2022). Most audits focused on who is represented, while some studies also noted how those groups are depicted (Backman et al., 2018; Elrod & Kester, 2020; Jensen, 2018; Salem, 2022; Wells et al., 2022; Wickman & Sweeney, 2018). Wickman and Sweeney’s (2018) study noticed Black stories with harmful
representation in the collection. Questioning authenticity is another part of discovering how groups are represented. Backman et al. (2018) advocated that supporting self-identified authors from diverse communities ensures accurate representation.

More than half of audits and posts centered on race. Only a few peer-reviewed studies and additional posts covered diverse groups like LGBTQIA+ and people with disabilities (Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Cahill et al., 2021; Homan, 2019; Jensen, 2018; Kester, 2022; Mortensen, 2019; Stone, 2020; Wells et al., 2022). Stone (2020) noted that when it comes to underrepresented communities, “many of these demographic facets are difficult to accurately capture if they are not self-identified” (p. 309). Several auditors advocated for stories that contained more intersection and reflection of young individuals (Buchanan & Sydney, 2019; Elrod & Kester, 2020; Henderson et al., 2020; Kester, 2022; Salem, 2022).

Challenges with Audit’s Scope

Garofalo (2021) observed that “a major limiting factor for most libraries, library staff, and librarians for any project is time” (p. 212). Kester (2022) also noted how time-consuming their study became. Davis and Strackeljahn (2022) devoted only 3-5 minutes per item for analysis, but the audit still took six months to complete and only audited 25% of fiction. Nevertheless, auditors tended to gravitate toward this type of analysis over using an online tool because they argued it revealed more relevant data than a digital counterpart. Davis and Strackeljahn found that when staff performed the audit, it achieved organizational change within the larger context of DEI initiatives. If a digital tool was used in the Wickman and Sweeney’s (2018) study it may have missed the offensive content that the researchers noticed. However, Elrod and Kester (2020) and Salem (2022) touted the convenience of using a fast digital tool for analysis. Both strategies have their strengths and weaknesses. Arguably, it comes down to an organization's priorities and strategic aims to determine which method makes the most sense. Digital tools may not achieve the same impact as staff-performed audits. However, an organization should consider time and cost before deciding on a preferred method.

Need for More Youth Collection DEI Audits

It is discouraging to find no peer-reviewed studies of school library collection DEI audits. Youth likely would benefit if school libraries filled representation gaps, but it is difficult to know where to begin without an analysis of their current holdings. Perhaps the School Library Research or Library Quarterly journals could put out a submission call on this topic to see if schools are conducting diversity audits of their materials for empirical research.

Methodology

As a single researcher analyzing both libraries, digital tools were selected for speed and efficiency. The audits targeted fiction picture books as these materials reach
the youngest school demographic but may also appeal to older youth and adults reading aloud to children. Furthermore, the visual aspect of picture books may provide that “self-affirmation” mentioned by Bishop (1990, p. ix), even for youth who have yet to master reading. Like Elrod and Kester (2020) and Salem (2022), the author chose Diverse BookFinder’s Collection Analysis Tool (DBF’s CAT) as one of the audit tools. TeachingBooks’ Collection Analysis Toolkit (TB’s CAT) and collectionHQ’s Diversity Analysis Tool (cHQ’s DAT) were also selected for their ease of use and different strengths. The author settled on an inside-out approach where they first conducted an analysis and then compared it to school and city demographics.

Audit Analysis Tools

Diverse BookFinder’s Collection Analysis Tool

Researchers at Bates College created DBF (Diverse BookFinder, 2023e). The free tool compares collections to a database of diverse book titles going back to 2002. It allows organizations to upload the international standard book numbers (ISBNs) of picture books and see analysis results for titles matching their database. At the time of this study, DBF had specific limitations. Their database could not analyze certain book formats (e.g., board books, leveled-readers, and middle-grade). However, the organization plans to analyze all children’s literature (i.e., picture books through Young Adult) in the near future. The author felt it necessary to pare down their dataset to fit within DBF’s limitations for accurate results. ISBNs for books falling outside DBF’s parameters were removed. Additionally, DBF’s CAT restricts the analysis to race and ethnicity in picture books for early readers, categorizing titles with the following identity markers:

