1995

Investigation of the folding of aminotyrosyl derivatives of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A

Zenebe Nurga Asfir
San Jose State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/etd_theses

Recommended Citation

Asfir, Zenebe Nurga, "Investigation of the folding of aminotyrosyl derivatives of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A" (1995). *Master's Theses*. 1113.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31979/etd.askv-78z6>
https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/etd_theses/1113

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Master's Theses and Graduate Research at SJSU ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of SJSU ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@sjsu.edu.

INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

A Bell & Howell Information Company
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

**INVESTIGATION OF THE FOLDING OF AMINOTYROSYL DERIVATIVES OF
BOVINE PANCREATIC RIBONUCLEASE A**

**A Thesis
Presented to
The Faculty of the Department of Chemistry
San Jose State University**

**In Partial Fulfillment of
the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Science**

**By
Zenebe Nurga Asfir
August, 1995**

UMI Number: 1377204

**Copyright 1995 by
Asfir, Zenebe Nurga
All rights reserved.**

**UMI Microform 1377204
Copyright 1996, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.**

**This microform edition is protected against unauthorized
copying under Title 17, United States Code.**

UMI

**300 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103**

© 1995

Zenebe N. Asfir

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

APPROVED FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

Dr. Roger G. Biringer

Dr. Maureen Scharberg

Dr. Joseph J. Pesek

APPROVED FOR THE UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT

INVESTIGATION OF THE FOLDING OF AMINOTYROSYL DERIVATIVES OF BOVINE PANCREATIC RIBONUCLEASE A (RNase A)

by Zenebe N. Asfir

The protein folding problem centers on the elucidation of how a protein attains its three dimensional structure. This project addresses the folding of specifically modified RNases. In previous kinetic studies of aminated derivatives of RNase A at pH* 6 and -15 °C, unique kinetics were observed.

In this study, the subzero temperature study was extended to pH* 3 and the underlying phenomena associated with the unique fluorescence increase previously observed at pH* 6 was examined. Previous attempts to study the folding kinetics in pH* 3 were complicated by reoxidation of the amino-derivatives. This problem has been successfully resolved. Vacuum degassing and nitrogen purging served the purpose. The kinetic results are significantly different from pH* 6 results. Biphasic kinetic were found for both singly aminated and doubly aminated derivatives .

The cause for the unique fluorescence observed at pH* 6 is most likely due to fluorescence energy transfer from unmodified tyrosine residue to the aminotyrosine residue. Data for both model compounds and aminated RNases support this conclusion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Roger Biringer for his patience, assistance and encouragement throughout my graduate research and study. I would like also to thank my committee members Dr. Maureen Scharberg and Dr. Joseph Pesek. Special thanks goes to Dr. Bradley Stone for evaluating my preliminary and final seminars and Dr. Pamela Stacks for her encouragement. I would also to thank the students in the lab for the friendly working atmosphere and cooperation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	iv
Acknowledgment	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List of Abbreviations	xii
1. Introduction.	1
1.1 Protein Structure	1
1.2 Protein Stability	2
1.3 General Aspects of Protein folding	3
1.3.1 Models of Protein Folding	5
1.3.2 Kinetics of Protein Folding.	6
1.4 Kinetics of Refolding of RNase A	10
1.4.1 General Aspects	10
1.4.2 The involvement of Proline Isomerization in the Folding of RNase A.	11
1.4.3 Refolding Experiments at Subzero Temperature.	13
1.4.4 Amino-tyrosyl RNase A.	16
1.5 Goals of the Project	19
2. Materials and Methods	20
2.1 Materials	20

2.1.1	Reagents.	20
2.1.2	Equipment.	20
2.2	Methods	21
2.2.1	Modification and Purification of RNase A.	21
2.2.1.1	Synthesis and Purification of RNase A.	21
2.2.1.2	Synthesis and Purification of Aminotyrosyl RNase A.	23
2.2.2	Deoxygenation protocol.	24
2.2.3	Refolding of Aminotyrosyl RNases.	25
2.2.4	Guanidine Hydrochloride Denaturation of Amino RNases.	26
2.2.5	Fluorescence Energy Transfer.	26
2.2.5.1	Model Compounds.	25
2.2.5.2	Aminated RNases.	27
3.	Results and Discussion	28
3.1	Deoxygenation	28
3.2	Guanidine Hydrochloride Unfolding of	
	$C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A	30
3.3	Folding of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A	
	and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A	37
3.4	Fluorescence Energy Transfer	45
3.4.1	Model Compounds	46
3.4.2	Aminotyrosyl RNases	49

List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Folding kinetics for RNase A and nitro-derivatives of RNase A, pH* 3.	15
2. Folding kinetics for amino-derivatives of RNase A.	42

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1. Dependence of heat capacity on temperature in different aqueous solutions for hen egg-white lysozyme	4
2. Hypothetical reaction coordinate for a simple sequential folding reaction involving two intermediates	8
3. Folding kinetics for $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A in 35% Methanol, pH* 6 and -15 °C.	18
4. Absorption spectrum of $C^{\epsilon 115-NO_2}$ RNase A.	29
5. Absorption spectrum of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A , degassing experiment	31
6. Absorption spectrum of Refolded $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A , degassing experiment	32
7. Absorption spectrum of refolded $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A , nitrogen purging experiment	33
8. Absorption spectrum of refolded $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A, nitrogen purging experiment	34
9. Guanidine hydrochloride unfolding of aminated RNases	35
10. The fluorescence spectra of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A	38

Figure	Page
11. Time dependent changes in the folding of C ^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A . . .	40
12. Time dependent changes in the folding of C ^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A. . .	41
13. Excitation spectra of model compounds	47
14. Emission spectra of model compounds	48
15. Excitation spectra of C ^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A.	50
16. Emission spectra of C ^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A.	51
17. Excitation spectra of aminotyrosine.	53
18. Emission spectra of aminotyrosine.	54
19. Excitation spectra of C ^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A.	57
20. Emission spectra of C ^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A.	60

List of Abbreviations

$C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A:	tyrosine-115 aminated at the ϵ position in RNase A
$C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A:	tyrosine-115 and tyrosine-76 aminated at the ϵ position in RNase A
$C^{\epsilon 115-NO_2}$ RNase A:	tyrosine-115 nitrated at the ϵ position in RNase A
$C^{\epsilon 115,76-NO_2}$ RNase A:	tyrosine-115 and tyrosine-76 nitrated at the ϵ position in RNase A
CD :	circular dichroism
HEPES:	N-2-Hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethane sulfonic acid
IEF :	isoelectric focusing
I :	an intermediate state
N :	native state
NMR :	nuclear magnetic resonance
RNase A :	bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A
TNM :	tetranitromethane
U :	Unfolded state

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Protein Structure

There are 20 α -amino acids that are commonly used as the building blocks of proteins. The order of the amino acids in the linear polyamide chain for any given protein is known as the primary structure or primary sequence of the protein. The spacial arrangement of the primary structure into structural motifs through local hydrogen bonds is known as the secondary structure; α -helix, β -strands, random coil, β -turns are the major types of secondary structure. The next hierarchy in protein structure is the tertiary structure, which describes the three dimensional packing of the secondary structure. Many proteins are comprised of more than one polypeptide chain. The relative three-dimensional arrangement of these independently folded chains (subunits) is known as the quaternary structure.

The primary sequence of a protein is relatively easy to determine, but it is a challenge for researchers to determine the three dimensional structure. There are three approaches commonly used for determining the structure of proteins. X-ray crystallography (Hendrickson, 1987), the first approach, is the most reliable method. The main problem with this method is that not all proteins form crystals and crystals are required for such measurements. Although limited, this technique provides the database upon which the following two techniques are based. The second one employs computation methods. Chou and Fasman (1978) developed a method to determine which portions of the primary sequence would

adapt α -helices and β -strands by assigning a α -helix and β -sheet propensity to each amino acid along the polypeptide chain. The propensity is based on x-ray data and reflects the frequency that a particular amino acid occurs in an α -helix or β -sheet in the x-ray database. The accuracy of this technique is slightly better than 50%. Dubachack et al. (1993) have used computer-simulated neural networks to predict the three-dimensional structure of proteins. The networks were trained on the known crystal structures and sequences of proteins. The trained neural network was then used to classify proteins in terms of structure (folding classes). The folding classes employed were: four helix bundles, parallel $(\alpha/\beta)_8$ barrels, nucleotide binding fold, immunoglobulin fold and a none of these category. The authors claim a 87% accuracy for the prediction of closely related proteins.

