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Around the Greenlandic and Antarctic coastlines, sediment plumes associated with glaciers are significant sources of lithogenic material to the ocean. These plumes contain elevated concentrations of a range of trace metals, especially in particle bound phases, but it is not clear how these particles affect dissolved (<0.2 µm) metal distributions in the ocean. Here we show, using transects in 8 glacier fjords, trends in the distribution of dissolved iron, cobalt, nickel and copper (dFe, dCo, dNi, dCu). Following rapid dFe loss close to glacier outflows, dFe concentrations in particular showed strong similarities between different fjords. Similar dFe concentrations were also observed between seasons/years when Nuup Kangerlua (SW Greenland) was revisited in spring, mid- and late-summer. Dissolved Cu, dCo and dNi concentrations were more variable and showed different gradients with salinity depending on the fjord, season and year. The lack of consistent trends for dCu and dNi largely reflects less pronounced differences contrasting the concentration of inflowing shelf waters with fresher glacially-modified waters. Particles also made only small contributions to total dissolvable Cu (dCu constituted 83 ± 28% of total dissolvable Cu) and Ni (dNi constituted 86 ± 28% of total dissolvable Ni) within glacier plumes. For comparison, dFe was a lower fraction of total dissolvable Fe; 3.5 ± 4.8%. High concentrations of total dissolvable Fe in some inner-fjord environments, up to 77 µM in Ameralik (SW Greenland), may drive enhanced removal of scavenged type elements, such as Co. Further variability may have been driven by local bedrock mineralogy, which could explain high concentrations of dNi (25–29 nM) and dCo (6–7 nM) in one coastal region of west Greenland (Kangaatsiaq). Our results suggest that dissolved trace element distributions in glacier fjords are influenced by a range of factors including: freshwater concentrations, local geology, drawdown by scavenging and primary production, saline inflow, and sediment dynamics. Considering the lack of apparent...
seasonality in dFe concentrations, we suggest that fluxes of some trace elements may scale proportionately to fjord overturning rather than directly to freshwater discharge flux.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Trace metals such as iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), and copper (Cu) are essential micronutrients for phytoplankton (Twining and Baines, 2013). Their chemical speciation and availability in aquatic systems may affect primary production and ecosystem functioning (Coale, 1991; Downs et al., 2008; Tagliabue et al., 2017). The low solubility of inorganic Fe species in sea water (Liu and Millero, 2002), combined with the high cellular demand for Fe as an essential component of photosystems I and II, and nitrogenase enzymes (Raven et al., 1999; Whittaker et al., 2011), means that primary production across a significant part of the ocean is (co)limited by Fe availability (Martin and Fitzwater, 1988; Martin et al., 1990). Whilst Fe-limitation of marine primary production is widely observed, dissolved Co concentrations are also deficient with respect to phytoplankton requirements across much of the surface ocean (Moore et al., 2013). Varying degrees of (co-)limitation of marine primary production by Co have been experimentally demonstrated (Saito et al., 2005; Browning et al., 2017). Furthermore, the stoichiometry of micronutrient availability may have effects on microbial community composition, carbon export and N2-fixation even under conditions where dissolved metal concentrations are replete with respect to mean microbial cellular quotas (Moore et al., 2009; Twining and Baines, 2013; Browning et al., 2019).

Around the coastal periphery of the Arctic and Antarctic, glaciers, icebergs and freshwater runoff are significant sources of lithogenic material into the ocean (Azetsu-Scott and Syvitski, 1999; Shaw et al., 2011; Overeem et al., 2017). Whilst runoff has generally low concentrations of macronutrients (Meire et al., 2016a), it contains relatively high concentrations of particulate and some dissolved trace metals (Statham et al., 2008; Annet et al., 2017; Hawking et al., 2020). The associated metals can enter the ocean either in suspension, solution, or via atmospheric deposition of glacier-derived aerosols (Cowan and Powell, 1990; Prospero et al., 2012; Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). Due to interest in Fe-fertilization that arises around parts of the Antarctic coastline (Alderkanp et al., 2012; Gerringa et al., 2012), the total supply of particulate Fe from such glacier associated sources is moderately well constrained (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). Yet phytoplankton primarily take up Fe from the dissolved phase (Shaked and Lis, 2012; Lis et al., 2015). The factors that control exchange of Fe, and other trace elements, between glacier-derived particulate and dissolved phases (Lippiatt et al., 2010; Thuroczy et al., 2012; Ardiningsih et al., 2020) and how such exchanges are moderated by physical and chemical processes around the ice sheet peripheries, are less well understood (Sherrell et al., 2015; Annet et al., 2017; St-Laurent et al., 2017). These coastal dynamics may contribute to regional differences in dissolved trace metal availability in the marine environment (Marsay et al., 2017; Dinniman et al., 2020; Duprat et al., 2020).