- Asian/Pacific Islander/Asian American
- Bi/Multiracial/Mixed Race
- Black/African/African American
- Brown-Skinned and/or Race Unclear
- First Native Nations/American Indian/Indigenous
- Latinx/Hispanic/Latin American
- Middle Eastern/North African/Arab
- Multi-Racial Cast of Characters (Diverse BookFinder, 2023d)

The investigation not only notes who is represented but also how. DBF storyline representations are:

- Any Child: books with no identity focus
- Beautiful Life: books that focus on identity
- Biography: books about the life of a real person
- Cross Group: books sharing connections between various groups of people
- Folklore: books sharing specific stories or customs of a group
- Incidental: books where the diverse representation is background characters
- Informational: books with facts
Oppression & Resilience: books depicting marginalization
Race/Culture Concepts: books exploring “aspects of human difference”
(Diverse BookFinder, 2023c)

These areas may intersect and books can fall into multiple categories. For those leaning toward human auditors over digital tools, it might interest them to know that DBF researchers have twenty years of experience examining youth literature.

TeachingBooks’s Collection Analysis Toolkit

TB’s CAT is another free tool that analyzes diversity in youth literature. Founded by Nick Glass, a former bookseller, it houses a catalog of booklists and author information dating from 2001 (TeachingBooks, 2021-2023b). The TB database contains books that are reviewed and classified by a team of youth services librarians who have been in the profession several decades. Through the upload of ISBNs, TB’s CAT can analyze fiction and nonfiction trade books, pre-K through 12th grade. TB’s CAT reveals a wealth of information about a collection including graphs with the median publication year, genre breakdowns, the number of books serving a particular grade, and elements of cultural diversity. The tool identifies the following cultural experience categories:

Race/Ethnicity:
• African Global (i.e., African, African American)
• American Indian
• Asian Global (i.e., Asian, Asian American, South Asian)
• Latino/a/e/x Global (i.e., Latino US/Canada, Latino Latin America)
• Middle Eastern
• Multiracial/Mixed Race
• Pacific Islander/Oceania

More Diverse Groups:
• Disability
• Gender (i.e., Women/Girls, Transgender/Non-Conforming, Men/Boys)
• Immigrant/Refugee
• Jewish
• LGBTQ+
• Muslim (TeachingBooks, 2021-2023a)

An Excel spreadsheet with all the matching titles and diverse identities is shared so auditors know which books in their collection correspond to TB’s database. Titles can overlap into more than one category.

collectionHQ’s Diversity Analysis Tool

cHQ’s DAT is part of a paid service under the parent book distributor Baker & Taylor to help libraries quickly generate data on their collections (collectionHQ, n.d.). It works in tandem with many integrated library systems, accessing the
bibliographic records in a collection for analysis. A common task cHQ performs is a report on collection circulation, identifying frequent checkouts vs. what remains on the shelf. cHQ statistics can influence future purchases, promotions, and weeding. In July 2021, cHQ released its DAT containing twelve DEI Filter Topics. These topics are assigned to books using information from Baker & Taylor, Kirkus Diversity Selection Lists, Library of Congress Headings, and BISAC (Book Industry Standards and Communications). Here is the complete list of the DAT topics, organized between race/ethnicity and additional areas of diversity:

Race/Ethnicity:
- Asian
- Black
- Hispanic & Latino
- Indigenous
- Middle Eastern & North African
- Multicultural

More Diverse Groups:
- LGBTQIA+ & Gender Studies
- Disabilities & Neurodiversity
- Mental & Emotional Health
- Religion
- Equity & Social Issues
- Substance Abuse & Addictions

cHQ’s support team can provide a comprehensive Excel spreadsheet of collection titles, noting the diverse groups and how many times those titles have circulated in the past year. At the time of this study, cHQ’s DAT only examined physical acquisitions and did not scrutinize digital content.