The third approach is based on empirical methods such as circular dichroism (CD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and NMR. The spectra obtained from CD, NMR and FTIR measurements give the average signals of all secondary structures present. Several attempts have been made to evaluate these data in terms of the contribution of each secondary structure to the spectra: CD (Stokkum et al., 1990), FTIR (Dousseau and Pezolet, 1990), and the combined CD and FTIR spectra (Pribic et al., 1993). The prediction results strongly depend on the various algorithms used to analyze the data.

1.2 Protein Stability

Privalov and Gill (1988) have discussed the various factors that affect

protein stability. Dipole-dipole interaction, Van der Waal's forces, the hydrophobic effect, and hydrogen bonding all play an important role in protein stability. Historically, the contribution of electrostatic forces has been considered to be minimal because there are few salt bridges in the average protein. This has recently been called into question by Hendsch and Tidor (1994). They suggest that although these interactions are individually weak in aqueous solutions, together, they act cooperatively to stabilize the native protein structure.

The stability of proteins is best understood by comparing the thermodynamics of the native protein and unfolded protein. It has been found that the native structure of proteins is only marginally more stable than the unfolded state (Privalov, 1979), typically only 20 to 40 kJ/mol. In addition, the entropy and enthalpy of unfolding are temperature dependent, because the heat capacity of the unfolded state is significantly greater than the heat capacity of the folded state (Privalov, 1979). As illustrated in Figure 1 (Privalov and Khechinashvili, 1974), this results in a temperature dependence of the free energy of stabilization where the maximum stability is reduced both at low and high temperatures. In the range where the heat capacity changes, the enthalpy and entropy of unfolding are large and positive. A large entropy is expected for the unfolded state because of higher degree of freedom.

1.3 General Aspects of Protein Folding

The amino acid sequence of a protein is incoded by DNA. After its production on the ribosomes, the nascent polypeptide folds spontaneously to the

FIGURE 1 : Dependence of heat capacity on temperature in different aqueous solutions for hen egg-white lysozyme. The maximum of the heat absorption peak corresponds to the midpoint of transition.
(taken from Privalov and Khechinashvili, 1974).

biologically competent native state. The process by which this occurs is not well understood and is commonly referred to as the protein folding problem. X-ray crystallographic studies have shown that proteins with the same sequence have the same three-dimensional structure, proteins with similar sequences have similar structures, and proteins with different sequences have different structures. From these observations, the central dogma of protein folding was developed which states that " the sequence of a protein defines its three dimensional structure."

1.3.1 Models of Protein Folding

There are several models that have been developed over the years to describe the protein folding process. Three of these are examined below.

One of the first models to be proposed is known as the random search model (Levinthal, 1968). This model assumes that the protein searches all possible conformations to find that structure with the global minimum in free energy. Levinthal examined this model by calculating the time it would take for a protein to fold in this way and found that it would take much too long to complete. For example, if a protein has 100 residues, the minimum number of conformations it may have is 2^{100} which is equivalent to 1.3×10^{30} . Assuming diffusion control, the fastest rate possible, it would take approximately 10^{50} years to search all conformations.

Another model is Wetlaufer's nucleation rapid growth model (1973). This model assumes that nucleation centers, small units of ordered structure, are

formed slowly and complete folding follows rapidly. This requires that the formation of the "nuclei" is the rate limiting step and thus no intermediates are populated between nucleation center and the native protein. There is no explanation and experimental support for how the nucleation center is formed.

The currently favored model is known as the framework model (Kim and Baldwin, 1982). This model assumes that folding goes through a series of structural intermediates and does so in sequential manner. There is a significant amount of experimental support for this model in the literature.

1.3.2 Kinetics of Protein Folding

One approach used to examine the protein folding problem involves the study of the kinetics of the folding process. Such studies provide information about the rate of the folding process and can indicate if intermediates are involved. Careful examination of the results enable one to postulate possible reaction mechanisms.

Folding may be either thermodynamically controlled, kinetically controlled or a combination of the the two. In case of pure thermodynamic controlled folding that proceeds through intermediate states (framework model), only the most stable intermediate states will be populated. In case of pure kinetic controlled folding, the population of the intermediates is governed by the activation free energy between the individual intermediates. The difference between these control mechanisms is best understood by example. Consider the simple folding pathway

where D is the denatured state, N is the native state, and I_1 and I_2 are intermediates along the folding pathway. Also, assume that the idealized energy diagram for this pathway given in Figure 2. For pure thermodynamic control, only I_1 will be populated because it has a lower free energy content than I_2 . For kinetic control, I_2 will be highly populated due to high activation energy barrier between this intermediate state and the native state. In this case, the rate of decomposition rather than the stability of the intermediate plays more important role in determining the population of intermediate states. In cases where both thermodynamic and kinetic control are significant, both I_1 and I_2 may be highly populated. Experimental data for small globular proteins supports a combination of kinetic and thermodynamic control.

In order to examine the folding of a protein, it must first be unfolded chemically or thermally. Refolding of a protein is accomplished by dilution of the chemical denaturant or by lowering the temperature to conditions that favor the native state. Unfolding may be examined in the reverse manner.

The kinetics of folding is studied by following the changes in some property of the protein as a function of time as the protein folds or unfolds. Properties are typically measured with spectroscopic methods that are sensitive to the structural state of the protein. NMR, absorbance, fluorescence and circular dichroism are the most widely used techniques.

Ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy provides information about global

FIGURE 2 : Hypothetical reaction coordinate for a simple sequential folding reaction involving two intermediates, I_1 and I_2 . D and N represent the denatured and native state respectively.

changes in the tertiary structure of the protein. The aromatic residues (tryptophan and tyrosine) are the intrinsic chromophores in proteins that are typically monitored. In a typical folding experiment, absorbance is monitored at a wavelength to the "red" of the absorbance maximum. This will yield an increase in an absorbance as the protein folds. This is due to the fact that, in most cases, the entire absorption band undergoes a bathochromic shift as the protein folds to its native state. This results from the changes in the polarizability of the microsolvent environment. In addition, the intensity of the absorbance of the folded protein is higher than the unfolded state because the chromophores are usually shielded from solvent (Schmid, 1981). The exclusion of water results in an increase in the absorbance.

Fluorescence spectroscopy is also widely used to monitor global changes in the tertiary structure of proteins. Tyrosine and tryptophan fluorescence are important for this purpose. Fluorescence has a significant advantage over absorbance spectroscopy, as it is more sensitive to environmental changes because of the longer life time of the excited state. Typically, the intrinsic fluorescence of a protein decreases as the protein folds, as quenching in native state is usually greater than quenching in unfolded state.

The signals measured by the spectroscopic probes discussed above give the average spectra for all contributing chromophores or fluorophores. Thus, only a global picture of the folding process can be obtained. However, information about what is taking place in a specific region during folding can be obtained by chemical modification of specific residue(s) in specific regions in the protein to

yield unique chromophores or fluorophores. Examples of such modifications have been reported for RNase A by Garel and Baldwin (1975), Biringer and Fink (1988a), and Puntambekar (1991).

1.4 Kinetics of Refolding of RNase A

1.4.1 General Aspects

Bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A (RNase A), a small globular protein, comprises 124 amino acid residues. It contains six tyrosines, three of which are buried in structure (Tyr-25, -92, -97) and three of which are solvent exposed (Tyr-73, -76, -115). These residues are, as will be seen later, very important in the kinetic study of RNase A folding. RNase A has four prolines, two of which are in the trans configuration in native protein and two of which are in the cis configuration in native protein. Both Pro-93 and Pro-114 are in the cis configuration in the native state, but are found as an equilibrium mixtures of both cis and trans in denatured state. Pro-93 and Pro-114 are the subject of several discussions regarding the slow folding kinetics of RNase A.

It has been shown that unfolded RNase A consists of a fast folding species U_F (30 %) and a slow folding species U_S (70 %) (Garel and Baldwin, 1973). It has been found that the U_S species consists of two distinct populations of molecules (Schmid and Blaschek, 1981). One population, the major species (U_S''), represents 50 % of the folding species and the second, the minor species (U_S'), represent 20 % of the folding species. There is considerable experimental evidence that U_S' folds slowly because it contains an incorrect geometric isomer

for a Xaa-Pro peptide bond (Brandts et al., 1975). The Xaa-Pro peptide bond is a peptide bond where the proline is covalently attached to any amino acid Xaa through its iminonitrogen. The minimal model for the folding of RNase A is thus,

where N is the native state.