Glacier fjords, which represent the interface between the cryosphere and ocean around much of Greenland and the Antarctic Peninsula, represent key transition zones where water mass transformations, biological activity, benthic processes, and intense sedimentation impact the lateral and vertical exchange of nutrients (Wehrmann et al., 2013; Cape et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2020). Beyond scarce data for Fe and manganese (e.g., Annet et al., 2015; Crusiús et al., 2017; Monien et al., 2017; Sherrell et al., 2018; Kanna et al., 2020), and some trace metal observations in freshwater proglacial and subglacial environments (Aciego et al., 2015; Hodson et al., 2017; Hawking et al., 2020), there is very limited knowledge of what controls the distribution of dissolved trace metals downstream of the plumes emerging along glaciated coastlines (Dierssen et al., 2002; Markussen et al., 2016; Kanna et al., 2020). Understanding the biogeochemical transformations of trace metals across these interfaces is important for constraining the effects of time-dependent freshwater discharge in marine environments (Cauvy-Frauné and Dangles, 2019; Holmes et al., 2019; Meredith et al., 2019). This is particularly the case for non-conservative trace elements, such as Fe, where the strong gradients in temperature, salinity, and turbidity in glacier fjords can substantially moderate dissolved fluxes (Schroth et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Kanna et al., 2020).

The multiple spatially overlapping sources/sinks of trace elements in dynamic glacier fjord systems make it challenging to determine the importance of different processes that impact trace metal availability. Here we conduct a process study to investigate trace metal dynamics across the salinity gradient in a series of glacier fjords. In addition to contrasting the behaviour of select trace elements (Fe, Co, Ni and Cu) in multiple fjords close to the peak of the annual meltwater season. We also include repeat sections of Nuup Kangerlua and Ameralik (SW Greenland) in order to gain insight into seasonal variability. We contrast the distribution of the macronutrients nitrate, phosphate, and silicic acid; with the micronutrients dissolved Fe, Co, Cu, and Ni.