**Tool Comparison and Strengths**

Each audit tool has different strengths. DBF is the only tool amongst the three which shares how diverse groups are portrayed in stories. Both TB and cHQ are able to classify diverse identities beyond the scope of race and ethnicity (e.g. LGBTQIA+). Furthermore, TB and cHQ label all the intersectionality for a title (e.g., Religion, Asian). TB and cHQ share the diverse classification category alongside the bibliographic information for a title, allowing librarians to see which books represent various groups in their collection. cHQ is able to account for all the books in a library’s section (e.g. picture books), minimizing the need to extrapolate anything further from the data. Additionally, cHQ’s DEI Evaluate Online Platform displays representation graphs showing how the collection is evolving over time.

**Preparing the Data**

*EUSD*
EUSD uses Follett's Destiny as its integrated library system. The author made sure that the fiction picture book dataset only included items that met DBF’s parameters. Thus, the author only loaded ISBNs of fiction picture books from 2002 to the present to DBF and TB’s CAT and excluded any of the book formats that are not part of DBF’s database. The author also kept the dataset free of duplicates and titles with no ISBN.

Cole

cHQ’s online platform allows a researcher to download a spreadsheet of items for a particular part of the library collection. However, the author found that cHQ’s online platform was not including all of the titles for some reason. Therefore, cHQ’s customer support generated the spreadsheet for the audit to make sure all the bibliographic records of physical books in the fiction picture book collection were included in the dataset. This included every juvenile fiction picture book title at Cole and excluded any duplicate titles. For uploads to DBF and TB, the cHQ dataset was reduced to titles following DBF’s parameters.

Data Comparison

School accountability reports, required by the California Department of Education, contain information about student demographics and were used for comparison with the elementary school audit (Encinitas Union School District, 2020-2021). Cole’s audit was compared with results from local census data (United States Census Bureau, 2022).

Researcher’s Bias

The author acknowledges that their perspective on this topic is biased. They identify as a White, non-religious, non-disabled, middle-class, heterosexual, cis-gender, 42-year-old female. There may be revelations in this audit that the author missed.

Findings

EUSD Baseline

Current State of Picture Book Collection

Following DBF’s parameters, the school’s fiction picture book collection narrowed to 650 titles for upload to DBF’s and TB’s CAT. Using DBF only 4.6% feature diversity, a total of 30 books. First/Native Nations and Middle Eastern/North African have little to no representation in the elementary school’s fiction picture book collection. Nothing in the collection represents Mixed Race (See Figure 1)

Figure 1
**Number of Fiction Books in the EUSD School’s Collection Matching DBF by Race/Ethnicity**

*Who is Represented in Your Collection?*

![Bar chart showing the number of books per story category.](image)


Table 1 reveals the number of books per story category. Most could feature any diverse child. No “Beautiful Life” books, where identity is central to the story, are present for Biracial/Mixed Race, First/Native Nations, Middle Eastern/North African, or Multiracial Character (See Table 1). Presumably, Folklore, Biography, and Informational have low counts because the dataset only included fiction titles, and these subjects are classified as nonfiction. Interestingly, Middle Eastern/North African/Arab feature only in the Cross Group category, with a relationship portrayal across racial groups (See Table 1). It begs the question: which group is being paired with Middle Eastern/North African/Arab?

**Table 1**

*The EUSD School’s Fiction Books by DBF’s Categories Representing How Groups are Depicted in Stories*
Note. The total number of books is 39 because some titles overlap into more than one category. Only 30 books in the dataset matched DBF’s collection database for diverse content. Adapted from “Diverse BookFinder Collection Analysis Report” by Diverse BookFinder, 2021. Copyright 2021 by Diverse BookFinder. Adapted with permission.