RNase A is an ideal model for protein folding studies. Some of the features RNase A that make attractive are: 1) its three-dimensional structure is known, 2) it is soluble both in water and organic solvents, 3) it tends not to aggregate, 4) unfolding and refolding are reversible in most conditions, and 5) it has been well studied (Garel and Baldwin, 1973, Adler and Scheraga, 1990, Biringer and Fink, 1988 a-c, Lin and Brandts, 1983, Schmid, 1981, etc.).

1.4.2 The Involvement of Proline Isomerization in the Folding of RNase A

While the factors that allow the fast folding species (U_F) to fold fast are not known, the reason for the retarded time-scale of U_S folding is believed to be isomerization about one or more proline imide bonds. RNase A has four prolines. Pro-42 and Pro-117 are in the trans conformation in both native and unfolded state (Lin and Brandts, 1984). Pro-93 and Pro-114 are in cis conformation in native protein, but exist as a mixture of cis and trans in the unfolded state.

Brandts et. al (1975) have suggested that the isomerization of prolines to the correct conformation results in the formation of a fast folding species (U_F) which can then rapidly fold to the native state.

Other researchers (Cook et al., 1979; Schmid and Blaschek, 1981), however, found that the major folding species of RNase A folds first to a native like intermediate, I_N , before isomerization of proline to native conformation occurs.

Since it is known that the slow folding species of RNase A is caused by cis to trans isomerization of either Pro-93 or both Pro-93 and Pro-114, it is important to know the trans to cis ratio for both Pro-93 and Pro-114 in the unfolded protein. The literature contains contradicting results regarding the ratio of trans to cis proline in unfolded state. Lin and Brandts used isomer specific proteolysis (ISP) (1983, 1984) and found that 70 % of proline 93 and 95 % of Pro-114 are in the cis conformation in urea unfolded protein. Adler and Scheraga (1990), using NMR, found that 40 % of Pro-93 and 37 % of Pro-114 in the cis conformation in heat unfolded RNase A. Biringer and Puntambekar (1991) used nitrotyrosyl derivatives of RNase A as NMR probes and found that 55 % of Pro-114 is in the cis configuration in thermally denatured RNase A and 38 % of Pro-114 is in the cis

configuration in guanidine hydrochloride unfolded RNase A.

Many studies suggest the involvement of proline 93 in the slow folding process of RNase A. Lin and Brandts (1983) used ISP to show that the slowest phase in the refolding of RNase A is rate limited by isomerization of Pro-93. Schmid and Blaschek (1981) and Biringer and Fink (1988c) have shown that Pro-93 isomerizes only after the formation of a native like intermediate in the slow folding pathway.

Confirmation of the involvement of both Pro-93 and Pro-114 in the slow folding of RNase A is found in the site-directed mutagenesis experiments done by Baldwin et al. (1992). In their study, they individually replaced Pro-93 with alanine and with serine and Pro-114 was replaced with a variety of different amino acids. They have also prepared a mutant by which both Pro-93 and Pro-114 were replaced by other amino acids. Based on the results of folding studies of these mutants, the authors concluded that the isomerization of both Pro-93 and Pro-114 are involved in the slow folding process.

1.4.3 Refolding Experiments at Subzero Temperature

Biringer et al. (1982; 1988a, b, c) have investigated the folding of RNase A in methanol cryosolvent (35 % v/v) at subzero temperature (-15 °C) using a number of techniques. Absorbance, fluorescence and NMR monitored folding of RNase A showed multiphasic kinetics which are consistent with an intermediate controlled folding pathway. However, the number of kinetic phases as well as the rate constants and amplitudes were dependent of the type of probe and condition

of folding. Although informative, the results provide only a crude picture of the folding process.

In order to add more detail to the proposed mechanism, Biringer and Fink (1988a) modified three of the six tyrosine residues of RNase A and studied the refolding kinetics in aqueous / methanol, at -15 °C and at pH* 3 and 6. In

particular, C^{ε115-NO₂} RNase A, C^{ε115,76-NO₂} RNase A, and C^{ε115,76,73-NO₂} RNase A were prepared in which tyrosine 115, tyrosines 115 and 76, and tyrosines 115, 76 and 73 are nitrated at ε position respectively. The kinetics were monitored by absorbance at 300 nm where only the nitrated tyrosines absorb. Biphasic kinetics

were observed for C^{ε115-NO₂} RNase A and triphasic kinetics were observed for the other derivatives under all experimental conditions. These results and those discussed earlier suggest that different regions of the protein attain a native environment at different rates. The results of this study and of the other probes are summarized in Table 1 for comparison.

By analyzing the results of folding of unmodified and modified RNase A in methanol cryosolvent, Biringer and Fink (1988c) proposed a model with multiple intermediates and parallel folding pathway.

TABLE I : Kinetics of Folding of RNase A at -15 °C in 35 % Methanol at pH* 3

	k_1 (AMP)	k_2 (AMP)	k_3 (AMP)	k_4 (AMP)
	X 10^2	X 10^2	X 10^3	X 10^4
A_{286}	6.2	1.3 (19)	1.7 (19)	2.9 (19)
fluorescence	---	---	1.3 (17)	3.0 (7)
115-NO ₂	2.6	0.88 (37)	---	---
115,76-NO ₂	2.3	1.4 (37)	0.82 (9)	
115,76,76-NO ₂	3.0	0.8 (26)	1.3 (18)	

The values in the parentheses are the amplitudes expressed as a percentage of the total amplitude change between unfolded and native states. 115-NO₂, 115,76-NO₂, 115,76, 76-NO₂ represent singly, doubly and triply nitrated derivatives of RNase A respectively (taken from Biringer and Fink , 1988c).

They suggest that the major folding pathway (U_S'') does not involve proline isomerization because the rate of the slowest process (k_3') is pH dependent. Instead, they concluded that the minor folding pathway (U_S') involved the isomerization of Pro-93.

It is of particular interest that the environment about Tyr-115, as judged by the folding kinetics of $C^{\epsilon 115-NO_2}$ RNase A, becomes native-like at one of the fastest rates observed in these studies. Since Tyr-115 is adjacent to Pro-114, it should be sensitive to the conformational state of Pro-114. The fact that proline isomerization is associated with a high activation energy indicates that such events should produce slow kinetics. Therefore, this data is not consistent with proline isomerization. This result suggests that either 115-nitrotyrosyl RNase A is not a good absorbance probe to detect the structural change about Pro-114 or that the amplitude of the expected slow kinetic is too small to be observed.

1.4.4 Aminotyrosyl RNase A

Fluorescence spectroscopy is an intrinsically more sensitive technique than absorbance spectroscopy, as the longer excited state lifetime makes it responsive to the most subtle changes in local environment about the fluorophore. As

discussed above, the absorbance of the nitrotyrosyl group in C^{ε115-NO₂} RNase A is not very sensitive to changes in the environment about the neighboring Pro-114. Unfortunately, the nitrotyrosyl group does not fluoresce to any reasonable extent. However, aminotyrosine is highly fluorescent and the excitation and emission maxima differ from that of tyrosine itself making it an excellent probe for use in protein folding studies. This phenomenon was exploited by Puntambekar in the examination of the folding of aminotyrosyl RNase A.

Puntambekar (1991) has investigated the refolding of the aminated derivatives of RNase A, C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A. The refolding was studied at both 10 °C in aqueous buffer and -15 °C in 35 % methanol at pH* 6. The folding kinetics of both thermal and urea unfolded aminated derivatives were followed with fluorescence spectrophotometry.

Triphasic folding kinetic were observed for both C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A. The results obtained in this study and corresponding NMR data (Biringer, unpublished) strongly suggest that the slowest phase is associated with the isomerization of Pro-114. One characteristic of proline isomerization is the pH independence of the kinetics. Puntambekar attempted the folding kinetics at pH* 3 but failed because at low pH, the amino group oxidized to corresponding nitro-derivatives of RNase.