**METHODS**

**Trace Metal Sampling**

Along-jford transects were conducted in both Antarctica and Greenland (Table 1; Figure 1). Fjord transects in W Greenland and spring cruises in SW Greenland on RV Sanna used a towfish system to provide an underway trace metal clean water supply. A custom made towfish device was mounted on a winch at ~1 m depth ~2 m away from the ship when underway. Water was
TABLE 1 | Sample collection details for glacier fjord transects. Salinity (S) gradient refers to surface (<10 m depth) waters. The section length is shown as the approximate range of distances from the main glacier terminus/outflow along sampled transects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier fjord system</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Sample dates</th>
<th>S gradient</th>
<th>Distance/ km</th>
<th>Discharge estimates</th>
<th>Annual sea ice extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuup Kangertlua (Godthåbsfjord)</td>
<td>SW Greenland</td>
<td>4–5 May 2019</td>
<td>32.0–33.2</td>
<td>14–128</td>
<td>Subglacial discharge (June–August); Narsap Semia 326 m³ s⁻¹; Kangia Nunata Semia 331 m³ s⁻¹ (Sister et al., 2019)</td>
<td>Ice mélangé in inner fjord October–June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 August 2019</td>
<td>7.9–25.6</td>
<td>51–126</td>
<td>Runoff: 11.26 ± 3.29 km³ yr⁻¹ (Mankoff et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 September 2019</td>
<td>12.7–27.7</td>
<td>14–126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameralik (Lysefjord)</td>
<td>SW Greenland</td>
<td>7 May 2019</td>
<td>31.4–32.8</td>
<td>9–59</td>
<td>Runoff: 2.16 ± 0.54 km³ yr⁻¹ (Mankoff et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Open year round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 August 2019</td>
<td>4.0–27.7</td>
<td>1–68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nioghalvfjerdsbrae, (the 79° North Glacier, hereafter “79NG”)</td>
<td>NE Greenland</td>
<td>1–30 August 2016</td>
<td>24.2–33.8</td>
<td>0.1–391</td>
<td>Basal melting: 560 ± 170 m³ s⁻¹; total freshwater flux: 630 ± 210 m³ s⁻¹ (Schäffer et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Ice mélangé year round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20–21 July 2019</td>
<td>6.3–33.5</td>
<td>3.6–30</td>
<td>Runoff: 1.51 ± 0.28 km³ yr⁻¹ (Mankoff et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qasigiannguit</td>
<td>W Greenland</td>
<td>22–23 July 2019</td>
<td>13.6–32.2</td>
<td>6–97</td>
<td>Runoff: 15.24 ± 4.31 km³ yr⁻¹ (Mankoff et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangaatsiaq</td>
<td>W Greenland</td>
<td>26 July 2019</td>
<td>3.0–34.1</td>
<td>2–55</td>
<td>Runoff: 0.57 ± 0.19 km³ yr⁻¹ (Mankoff et al., 2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disko Island</td>
<td>W Greenland</td>
<td>23 January 2018</td>
<td>33.6–35.4</td>
<td>0.1–13</td>
<td>Potter Cove subglacial discharge: 2.5 m³ s⁻¹ (Méthot et al., 2018) Ice calving for Fourcade Glacier: 6.9 ± 1.8 x10⁻³ 1.5 km³ yr⁻¹ (Falk et al., 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell Bay, King George Island</td>
<td>South Shetland Islands</td>
<td>12 February 2018</td>
<td>34.2–34.7</td>
<td>0.4–5.2</td>
<td>No known discharge estimates</td>
<td>Sea ice June–November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Bay, Doumer Island</td>
<td>Western Antarctic Peninsula</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pOne of four coves that surround the Bay area.
†Data from GEOTRACES cruise PS100 (Klöck et al., 2021).
MTMarine-terminating glaciers present within this catchment.

Annual runoff data from Mankoff et al. (2020) is reported as mean ± standard deviation for RACMO data 2014–2019 as per Supplementary Note.

pumped continuously through tubing which was pre-cleaned with 0.1 M HCl (reagent grade), with the suction provided by a Teflon diaphragm pump (Dellmeco, Germany, DM15). This continuous seawater supply was sampled inside a laminar flow hood. On RV Polarstern during GEOTRACES cruise PS100/ GN05 in Fram Strait, near-surface samples (<10 m depth) were collected from Go-Flo samplers (Ocean Test Equipment) mounted on an epoxy-coated, trace metal clean rosette (Seabird, see Krisch et al., 2021). In other fjords, sampling from small rigid inflatable vessels was conducted from surface waters using acid cleaned 1 L high-density polyethylene bottles deployed upwind of the boat, which were rinsed once and then filled and sub-sampled.

**Trace Element Analysis**

Trace metal clean low-density polyethylene (LDPE, Nalgene) bottles were prepared via a three-stage washing procedure (1 day in Mucasol detergent, 1 week in reagent grade 1.2 M HCl, and 1 week in reagent grade 1.2 M HNO₃) and then stored empty and double bagged in LDPE bags until use. Total dissolvable (Td) trace metal samples were collected without filtration in trace metal clean 125 ml LDPE bottles. Dissolved trace element samples, defined operationally as convention at <0.2 µm, were syringe filtered (Millipore, 0.2 µm, polyvinyl difluoride), or filtered inline from the towfish system (AcroPak, 0.8/0.2 µm, polyethersulfone), into trace metal clean 125 ml LDPE bottles. Syringe filters were precleaned with 1 M laboratory grade HCl then de-ionized water. All samples were then acidified to pH 1.9 by the addition of concentrated HCl (180 µl, UpA grade, Romil) within 1 day of sample collection and stored for >6 months prior to analysis.