TB recognized 4.6% of titles or 21 books with diversity out of 447 titles matched. TB’s CAT distinguishes the Latinx representation in US/Canada vs. the rest of Latin America. It also qualifies Asian American vs. Asian Global. There were only 5 books representing Latinx, with 3 labeled as US/Canada and 2 categorized as Latin America (See Figure 2). Asian also only had 5 books, with 3 categorized as Asian American and 2 for Asian Global (See Figure 2). Ruby’s Wish by Shirin Yim Bridges was the only book in TB’s report that crossed into more than one category, identifying as Women/Girls, Asian Global (Bridges, 2015). TB’s CAT recognized only two books featuring Judaism. Cultural experiences not represented in the elementary school collection were American Indian, South Asian, Disability, Transgender/Non-Conforming, Men/Boys, Immigrant/Refugee, LGBTQ+, Middle Eastern, Multiracial/Mixed Race, Muslim, and Pacific Islander/Oceania (See Figure 2).

**Figure 2**

*Number of Fiction Books by Cultural Experience in the EUSD School’s Collection Identified by TB*

Cole Baseline

cHQ analyzed the entire fiction picture book collection at the Cole branch. At the time of the analysis, Cole had 8,330 fiction picture book titles and 1,512 had one or more DEI topic(s). Substance Abuse & Addictions did not surface in any of Cole's fiction picture book collection. cHQ assigned multiple DEI topics for 345 titles. An example of this intersectionality happened with the picture book *My Footprints* by Bao Phi (2019). It tells the story of Thuy, who wrestles with big emotions as her peers tease her about her gender, ethnicity, and family structure of two moms. cHQ categorized this book as “Asian, LGBTQIA+ & Gender Studies, and Equity & Social Issues.” Therefore, this single title was counted in the totals for each of these three DEI topics.

Figure 3 displays the number of titles by category. There is little representation of Indigenous and Middle Eastern/North African groups. Hispanic & Latino, the largest racial demographic in Carlsbad after White, have low representation. Only .6% of Cole’s collection cover stories about disabilities and neurodiversity.

Figure 3

*Number of Titles by cHQ Category*
To follow DBF’s parameters, the Cole collection was trimmed to 7,164 items for upload to DBF’s and TB’s CAT. Only 587 titles, or 8.2%, were recognized as diverse in DBF (See Figure 4). Folklore, Biography, and Informational have low counts, likely because the dataset only included fiction (See Table 2). Middle Eastern/North African/Arab and First/Native Nations were underrepresented in nearly every DBF storyline category. The category that had the highest count was “Any Child” (See Table 2). No Oppression & Resilience books exist for Latinx/Hispanic/Latin American in either collection. The DBF analysis indicates how certain groups lack a variety of stories in youth literature, and Latinx/Hispanic/Latin American books tend to favor “Beautiful Life” stories (Diverse BookFinder, 2023b). Data in Table 2 seems to support this theory, although there are also a lot of stories for this group under “Any Child.”

**Figure 4**

*Number of Fiction Books in Cole’s Collection Matching DBF by Race/Ethnicity*
Table 2

Cole’s Fiction Books by DBF’s Categories Representing How Groups are Depicted in Stories

Note. The total number of books is 907 because some titles overlap into more than one category. Only 587 books in the dataset matched DBF’s collection database for diverse content. Adapted from “Diverse BookFinder Collection Analysis Report” by Diverse BookFinder, 2021. Copyright 2021 by Diverse BookFinder. Adapted with permission.

Of the 7,164 items uploaded from Cole’s fiction picture book collection, TB’s database matched 5,034 titles. Only 542 titles, or 10.7%, were recognized as diverse in TB. All the diverse identities listed had about 1% representation, except African American which was 3% and Asian American which was 2% (See Figure 5). Disability had less than 1% representation. Every TB diverse category was represented to some degree in the Cole fiction picture book collection.

Figure 5

Number of Fiction Books by Cultural Experience in Cole’s Collection Identified by TB
Note. Cole’s fiction picture book collection had representation in every diverse category within TB’s CAT. A total of 79 books out of the 542 diverse titles overlapped into more than one category. Adapted from “TeachingBooks Collection Analysis Report” by TeachingBooks, 2022. Copyright 2022 by TeachingBooks. Adapted with permission.