In Puntambekar's study, the first and the third kinetic phases for both derivatives are associated with a decrease in fluorescence, but the second phase

FIGURE 3 : Folding Kinetic for C $^{\epsilon 115}$ -NH $_2$ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35% Methanol, pH* 6 and -15 $^{\circ}$ C . The data is given as a semilog plot. Stripping of the slowest kinetic phase yields the data given in the inset. Note that the slower phase in the inset shows an increase in fluorescence whereas both other phases show a decrease in fluorescence (taken from Puntambekar, 1991).

showed an increase in fluorescence (see Figure 3). A decrease in fluorescence was expected for all kinetic phases, as the fluorescence of the derivatives in the native state is considerably lower than that for the unfolded state. Puntambekar proposed that the increase in fluorescence observed for the second kinetic phase could be attributable to the decomposition of an abortive folding intermediate, fluorescence energy transfer between a tyrosine and one or both of the aminotyrosines, or perhaps an event associated with a change in pK of the aminotyrosine.

1.5 Goals of the Project

This project centers around the kinetics of folding of aminated RNases, in particular, the kinetics of folding at both pH* 3 and pH* 6. Earlier studies showed that the folding of aminated RNases at pH* 3 was complicated by acid catalyzed reoxidation of the aminated RNases to the corresponding nitro-derivatives of RNase A. The first goal of this project involves the examination of various experimental conditions that prevent the oxidation of aminotyrosyl RNases at pH* 3. The second goal involves the examination of the refolding of C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A at pH* 3 under the conditions where oxidation does not occur. The third goal involves a re-examination of the pH* 6 folding studies examined previously, in particular, the second kinetic phase. This final goal centers on examining the possibility that fluorescence energy transfer is involved in this process.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Reagents

Bovine pancreatic ribonuclease A (RNase A), N-acetyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester (ATEE), 3-amino-L-tyrosine, guanidine hydrochloride, tetranitromethane, Sephadex G-200 and ampholytes were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company. Sodium dithionite (Sodium hydrosulfite) was purchased from J. J. Baker Chemical Company. Analytical isoelectric focusing Precotes (pH* 3-10) were purchased from Crescent Chemical Company. N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) was purchased from Fisher Biotech.

2.1.2 Equipment

Chromatographic experiments were carried out using an ISCO Retriever II Fraction Collector equipped with a UA-5 Absorbance / Fluorescence Detector. Isoelectric focusing experiments were performed with a BioRad Horizontal Electrophoresis apparatus. A Perkin Elmer LS-3 Fluorescence Spectrophotometer and a Hewlett Packard 8452A Diode Array Spectrophotometer were used for fluorescence and absorbance experiments respectively.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Modification and Purification of RNase A

2.2.1.1 Synthesis and Purification of Nitrotyrosyl RNases

Nitrotyrosyl derivatives of RNase A were prepared as outlined by Biringer and Fink (1988c). A 50 mg sample of RNase A was dissolved in 20 mL of borate buffer (0.1 M) at pH* 8. A 30 fold molar excess of 8 % (v/v) tetranitromethane (TNM) solution in 90 % (v/v) ethanol was added. The reaction was carried out under the hood for 20 minutes with constant stirring at room temperature. In order to quench the reaction, the product was applied to G-25 column with 0.1 M ammonium hydroxide. Unreacted TNM was removed in this process.

In addition to desired products, dimers are also formed in the reaction because the reaction proceeds through radical mechanism. The dimers were removed with size exclusion chromatography using G-75 (2.5 x 20 cm) with 0.15 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 as the eluent. The monomeric fractions were collected, dialyzed, and lyophilized.

The nitration product consists of a mixture of C^{ε115}-NO₂ RNase, C^{ε115,76}-NO₂ RNase, C^{ε115,76,73}-NO₂ RNase and unreacted RNase. These proteins are readily separated by IEF, as their isoelectric points (pI) differ by at least 0.2 units. For this purpose, a granular resin bed buffered with ampholytes (pH* 8-10.5) was prepared. A solution of 5.6 % (w/v) ampholytes was prepared by dissolving 14 mL of concentrated ampholytes in 110 mL of deionized distilled water. Next, 13 g

Sephadex G-200 was added and swirled until all the resin was wet. Nitrogen gas was blown into the container to remove CO₂ and then sealed and placed in the refrigerator overnight to swell. The swelled resin was then transferred in to a preparative IEF chamber (0.4 x 10 x 20 cm). Stacks of electrode wicks that were soaked with 0.1 M NaOH were placed to one side (the cathode) and the others soaked with 0.1 M HEPES (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine- N-2-ethanesulfonic acid) placed on the other side (the anode). The plate was placed in the electrophoresis unit which was precooled to 2 °C. Pre-electrophoresis was carried out for 45 minutes at 10 Watts constant power. All IEF was done in a nitrogen gas atmosphere.

Immediately after pre-electrophoresis, the nitrated protein was dissolved in a minimum amount of 5.6 % (w/v) ampholytes and applied across the center of the gel in thin strip. The gel was electrophoresed at 30-40 Watts constant power until three well separated yellow bands were appeared (4-8 hours). The individual bands were scooped out and separated from the resin by washing the resin with deionized distilled water via vacuum filtration in a scintered glass funnel. The products were dialyzed and lyophilized. The purity of the products was checked with analytical IEF as described below.

Precotes (ready to use acrylamide gels) were used for analytical IEF. A 2.5 to 5 µL of aliquot of a 5 mg/mL solution of each derivative as well as marker proteins and unmodified RNase A were individually applied to the gel through applicator strips. The gel was electrophoresed for 2 hours at 2 °C at 4 Watts constant power. The precotes were fixed with 20 % trichloroacetic acid and

stained with coomassie brilliant blue in universal solvent (40 % methanol, 50 % water, 10 % acetic acid).

2.2.1.2 Synthesis and Purification of Aminotyrosyl RNases

Aminotyrosyl derivatives were prepared by the reduction of the corresponding nitro-derivative with dithionite. The reduction of C^{ε115}-NO₂ RNase and C^{ε115,76}-NO₂ RNase A with dithionite ion gives C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A and C^{ε115,76}-NH₂ RNase A respectively. The individual nitro-derivatives were dissolved to final concentration of 10 mg/mL in 0.05 M tris buffer at pH *8. A 75 molar excess of dithionite was dissolved in an equal volume of the tris buffer and then added to the protein solution. After 5 minutes of reaction with constant stirring, the product was applied to a G-25 column (2.5 x 20 cm) and eluted with deionized distilled water. This step removes the unreacted dithionite and low molecular weight by-products.

Residual unreduced protein was removed by chromatofocusing. A PBE-118 column (1 X 20 cm) was equilibrated with 0.025 M triethyl amine at pH* 11. The protein was dissolved in 1 mL diluted ampholytes (1: 45) at pH* 8. The solution was then applied to the column and eluted with the diluted ampholytes. The major peak was collected, dialyzed, and then lyophilized. The purity was checked with analytical IEF as described above.

2.2.2 Deoxygenation Protocol

Earlier studies show that the refolding of the aminotyrosyl RNase at pH* 3 is complicated by the acid catalyzed reoxidation of the aminotyrosines by dissolved oxygen gas. In order to overcome this problem, two deoxygenation protocols were examined: degassing and nitrogen purging.

For the degassing experiment, stock protein solution was prepared by dissolving 0.2-0.5 mg of C^ε115-NH₂ RNase A in the refolding buffer (35 % methanol, pH* 3, and 0.033 M formate) to final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Both the protein stock solution in a vial and 1 mL of the refolding buffer in a fluorometer cell were placed in a bell jar chamber and vacuum was pulled with house vacuum for 15 minutes. Following degassing, the vial containing the protein was sealed with parafilm and the fluorometer cell containing the refolding buffer was closed tightly and then placed in a temperature equilibrated (-15 °C) fluorometer chamber. A 60 µL aliquot of stock protein solution was taken up in a gas tight syringe and then incubated in dry bath incubator for 10 minute at 70 °C. The heat unfolded protein was injected into the refolding buffer, stirred for 20-30s, sealed, and allowed to fold to completion (7- 8 hours). The fluorometer chamber was constantly purged with dry nitrogen gas to avoid the buildup of ice. The cell containing refolded protein was taken out of the refolding chamber and allowed to warm to room temperature and absorption spectrum was taken. Next, 25 µL of 1 M NaOH was added to the protein solution to raise the pH above 8 and then the absorption spectrum was scanned again. Under basic conditions,

nitrotyrosine gives well defined peak at 428 nm (Biringer, unpublished results).