Discussion

These case studies are in San Diego County, the same region as Salem’s (2022) study. Salem’s audit examined a youth collection used by prospective educators at San Diego State University (SDSU). Results from the EUSD school and Cole have less diversity in their respective collections than Salem found at SDSU, where 10% of the titles matched DBF’s CAT. The Carlsbad elementary school only had a 4% match and for Cole it was approximately 8%. It is plausible that the percentage of representation in the Carlsbad elementary school is lower than 4% if the entire fiction picture book collection is considered, because the collection has books prior to 2001 and it is doubtful that a significant portion are diverse.

Elrod and Kester (2020) and Salem (2022) found that their academic collections containing youth books lacked stories of First Nations and Indigenous groups as well as Middle Eastern/North African groups. The same is true for the case studies done in Carlsbad. These demographics do not have a high population presence in the Carlsbad community, but representation of these communities in school and public libraries would likely increase cultural understanding for all youth. Both libraries could also bolster their collections with diverse books to match local demographic percentages. A total of 28.1% of the elementary school students, and 37.7% of the Carlsbad community, identified as non-White, which illustrates a large disparity in the collections. Both libraries could add stories about Hispanic & Latino and Asian populations as these are the highest racial demographics in Carlsbad after White. The number of books about Latino and Asian populations in both collections only amount to 2% or less. Gaining stories with mixed-race
narratives would fill a dearth at the EUSD school. Four percent of the 2021 school community identified as Biracial/Mixed Race, and this demographic makes up 7% of people of all ages in the Carlsbad region. Improving representation for people with disabilities and neurodiversity is another area to explore. In 2021, 14% of the EUSD school’s students had a disability, but TB reported that only about 1% of books have this content at both institutions, and cHQ revealed that it only represents .6% of the Cole collection. These actions could strengthen who is represented in each library collection.

The findings also reveal areas for improvement in how diverse groups are depicted. DBF categorized most of the diverse books found as “any child” at both libraries (Diverse BookFinder, 2023c). It is a disservice to underrepresent the culture and identity of diverse communities. Oppression and resilience stories had low numbers at both libraries, including for the two highest racial demographics after White: Hispanic & Latino and Asian. DBF’s database has the same disparity with only 6% of titles representing oppression and resilience for Latinx and Asians (Diverse BookFinder, 2023a; Diverse BookFinder, 2023b). DBF contends that the “continuing absence of books depicting Asian characters experiencing injustice or struggle reinforces the idea that Asian people do not experience oppression” (Diverse BookFinder, 2023a). The publishing industry has not published enough books to keep up with national demographic shifts, so finding stories along these lines in fiction picture books might prove challenging (Backman et al., 2018). It is also possible that oppression and resilience stories surface more in nonfiction picture books. When looking at the database for DBF with the filters “Oppression & Resilience” and “Latinx/Hispanic/Latin American,” there were 32 results. Of those 32 results, 21 are classified as nonfiction (65%), which supports this theory. If the dataset for these case studies included nonfiction titles, perhaps these numbers would have been higher.

Several possible strategies might help libraries determine which books can fill these gaps. Professionals can search DBF’s book collection for titles. Even though DBF’s CAT only shares racial identity in their audit reports, their book collection search tool includes filters for immigration, gender, and religion. Some of the filtering is very specific, like “transgender” and “intersex” for gender, and “Jain” and “Sikh” for religion. There is even a filter for character prominence. Professionals can address the gaps in how a specific group is represented by seeking out titles in the DBF database and filtering their search by DBF’s storyline categories. Consulting nontraditional resources is another option. Bogan (2022b) notes that libraries consult traditional reviewers like Horn Book and Kirkus for picture book acquisitions and some collection development policies require a two-star minimum. However, Bogan (2022b) explains that diverse stories may not always garner reviewer attention. Information professionals could consult their communities directly, asking for input to determine effective stories for purchase. Libraries could also examine other sources for local data about diverse groups. For example, the North County LGBTQ Resource Center has reports about their work, data, and evaluation (North County LGBTQ Resource Center, 2023). These reports could illuminate the local demographics and connect the library directly to that community. The Jewish Collaborative of San Diego is another organization with
insight into local community data. Collaborating with diverse non-profits could be beneficial in gaining acquisitions and promoting materials to the community, offering further insight into what stories are not being told and where representation should improve. Schneider and Norman (2023) mentioned that, once they identified gaps in native representation, they decided to buy materials from indigenous-owned businesses whenever feasible. Stone (2020) points out that publishers will see the demand and publish more if libraries purchase diverse materials.

Expanding data collection and offering increased transparency at the organization-level could also translate to more diverse collections. If governing bodies allowed it, schools could gather and report more detailed information about the demographics they serve. For example school accountability reports already provide binary gender counts at a school site (Encinitas Union School District, 2021-2022b). They could also share a tally of nonbinary students if that information was collected on pupil cards. That would make gender-diverse youth more apparent, addressing the demographic data challenge Stone (2020) mentions.

If both organizations want to see an outcome of behavioral change in their community where diverse groups receive more acknowledgement, then recognition and information sharing of a variety of diverse stories needs to be supported. Efforts to promote new acquisitions that fill specific gaps identified from an audit could help galvanize this change. Both libraries could increase the findability and feature these stories more prominently in their libraries. It is important that the promotion emphasizes the merits of the story or topic and not the diversity within (Bogan, 2022b). In addition, each institution may need to weed out titles no longer relevant to their community. Finally, conducting evaluation through a survey or focus group of youth and other stakeholders, after promoting new diverse books acquired for the collection, could be helpful in determining whether the improvements in representation had impact.

**Limitations**

The dataset was limited to fiction picture books. It is possible that more identities would have matched or have been counted if nonfiction picture books were included. Additionally, how certain groups are depicted in the stories might have had higher counts in various categories with DBF if nonfiction was audited.

It is important to note the professionals classifying the diverse identities to these youth titles. DBF uses a team of researchers. TB entrusts youth librarians. cHQ uses Baker & Taylor, Kirkus Diversity Selection Lists, Library of Congress Headings, and BISAC (Book Industry Standards and Communications). All three tools have a means of contact should an auditor want to discuss further the information being reported as well as the diverse identities represented.

The author noticed that none of the tools offered a socioeconomic or homeless topic, which is a data point in the elementary school’s demographics. None included “body acceptance,” a gap mentioned by Jensen (2018). cHQ could offer a DAT topic for “immigration” which is explored by Salem (2022) and offered by TB. TB could consider adding “Substance & Abuse” to its list of topics like cHQ. A problematic label in the cHQ DAT topics is “multicultural,” which came
up as the only DEI flag for 134 titles. This label is not specific and does not lead to actionable data.

**Conclusion**

Wickman and Sweeney (2018) advocate that information organizations move “away from individualistic, one-off solutions toward longer-term, structural interventions that touch every aspect of collection representation” (p. 104). They argue that collection development policies should be “intentional, ongoing, and actively engaged with shifting the very institutional structures that libraries champion for their resiliency” (Wickman & Sweeney, 2018, p. 102). In this instance it might mean creating a new inclusive collection policy. Collection audits examining representation have value when planned well, “[casting a] light on the homogeneity embedded within library collections” (Mortensen, 2019, p. 28). An audit helps collection developers be more efficient with time and funds by establishing a DEI reference point before implementing any change. A library can increase transparency with its stakeholders by sharing the results of a DEI audit and the subsequent changes it makes to its collection.

The author hoped to uncover the degree that these two libraries in Carlsbad, California represent their diverse population in youth fiction picture book collections. After conducting audits at both libraries, it was clear the collections need work to make them diverse, equitable, and inclusive. DBF showed that less than 10% of each collection had diverse content, which is significantly lower than the total non-White population percentage within the Carlsbad community. The non-White Carlsbad community totals 37.7%, but only 18.2% of Cole’s collection flagged cHQ DEI content. There is a lack of representation, particularly with First/Native Nations and Middle Eastern/North African populations in both collections. No Bi/Multiracial/Mixed Race books were present at the elementary school. Additional diverse identity markers beyond race appear to have low representation as well. For example, 14% of the school’s students identified as having a disability in 2021, but TB reported that there were no books at the elementary school with this representation and less than 1% of books have this content at Cole. cHQ revealed that it only represents .6% of the Cole collection.