The second deoxygenation protocol involved nitrogen purging. The protein stock solution and refolding buffer were prepared as noted above and nitrogen gas was bubbled through the solutions for 15 minutes. The nitrogen gas was passed through 35% methanol prior to entering the solution to prevent the evaporation of the solution. The rest of the procedure is the same as the degassing protocol. Both procedures were applied to both aminotyrosyl derivatives.

2.2.3 Refolding of Aminotyrosyl RNases

The refolding kinetics of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A were examined at pH* 3 (0.033 M formate) and -15 °C. The experimental procedure was the same as outlined above and the degassing with vacuum protocol was used to remove oxygen. The refolding was monitored by the aminotyrosine fluorescence: 305 nm excitation and 405 emission wavelengths for $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A, and 305 nm excitation and 368 nm emission wavelengths for $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A. Absorbance spectra were taken after the completion of folding so that the amplitudes obtained from the folding kinetics could be standardized to one another. In order to check for the occurrence of any appreciable oxidation, the pH of the solution was raised to 8 and the absorbance was scanned.

2.2.4 Guanidine Denaturation of Amino RNases

In order to quantitate the amplitudes obtained from the folding kinetics, the guanidine hydrochloride denaturation of aminated RNases at -15 °C in 35 % (v/v) methanol was examined at pH* 3. A 6.316 M guanidine hydrochloride solution was prepared in 35 % (v/v) methanol, 0.033 M formate and pH* 3. The protein stock solution was prepared by dissolving a 10 mg sample of aminated RNase A in 2 mL buffer (35 % (v/v) methanol, 0.033 formate at pH* 3). A dilution series ranging from 0 M to 6 M guanidine hydrochloride was prepared by mixing specific volumes of the 6.316 M guanidine hydrochloride solution and the 35 % methanol buffer. Experimental solutions were prepared by adding 100 µL of protein stock solution to 1900 µL of each denaturant concentration. The fluorescence of the solutions was measured at -15 °C. Also, for each guanidine hydrochloride concentration, a blank (100 µL of 35 % methanol was added instead of the protein) was measured.

2.2.5 Fluorescence Energy Transfer

In order to examine the possibility that the unique fluorescence increase observed in folding kinetics at pH* 6 involves fluorescence energy transfer, two sets of experiments were performed. One set involved the use of model compounds. The second involved obtaining both excitation and emission spectra during the folding of both C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A and C^{ε115,76}-NH₂ RNase A.

2.2.5.1 Model Compounds

A series of solutions were prepared in 35 % (v/v) methanol, 0.033 acetate buffer and at pH* 6. The solutions were all 40 μ M in aminotyrosine and varied in concentration of acetyltyrosine ethyl ester from 25 μ M to 100 μ M. The fluorescence emission of each solution was scanned with an excitation at 288 nm. Next, the emission wavelength was set to the emission maximum of 350 nm and the excitation spectrum was scanned. The experiments were carried out at -15 °C.

2.2.5.2 Aminated RNases

The refolding of both C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A were initiated at pH* 6 using the method described above for the refolding at pH* 3 with the exception that the refolding solution was buffered with acetate at pH* 6 instead of formate at pH* 3. At the various time intervals, the excitation and emission spectra were scanned. The emission wavelengths were set to 390 nm and 350 nm for scanning the excitation spectra of C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A respectively. When scanning the emission spectra, the excitation wavelengths were set to 298 nm and 288 nm for C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A respectively. In addition, the excitation and emission spectra for each of the native and denatured (6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 35 % (v/v) methanol, 0.033 M acetate at pH* 6) proteins were scanned. These were measured to serve as a standard for the unfolded state.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Deoxygenation

The folding of the aminated derivatives of RNase A at pH* 3, -15 °C, and 35 % (v/v) methanol in an earlier study (Puntambekar, 1991) was not successful. The aminated derivatives tended to oxidize to corresponding nitrated derivatives of RNase A under these experimental conditions. The kinetic data obtained in this situation represents a combination of folding and oxidation reactions. On the other hand, such a problem did not occur in the refolding experiment at pH* 6 under an otherwise identical set of conditions. The author concluded that the oxidation is acid catalyzed.

The presence of nitrotyrosine in a sample is readily determined by examining the absorption spectrum. The absorption spectrum of C^{ε115}-NO₂ RNase A at pH* 8 is shown in Figure 4. The peak near 428 nm is characteristic for nitrated RNase at pH* ≥8. Checking the absorbance spectra at this pH after the refolding experiment is complete will show if any appreciable oxidation has occurred.

Post-folding absorption spectra were taken for both C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A and C^{ε115,76}-NH₂ RNase A that were treated by both the deoxygenation (Figures 5 and 6) and degassing (Figures 7 and 8) methods. The absence of a 428 nm absorbance band clearly indicates that both methods prevent the oxidation of

FIGURE 4 : Absorption spectrum of C¹¹⁵-NO₂ RNase A (14 μM), in 35% methanol at pH* 8. Arrow indicates 428 nm.

aminotyrosine to nitrotyrosine.

It is important to note that both methods should be applied for a minimum period of time in order to avoid evaporation. The vacuum degassing procedure was chosen for subsequent folding experiments because it is the easier of the two methods.

3.2 Guanidine Hydrochloride Unfolding of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A

Normally, the folding amplitudes obtained from kinetics experiments are quantitated by comparing the observed amplitudes with the amplitude difference between native and unfolded protein obtained from equilibrium denaturation experiments. Such data can be obtained from both thermal and chemical denaturation experiments.

Both $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A were dissolved in a series of guanidine hydrochloride solutions in 35 % (v/v) methanol (0.033 M formate) at pH* 3. The fluorescence at -15 °C as a function of guanidine concentration is given in Figure 9. Surprisingly, no appreciable increase in fluorescence was observed for either amino-derivative. At pH* 6, a fluorescence increase was observed for both derivatives when they were unfolded with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride under an otherwise identical set of conditions (Puntambekar, 1991). Evidently, an effective quencher was present in the

FIGURE 5 : Absorption spectrum of C¹¹⁵-NH₂ RNase A (14 μM), in 35 % methanol at pH* 8 after degassing and subsequent folding. The protein stock solution and folding buffer were degassed with house vacuum for 15 minutes.

FIGURE 6 : Absorption spectrum of C ϵ 115,76-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M), in 35 % methanol at pH* 8 after degassing and subsequent folding. The protein stock solution and folding buffer were degassed with house vacuum for 15 minutes.

FIGURE 7 : Absorption spectrum of C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35 % methanol at pH* 8. The protein stock solution and folding buffer were purged with nitrogen gas for 15 minutes.

FIGURE 8 : Absorption Spectrum of C ϵ 115,76-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35 % methanol at pH* 8. The protein stock solution and folding buffer were purged with nitrogen gas for 15 minutes.

FIGURE 9 : Guanidine hydrochloride unfolding of aminated RNases (14 μ M) in 35 % methanol, pH* 3, and -15 $^{\circ}$ C. Filled squares represent the data for C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (305 nm excitation and 405 nm emission). Open squares represent the data for C ϵ 115,76-NH₂ RNase A (305 nm excitation and 368 nm emission).

solution. Since it is known that formate ion does not quench the aminotyrosine fluorescence, the denaturant must play a role in quenching the fluorescence in some fashion. In all cases, the fluorescence of the native protein is higher than that of the proteins in guanidine hydrochloride solutions. Above 0.5 M guanidine hydrochloride the fluorescence of C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A is independent of the denaturant concentration. The fluorescence of C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A decreases at low concentration of denaturant and stays constant up to a guanidine hydrochloride concentration of 3 M. From 3 M to 5M denaturant concentration, the intensity increases slightly with increasing denaturant concentration, but never reaches the fluorescence of the native protein.

The denaturation of amino RNases was tested with 8 M urea at pH* 3 and -15 °C. No appreciable fluorescence increase was observed with this denaturant as well. In order to examine this further, a control experiment was performed to see if these denaturants are capable of quenching aminotyrosine. The addition of 100 μL of 1 mM 3-amino-L-tyrosine to 1900 μL of 3 M of guanidine hydrochloride solution reduces the emission amplitude at 390 nm. This confirms that the denaturants themselves are responsible for the quenching.