When DBF looked at how racial groups were represented in each collection, there was an overwhelming number of stories not connected to the race or culture of the characters featured. If this type of representation continues to monopolize these fiction picture book collections, then diverse youth may only partially see their experiences mirrored in literature, and opportunities for cultural understanding will be missed. There is a lack of stories in the collections about diverse groups on the topic of oppression and resilience. This absence could give the impression that certain groups do not experience these challenges. The libraries could look at acquiring these stories, particularly for Latinx as the highest racial demographic after White. These audits reveal that both libraries have a small number of picture books representing diverse populations in Carlsbad, and the diverse stories told need more variation.
Organizations within the same community could share audit results. Public libraries, with their funding, numerous staff, and access to digital tools like cHQ, could help school libraries locate books with diverse representation. Those titles could even be loaned to the schools for review before they commit their own resources. In return, school libraries could help public libraries tap youth input and get them involved in reshaping youth collections.

The digital tools mentioned in this study can help a library track its improvements over time. The cHQ online platform provides a snapshot of how the collection is fairing with DEI by producing line graphs and collection breakdowns. If annual reports are kept from DBF and TB, they can also be compared to track change over time. Researchers can repeat the audit process in these case studies at other institutions to evaluate their holdings. It is important to note that identifying gaps and securing more representative books is insufficient (Bogan, 2022b). Promoting these stories to communities is just as essential to achieving more DEI (Cahill et al., 2021). Locating the books with diverse content in the audit reports from TB or cHQ can help libraries find these titles for display or promotion. It takes significant planning, considering, and identifying of desired outcomes, but improving DEI representation in youth collections via diversity audits is worthwhile.
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Abstract
In *The Promise of Access: Technology, Inequality, and the Political Economy of Hope*, Daniel Greene provides a comprehensive, approachable evaluation and critique of the concept of the "access doctrine" and how it has permeated American policy and organizations.
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In *The Promise of Access: Technology, Inequality, and the Political Economy of Hope*, Daniel Greene draws on his experience as an Assistant Professor of Information Studies at the University of Maryland and ethnographic and technological researcher. He provides a comprehensive, approachable evaluation and critique of the concept of the “access doctrine” and how it has permeated American policy and organizations. The access doctrine, as defined by Greene, functions as an explanation of how poverty can be overcome by the individual’s study of technology and development of technical skills as well as the emphasis of these values by educators and public servants, including librarians and teachers. Greene explores the access doctrine, its rise to prominence, and its impact on society through his ethnographic studies of three Washington, DC institutions: a tech startup, a public library, and a charter school. These studies provide both a broad perspective on the structural impacts of the access doctrine and the individual experiences of the professionals, patrons, and students who experience, perpetuate, and resist these changes. Greene’s combined exploration of these studies and political theory such as neoliberalism and Marxism offers a valuable perspective on the current state of libraries and schools, how the access doctrine has both contributed to inequality in public service spaces and been utilized to keep them funded and functional, and how it can be subverted to return service and support to the forefront of the library and education professions.

Greene establishes the context of the access doctrine through his exploration of United States politics beginning in the 1970s, but mainly focusing on the 1990s and 2000s. He explores the shifting realities of class inequalities, as welfare programs were rolled back in favor of support for the access doctrine: “the internet, as the story goes, unlocks the fetters of geography and identity” (Greene, 2021, p. 32). Through this exploration, Greene confronts popular academic consensus on the topic and how it fits in to the larger, capitalist structure of the United States, particularly in major cities. He explores the subsummation of poverty policy by a technology policy that presumes if the individual gains skills in technology, they can pull themselves out of poverty and find opportunity and economic success. By furthering this narrative, Greene argues, political figures can avoid responsibility for job creation and welfare by stating that the jobs are out there, the American people just have to work for them by gaining relevant technological skills. It falls on public librarians and teachers, then, to push their patrons and students towards success and teach them the technological skills that will get them there.