The quenching abilities of guanidine hydrochloride and urea have not been observed previously and deserve further examination. The fact that quenching does occur make it impossible to obtain maximal expected amplitude. Thus, amplitudes from the pH* 3 kinetics data cannot be standardized at this time.

3.3 Folding of C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A

It is known that unfolded RNase A consists of both slow folding and fast folding species (Garel and Baldwin, 1975). There are two known paths followed by the slow folding species (Schmid and Blaschek, 1981); the major slow folding path is followed by 50 % of these species and the minor slow folding represents 30 % of these species. The folding is characterized by multiphasic kinetics and intermediates have been detected and characterized for both minor and major slow folding pathways. The involvement of Pro-93 in the minor pathway is also well established. The involvement of Pro-114 in particular pathway has yet to be established.

The amino-derivatives of RNase A have emission spectra that are well resolved from that of the unmodified protein. The emission spectra for these derivatives are shown in Figure 10. The emission maximum is at 405 nm for C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and 368 nm for C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A. The 115-aminotyrosine fluorescence should reflect the configurational changes of Pro-114, as it is adjacent to this residue. However, the results of Biringer and Fink (1988b) on the folding of 115-nitrotyrosyl RNase A at pH* 3 and 6 in methanol cryosolvent showed no sensitivity of C^{ε115-NO₂} RNase A absorbance to Pro-114 isomerization. Puntambekar (1991) on the other hand, suggested that the slow phase in the folding of aminotyrosyl RNase A at pH* 6 was associated to Pro-114 isomerization.

FIGURE 10 : The fluorescence emission spectra (305 nm excitation) of C ϵ^{115} -NH $_2$ RNase A (14 μ M) and C $\epsilon^{115,76}$ -NH $_2$ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35 % Methanol, pH* 3 and -15 $^{\circ}$ C. The solid line represents data for C ϵ^{115} -NH $_2$ RNase A and the broken line represents data for C $\epsilon^{115,76}$ -NH $_2$ RNase A.

In this study, the folding of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A was investigated at pH* 3 in 35 % methanol (v/v), -15 °C in order to examine the dependence of the kinetics on pH. The kinetics of folding for both $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A were biphasic. The time dependent changes in the fluorescence emission for these derivatives are shown in Figures 11 and 12. The data was analyzed utilizing semilog plots and standard stripping methods. Linear regression analysis of the semilog plots was carried out using Excel™ (Microsoft). The data was also analyzed by a non linear least squares fit of the raw data with NFIT™ (Island Products). In all cases the rate constants obtained from both methods are within experimental error.

Both of the analysis techniques require that the fluorescence emission at the end of the folding process be known with reasonable accuracy. In long term kinetics, it is difficult to determine when the process is complete. In order to insure that the data obtained using the methods mentioned above were accurate, the slow phase kinetics were also examined with Guggenheim method (Guggenheim, 1926). The Guggenheim method allows one to calculate a rate constant without having an amplitude at infinite time. It was found that the slowest rates calculated by Guggenheim and by the other methods are in reasonable agreement.

Table 2 gives the rate constants and amplitudes along with those for folding at pH* 6 (Puntambekar, 1991). It is important to note here that the

FIGURE 11: Time dependent changes in the refolding of C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35 % methanol, pH* 3, and -15 $^{\circ}$ C. (A) Semilog plot of entire data set; (B) Raw data after slowest phase was stripped; (C) semilog plot of the data given in B.

FIGURE 12: Time dependent changes in the refolding of C $\epsilon^{115,76}$ -NH $_2$ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35 % methanol, pH* 3, and -15 $^{\circ}$ C. (A) Semilog plot of entire data set; (B) Raw data after slowest phase was stripped; (C) semilog plot of the data given in B.

TABLE 2: Folding Kinetics of C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A and C^{ε115,76}-NH₂ RNase A in 35 % (v/v) Methanol, -15 °C, 0.033 M Formate.

pH* 6¹				
	k ₁ (AMP) X 10 ³	k ₂ (AMP) X 10 ⁴	k ₃ (AMP) X 10 ⁵	
C ^{ε115} -NH ₂ RNase A	1.4 (15)	5.3 (-23)	6.1 (57)	
C ^{ε115,76} -NH ₂ RNase A	1.7 (14)	3.5 (-29)	7.2 (61)	
pH* 3²				
	k ₁ (AMP) ² X 10 ³	³ SD X 10 ⁴	k ₂ (AMP) X 10 ⁴	SD X 10 ⁵
C ^{ε115} -NH ₂ RNase A	3.4 (43)	7.7	1.2 (57)	4.3
C ^{ε115,76} -NH ₂ RNase A	4.4 (34)	2.3	1.2 (66)	7.1

¹ Data taken from Puntambekar (1991), the amplitudes are given in parentheses and represent the percentages of total expected amplitude (see text).

² The amplitudes given in the parentheses are the percentages of the total observed amplitude (the sum of the two phases = 100%).

³SD is the standard deviation obtained for the rate constants.

amplitudes given for the pH* 3 experiments cannot be directly compared to those obtained at pH* 6, as the former represent percentages of the total observed, whereas the latter represents the percent of the total expected. The total amplitude expected represents the difference between the emission amplitude of the denatured state and the corresponding amplitude for the native state. Experimental problems at pH* 3 (see above) prevented the measurement of the emission amplitude of the denatured state.

Examination of Table 2 reveals several significant differences between the kinetics obtained at pH* 3 to those obtained at pH* 6. First of all, the kinetics are biphasic at pH* 3 and triphasic at pH* 6. Also, the phase associated with an increase in fluorescence (negative amplitude) observed at pH* 6 is not observed at pH* 3. One can interpret this in two different ways. This result could mean that this event does not occur at pH* 3; examination of model compounds at pH* 3 should shed some light on this possibility. It could also mean that this phase either increases or decreases in rate such that it severely overlaps one of the other kinetic phases of larger amplitude and thus cannot be independently observed. This would serve to decrease the observed amplitude for the large amplitude phase and alter the value of the observed rate constant.

Comparison of the rate constants obtained from the different sets of experiments suggest that the fastest phases observed at both pH values represent the same process. The slowest phase observed at pH* 3 has an associated rate constant that is between that for the second and third phase obtained from the pH* 6 experiments. One interpretation of this result is that the slowest process

observed at pH* 6 becomes faster at pH* 3. However, previous work (Puntambekar, 1991) strongly suggests that the slowest phase in pH* 6 folding represents the trans to cis isomerization of Pro-114 and other studies have shown that the isomerization event for proline in model compounds and for Pro-93 in unmodified RNase A are pH independent. If the observations for model compounds and Pro-93 are universal, then the slowest phases observed for aminated RNases at pH* 3 and pH* 6 could not represent the isomerization of Pro-114. However, the universal nature of the pH independence of proline isomerization has not been shown.

Another possible explanation is that the second phase observed at pH* 6 becomes slower at pH* 3 to the point where it severely overlaps the slowest process. If this phase is less than a factor of two faster than the slowest phase, then the two processes will be observed as a single phase and the apparent rate constant for the composite will be larger than the actual rate for the slowest process. In addition, since the faster process is associated with an increase in fluorescence and the slower with a decrease in fluorescence of larger magnitude, the apparent amplitude will be smaller than the actual amplitude for the slowest process. Assuming that this occurs and that the fastest processes observed for experiments at both pH* 3 and pH* 6 represent the same event, then one would expect to observe biphasic kinetics at pH* 3 with relative amplitudes for the slowest and fastest process of two to one respectively. This is precisely what is observed for the folding of C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A. However, the ratio observed for

the folding of $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A is somewhat lower. Although the data is reasonably consistent with this explanation, only examination of the folding kinetics at pH values between 3 and 6 will yield a definitive answer.

3.4 Fluorescence Energy Transfer

Normally, the refolding of RNase A shows the decrease in amplitude when monitored by tyrosine fluorescence, as the excited state is quenched by local groups in the refolded protein. A deviation from this general observation occurred when the aminated derivatives of RNase A ($C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$) were refolded at pH* 6, -15 °C, 35 %(v/v) methanol cryosolvent (Puntambekar, 1991). Here, the fluorescence increased in the second kinetic phase and markedly so (Figure 3). One of the possible causes of this unique fluorescence increase is fluorescence energy transfer between fluorophores in the protein.

The energy absorbed by one fluorophore can be transferred to another distant fluorophore in particular situations. This process can occur when the emission spectra of the donor and the excitation spectra of the acceptor overlap. Further, energy can be transferred from donor to acceptor that are as far apart as 70 Angstroms from one other (Stryer, 1968, 1978). As mentioned above RNase A has six tyrosine residues. It is possible that one of the unmodified tyrosine residues might be transferring energy to the aminotyrosine in $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A

and to one or both of the aminotyrosine in C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A, and in doing so, give rise to a fluorescence increase. To examine the possibility that fluorescence energy transfer can occur between tyrosine and aminotyrosine, model compounds and the aminated proteins were studied under the same conditions as the folding experiment.

3.4.1 Model Compounds

The model compounds examined were N-acetyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester (ATEE) that mimics the tyrosine residue in the protein and 3-amino-L-tyrosine (AT) that mimics aminotyrosine. If an energy transfer occurs in a mixture of the two, there should be an increase in an intensity and a shift in the excitation maxima of the amino tyrosine. In this study, the concentration of ATEE was varied while the concentration of the aminotyrosine was held constant.

The excitation spectra of the mixture of these molecules in different ratios of ATEE to AT is presented in Figure 13. The emission wavelength was set to 350 nm. The excitation spectra show a significant blue shift (290 nm to 286 nm) and an increase in intensity at excitation maximum with increasing tyrosine concentrations. Figure 14 shows the corresponding emission spectra. The spectra are fairly constant in amplitude and have the same maximum.

The fact that the excitation spectra blue shift and the emission maximum remain constant indicates that fluorescence energy transfer is occurring. The rationale for this is explained in the section below. The constant amplitude

FIGURE 13 : Excitation spectra of the mixtures of acetyltyrosine ethyl ester (ATEE) and aminotyrosine (AT), in 35% methanol, $-15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $\text{pH}^* 6$. The emission wavelength was set to 350 nm. The figure legend gives the ratio of ATEE/AT in μM .

FIGURE 14: Emission spectra of the mixture of acetyltyrosine ethyl ester (ATEE) and aminotyrosine (AT), in 35% methanol, -15 °C and pH* 6. The excitation wavelength was set to 288 nm. The figure legend gives the ratio of ATEE/AT in μM .

observed for the emission is due to the fact that the excitation wavelength was chosen at the isosbestic point of the excitation spectra.

3.4.2 Aminotyrosyl RNases

The emission and excitation spectra for amino-derivatives of RNase A were scanned periodically after initiation of folding at pH* 6 to examine the possibility of fluorescence energy transfer. The excitation and emission spectra of the native derivatives and 6 M guanidine hydrochloride unfolded derivatives were also measured.

3.4.2.1 C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A.

Figure 15 shows the excitation spectra for C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A (emission wavelength of 296 nm) as a function of folding time. The excitation maxima for denatured C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and native C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A, 288 nm and 298 nm, respectively, are also given in the figure for comparison. Early in folding (2940 & 6000 sec), the excitation spectra show two maxima at 288 nm and 298 nm, one similar to that for the native protein and one similar to that for denatured protein. As folding proceeds, the amplitude at 298 nm maxima is lost, and at some time between the last measurement (18000 sec) and the final folded state, the 288 nm maximum shifts back to 298 nm.

The emission spectra obtained by periodic scanning during folding is shown in Figure 16. The emission maxima for denatured C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A and

FIGURE 15 : Excitation spectra of C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) in 35% methanol, -15 °C and pH* 6 (emission wavelength of 390 nm) as a function of folding time. The figure legend indicates the folding time at which the spectral scan was initiated. Native and guanidine hydrochloride unfolded (Gu.HCl) C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) are also included.

FIGURE 16 : Emission spectra of C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M), in 35% methanol, -15 °C and pH* 6 (excited at 296 nm) as a function of folding time. The figure legend indicates the folding time at which the spectral scan was initiated. The spectra of native and guanidine hydrochloride unfolded (Gu.HCl) C ϵ 115-NH₂ RNase A (14 μ M) are also included.

native C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A, 364 nm and 384 nm, respectively, are also shown in this figure. All spectra obtained during the folding process have the same maxima observed for native C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A.

The shifting of the excitation maxima is consistent with the formation of an abortive intermediate. Two maxima are observed early in folding. The long-wavelength maxima is coincident with that observed for the native state and the short-wavelength maximum is coincident with that observed for the denatured state. Later, the amplitude for long-wavelength maxima is lost and short-wavelength maximum remains. This is consistent with the formation of a folded state early in folding that must be subsequently unfolded to another intermediate to allow further folding to proceed. Hence, the formation of the folded intermediate must be aborted and continued folding proceeds along a different path. The completion of folding is accompanied by a shifting of the maximum to the long-wavelength maximum given by the native state. Thus, the less folded intermediate must become more compact in order to reach the native state. Although the excitation spectra are consistent with the formation of an abortive intermediate, the emission spectra are not. In this model, changes in the excitation maxima should be accompanied by changes in the emission maxima, as changes in the solvent environment should affect both the ground and excited state. Since the emission maxima remain constant throughout the folding process, the data does not support the abortive intermediate model.

A second possibility is that changes in structure that accompany folding

FIGURE 17 : Excitation spectra of ϵ -Aminotyrosine at pH* 3 and pH* 6 in aqueous solution at room temperature.

FIGURE 18 : Emission spectra of ϵ -Aminotyrosine at pH* 3 and pH* 6 in aqueous solution at room temperature.

serve to alter the pK of the aminotyrosyl group. The excitation spectra for the aminotyrosyl group is highly dependent on the ionization state of the amino functionality. Protonation of the amino group serves to shift the excitation spectrum to shorter wavelengths (Figure 17). The pK of the amino groups in aminophenols are typically near 4.9. Since this experiment was performed at pH* 6, an increase in the pK as small as 0.5 units should have a significant effect on the population of the protonated and deprotonated states and hence on the excitation spectrum. Comparison of the excitation spectra for ϵ -aminotyrosine to the spectra obtained early in folding show this to be a possibility, as the wavelength maxima for the protonated and deprotonated states compare well with the two maxima in the protein spectra. However, the ionization state of ϵ -aminotyrosine also shifts the emission maximum to shorter wavelength (Figure 18). Again, such changes in the emission spectra for the protein were not observed and thus the data does not support this model.

A third possibility is that fluorescence energy transfer is involved. It has been previously established that the fluorescence emission spectrum for tyrosine has significant overlap with the excitation spectrum for amino tyrosine (Punthambekar, 1991). In situations such as this, excitation of the donor species (tyrosine in this particular case) can result in an energy transfer to the acceptor species (aminotyrosine in this particular case). The net result is a decrease in the fluorescence emission of the donor species and an enhanced fluorescence emission from the acceptor species with an emission maximum characteristic of the acceptor species. The degree of enhancement depends primarily on the amount of

overlap between the respective emission and excitation spectra, the distance between the species, the concentration of the donor species, the quantum yield for the donor species, and the nature of the media surrounding the species. At the excitation wavelength used for the pH* 6 kinetics experiments, 288 nm, both tyrosine and aminotyrosine absorb. Thus, the emission amplitude for aminotyrosine residues in aminated RNase A will depend not only on the quantum yield for the aminotyrosine itself, but the amount of energy transfer that occurs.

Fluorescence energy transfer events can be readily monitored through the excitation spectrum. In experiments such as these, the emission is set to a wavelength where only the donor species emits and the excitation spectra are scanned over the excitation bands for both the donor and acceptor species. If energy transfer does not occur to any appreciable degree, the excitation spectrum will be characteristic only of the acceptor species. If, however, energy transfer is significant, the excitation spectrum will be characteristic of both the donor and acceptor species.

The potential for energy transfer between tyrosine and aminotyrosine was examined with model compounds. Figure 13 gives the excitation spectra for solutions containing a fixed amount of aminotyrosine and varying amount of N-acetyltyrosine ethyl ester. The emission wavelength was set to 350 nm where only aminotyrosine emits. The figure clearly shows that increasing concentrations of N-acetyltyrosine ethyl ester serve to shift the excitation spectrum from the long wavelength excitation maximum for aminotyrosine (290 nm) towards the

FIGURE 19 : Excitation spectra of $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A ($14 \mu M$) in 35% methanol, $-15^\circ C$ and $pH^* 6$ (emission wavelength of 350 nm) as a function of folding time. The figure legend indicates the folding time at which the spectral scan was initiated. The spectra for native and guanidine hydrochloride unfolded (Gu.HCl) $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A ($14 \mu M$) are included.

shorter wavelength excitation maximum for N-acetyltyrosine ethyl ester (275 nm). This is precisely what one would expect to observe for energy transfer between these two species. One would not expect to observe independent maxima, as the excitation bands for these species are broad and severely overlap.