Chapters 3 and 4 of *The Promise of Access* are particularly relevant to the librarian and LIS scholar. Chapter 3 addresses the public library as it is affected by and participates in the access doctrine, particularly through Greene’s study of the DC Public Library system and particularly the Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial Library, the central DCPL branch. Greene is not unsympathetic to the public library’s struggles. He expresses the conflict between what a library’s goals are and what its patrons need, as well as what the library must do to stay funded when low on both political and financial support. These factors, Greene explains,
contributed to the access doctrine’s prominence in the library field; major donors and political figures supported the access doctrine, so by doing the same, public libraries were able to get support for programs and resources. However, the access doctrine was often at odds with the public library’s mission of service, expressed by Greene as “an uneasy gap between two libraries: a professionalizing space full of future entrepreneurs and a public space full of citizens to be served” (Greene, 2021, p. 97). Librarians and library patrons alike must work to preserve spaces of rest, play, and diversity alongside the new dogma of professionalization and uniformity.

Chapter 4 furthers the discussion of the access doctrine by exploring its role in the school system, particularly through the lens of one particular charter school, the W. E. B. Du Bois Public Charter High School, which adopted the access doctrine as a way to improve test scores and support students’ futures, alongside a code of racial justice and empowerment. The chapter focuses on the purpose of technology in a student’s life, and how that intersects with the politics of race, class, and the at-times vague concept of hope. In what ways is technology a support for the student’s academic career, and in what ways is it a distraction? How do the teachers manage these conflicts, and does technology have a proven impact on their students? There are no easy answers to these questions, Greene eventually notes, leading to a particularly ambiguous relationship between the access doctrine and the school environment. As much as technology supports these students, particularly through a successful video game design class, it also widens the inequality they experience, Greene argues, as teachers are required to model Whiteness for their students of color, rather than embracing their differences and arriving at a more diverse form of success. While many of the teachers at the charter school made efforts to empower their students both academically and culturally, they were often held back by the technology and the administration. While on the surface it appeared supportive, the administration pushed for high performance at all costs, discarding the previously key mission of social justice.

While Greene offers an in-depth critique of the access doctrine as it was created, grew, and impacted service professions, there are several gaps that must be addressed. Greene’s perspective throughout the book is clearly tied to social justice, as he discusses race, class, and privilege as an integral part of the topic, but his discussion of policing, particularly police presence in the library but also in schools, is cursory and fails to reflect the full relationship policing has to the American political state and the politics of control. This subject is discussed in depth in other LIS scholarship, such as Fry and Austin’s (2021) work on patron safety with regards to police presence at the public library and how it excludes both patrons and librarians and library staff of color. Additionally, the academic experience of young and future library professionals and teachers is touched on but never fully covered, despite the relevance of the topics of technology and inequality to current teachings and academic literature in both fields. This may, in part, be due to Greene’s role as an assistant professor, revealing a conflict of interest and potential bias preventing him from discussing the academic angle.
*The Promise of Access* branches off from current LIS scholarship, explaining the current trends while also confronting them and expressing the possibility of a complete reimagining of the field. This is seen in his citation of Agosto (2008): just as Agosto explores the need for, and trends in, alternative funding for public libraries, Greene furthers this discussion by exploring why this need happened and how these new sources have impacted the culture of the public library. Greene’s conclusions, however, are in conversation with other current LIS works, including Stevenson and Domsy’s (2016) discussion of similar changes in Canadian libraries regarding the increased focus on technology and the digital divide. Greene’s call to action for librarians and library patrons to use the access doctrine for their own gains and, when possible, subvert it, is clearly inspired by Brock (2020) in his discussion of Black technology culture, particularly surrounding Black communities forming their own spaces and changing culture within “culture-neutral” or default-White online spaces.

For public librarians, school librarians, and library students, *The Promise of Access* offers a valuable exploration of the status of librarianship, education, and technology through the access doctrine. Greene provides a historical and political explanation of where this concept originated, what its impacts have been, and what the next steps are for any information professional who must work within its constraints and, potentially, build a new form of access and innovation that truly strives for equality and service alongside technological advancement. These calls to action include subverting the access doctrine and taking part in collective action to support every visitor of the library, whether they seek to learn or rest.
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