The excitation maxima for C^{ε115-NH₂} RNase A (Figure 15) show precisely those changes observed for the model compounds, but in a time dependent manner. This indicates that fluorescence energy transfer between an unmodified tyrosine and the aminotyrosine moiety may explain the kinetic data. This is supported by the fact that the emission maxima remain constant throughout the folding process and that energy transfer does not alter the emission maximum of the acceptor species. By the process of elimination, it appears that fluorescence energy transfer is the most probable explanation. In this light, we believe that the protein initially folds to a species where energy transfer is diminished and thus the excitation maxima is more characteristic of aminotyrosine. Later in folding, the local structure changes in a manner that enhances the transfer and the excitation band shifts to shorter wavelength. The final steps in folding involve the decomposition of these species in to the native structure where transfer is diminished again and thus the excitation maxima shift to longer wavelengths.

3.4.2.2 C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A

The excitation spectra of C^{ε115,76-NH₂} RNase A are shown in Figure 19. The spectral shifts observed for this derivative are similar to that observed for

$C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A, but of smaller magnitude. The excitation maxima for denatured $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A and native $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A, 292 nm and 294 nm respectively, are also shown in this figure. Early in folding (1140 sec, 5220, & 8940 sec), the excitation spectra show two maxima at 286 nm and 294 nm, one similar to that for native protein and one similar to that for denatured protein. The 296 nm maxima appear as a shoulder on the larger 294 nm band. As folding proceeds, the amplitude at 294 nm maxima is lost. At some time between the last measurement (25740 sec) and the final folded state, the 286 nm shifts back to 294 nm.

The emission maxima (Figure 20) for denatured $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A and native $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A are 358 nm and 362 nm respectively. With the exception of the spectrum obtained at 25740 sec, all spectra obtained during the folding process have the same maxima observed for native $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A. The emission spectrum obtained at 25740 sec appears to have a maximum at 354 nm and remains unexplained.

The shifting of the excitation maxima and the stability of the emission maxima indicate that the aforementioned energy transfer model can be applied to the folding of this derivative as well. The diminished magnitude of the shifting indicates that the excitation spectrum for second aminated residue, aminotyrosine-76, does not change with time and thus is not involved in energy

FIGURE 20 : Emission spectra of $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A (14 μM) in 35% methanol, -15 °C and pH* 6 (excitation wavelength of 288 nm) as a function of folding time. The figure legend indicates the folding time at which the spectral scan was initiated. Native and guanidine hydrochloride unfolded (Gu.HCl) $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A (14 μM) are included.

transfer. In addition, since energy transfer is still observed for aminotyrosine -115, Tyr-76 is most likely not involved in energy transfer in C^{ε115}-NH₂ RNase A.

4. CONCLUSION

RNase A contains six tyrosines that serve as intrinsic absorbance and fluorescence probes of structure. However, the probes only provide a global picture of the folding process. Chemical modifications at specific sites, on the other hand, offer information about particular regions. From previous kinetic studies of nitrotyrosyl and aminotyrosyl RNases at pH* 6 and subzero temperature, some knowledge has been gained about the pathway through which this protein folds. In addition, unique kinetics were observed. In this project the subzero temperature studies were extended to pH* 3 and the unique fluorescence increase observed in pH* 6 was examined.

The reoxidation of the amino-derivatives to corresponding nitro-derivatives of RNase A has been successfully prevented by both degassing with vacuum and nitrogen purging. The folding experiments were performed using the degassing protocol.

Investigation of model compounds support the possibility of fluorescence energy transfer. Analysis of the excitation and emission spectra obtained by periodic scanning during folding of aminated RNases, strongly support that energy transfer is taking place during folding of amino-derivatives of RNase A at pH* 6.

The equilibrium unfolding of both $C^{\epsilon 115-NH_2}$ RNase A and $C^{\epsilon 115,76-NH_2}$ RNase A with guanidine hydrochloride and urea failed because of quenching problems. The denaturant must play a role in quenching the aminotyrosine fluorescence in 35 % methanol, at pH* 3 and -15 °C.

The folding of both amino-derivatives of RNase A at -15 °C and pH* 3 shows biphasic kinetics. The rate constants are similar for the two derivatives. Comparison of this result with previous studies indicates that the slowest phase obtained in the refolding of these proteins is pH dependent between pH* 3 and pH* 6, suggesting that the slowest process is not associated with proline isomerization. However, the change in rate for other processes may explain the result as well.

REFERENCES

- Adler, M.; Scheraga, H. A. *Biochemistry* **1990**, 29, 8211.
- Biringer, R. G.; Fink, A. L. *Biochemistry* **1982**, 21, 4748 .
- Biringer, R. G.; Fink, A. L. *Biochemistry* **1988a**, 27, 301.
- Biringer, R. G.; Fink, A. L. *Biochemistry* **1988b**, 27, 315.
- Biringer, R. G.; Fink, A. L.; Austin, C. M. *Biochemistry* **1988**, 27, 311.
- Biringer, R. G.; Puntambekar, B. *Biophys. J.* **1991**, 59, 488a.
- Brand, L.; Bernard, W. *Methods of enzymology* **1967** 11, 776.
- Brandts, J. F.; Halvorson, H. R.; Brennan, M. *Biochemistry* **1975**, 14, 4953.
- Chou, P. Y.; Fasman, G. D. *Adv. Enzymol.* **1978**, 47, 45.
- Cook, K. H.; Schmid, F. X.; Baldwin, R. L.
Proc. Natl. Acad. sci. USA **1979**, 76 (12), 6157.
- Dubchak I.; Hollbrook S. R.; Kim, S.
Proteins: Structure, Function, and genetics **1993** , 16, 79.
- Francoise, F. D; Pezolet, M. *Biochemistry* **1990**, 29, 8771.
- Garel, J. R.; Baldwin, R. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. sci. USA* **1973**, 70, 3347.
- Guggenheim, E. A. *Phil. Mag.* **1926**, 2, 538.
- Hendrickson, W. A., Protein Engineering; Alan R. Liss: New York, 1987; pp 5-13.
- Hendsch, Z. S.; Tidor B. *Protein Science* **1994**, 3, 211.
- Houry, W. A.; Rothwarf, D. M.; Scheraga H. A. *Biochemistry* **1994**, 33, 2516.
- Kim P.; Baldwin, R. *Ann. Rev. Bioch.* **1982**, 51, 459.
- Levinthal, C. *J. chim. Phys.* **1968**, 65, 44.
- Lin, L. N.; Brandts, J. F. *Biochemistry* **1983**, 22, 573.
- Lin, L. N.; Brandts, J. F. *Biochemistry* **1984**, 23, 5713.

- Lin, L. N.; Brandts, J. F. *Biochemistry* **1983**, 22, 559.
- Puntambekar, B. Thesis, San Jose State University, Aug. 1991.
- Privalov, P. L. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **1979**, 33, 167.
- Privalov, P. L.; Gill, S. J. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **1988**, 39, 193.
- Privalov, P. L.; Khechinashvili, N. N. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1974**, 86, 665.
- Schmid, F. X. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1981**, 114, 105.
- Schmid, F. X.; Blaschek, H. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1981**, 114, 111.
- Schmid, F. X. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1982**, 128, 77.
- Schmid, F. X. *Biochemistry* **1983**, 22, 4690.
- Schulz, D.A.; Schmid, F. X.; Baldwin, R. L. *Science* **1992**, 1, 917.
- Stryer, L. *Science* **1968**, 162, 526.
- Stryer, L. *ann. rev. Biochem.* **1978**, 47, 819.
- Stokkum, I. H. M.; Spoelder, H. J. W.; Bloemendal, M.; Grondelle, R.; Groen, F. C. *Analytical Biochemistry* **1990**, 191, 110.
- Stokkum, I. H. M.; Pribic R., Chapman, D.; Haris, P. I.; Bloemendal, M. *Analytical Biochemistry* **1993**, 214, 366.
- Wetlaufer, D. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1973**, 70, 697.