Total dissolvable samples were then filtered to remove any suspended particles (Millipore, 0.2 µm, polyvinyl difluoride), diluted using 1 M distilled HNO₃ (SpA grade, Romil, distilled using a sub-boiling PFA distillation system, DST-1000, Savillex) and subsequently analyzed by high resolution inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, ELEMENT XR, ThermoFisherScientific) with calibration by standard addition. Dissolved samples were preconcentrated offline using a seaFAST pre-concentration system and then analysed via ICP-MS exactly as per Rapp et al. (2017) with calibration via standard addition for Co, and isotope dilution for Fe, Ni, and Cu. Measured concentrations are reported throughout as nmol L⁻¹. To verify the accuracy of trace element measurements reference materials were analysed alongside samples (Supplementary Table S1). Coastal concentrations for other sections of the Greenland shelf were obtained from cruises GEOVIDE (stations 53, 56,60, 61 and 63; Tonnard et al., 2020), D354 (profiles 10–14; Achterberg et al., 2018; Achterberg et al., 2020) and PS100 (east of 15°W, as per Figure 1, Krisch et al., 2021) with sample handling,
analysis and quality control following GEOTRACES protocols (Cutter and Bruland, 2012).

**Macronutrients**

Dissolved macronutrient concentrations [nitrate + nitrite, NOx; phosphate, PO4; and silicic acid, Si(OH)4] were syringe filtered (Millipore, 0.2 µm, polyvinyl difluoride) and stored refrigerated in the dark until analysis. Samples from transects that could not be analysed within 2 days were frozen (-20°C). Macronutrient concentrations were measured via a Quatro 39 System (SEAL) segmented flow analyser with a XY2 Autosampler (Hansen and Koroleff, 1999). Detection limits, defined as three standard deviations of blank (deionized water) measurements, varied between batches yet were consistently <0.10 µM PO4, <0.10 µM NOx and <0.25 µM Si(OH)4 with precision (defined as one standard deviation of triplicate samples) of 2.0% PO4, 3.1% NOx and 0.5% Si(OH)4.

**Physical Data**

Where possible, sampling was coordinated to occur alongside CTD (conductivity, temperature, and depth) profiles, with salinity, temperature, and other parameters at 1 m depth reported alongside trace metal data. When/where CTD profiles were not taken in close coordination with surface sampling, a handheld LF 325 conductivity meter (WTW) was used to record in situ temperature and salinity.

**Subglacial Discharge Plume Evaluation**

The effect of subglacial discharge on fjord-scale macronutrient dynamics has been characterised in multiple glacier fjords (Meire et al., 2016a; Cape et al., 2018; Kanna et al., 2018). We investigate to what extent a similar mixing process could impact observed surface trace metal distributions. Data were compiled describing metal distributions on the Greenland shelf and in freshwater to produce a mean shelf profile and freshwater concentration (Supplementary Tables S2, S3). Shelf data was grouped into 50 m vertical bins. Bin-averaged profiles were then interpolated onto a 1 m vertical resolution grid to set the plume model initial condition. Direct sampling of zero salinity subglacial discharge near large marine-terminating glacier fronts is practically impossible and so some assumptions must be made. We initially assume that freshwater dissolved trace metal concentrations measured in proglacial environments at the few reported sites around Greenland are comparable to those expected in discharge released from large marine-terminating glaciers. Depth profiles from 3 cruises (GEOVIDE in south Greenland, D354 in east Greenland, and PS100 in north-east Greenland, Sarthou et al., 2018; Achterberg et al., 2020; Krisch et al., 2020) and freshwater concentrations from 4 broad regions (Supplementary Table S2) were available for dFe, dNi, and dCu (Statham et al., 2008; Bhatia et al., 2013; Aciego et al., 2015; Hawkings et al., 2020). For dCo insufficient data coverage means that uncertainties cannot be evaluated.
A steady-state plume model is used to characterize subglacial discharge plumes rising along a melting, vertical glacier terminus. The governing equations are defined by plume theory (Morton et al., 1956; Jenkins, 2011; Carroll et al., 2015) and previously demonstrated to approximately represent the mixing of macronutrients downstream of large Greenlandic outflows (Cape et al., 2018; Oliver et al., 2020). The model formulation represents a half-conical plume forced by a point source of subglacial discharge (Eqs 1–3). Initial plume temperature and salinity are set to the pressure-salinity-dependent melting point and 0, respectively; all model parameters are as described previously (Cowton et al., 2015; Carroll et al., 2016). To simulate the flux of meltwater into the subglacial discharge plume from glacier terminus melt, a three-equation model is solved Holland and Jenkins (1999) describing conservation of heat and salt at the ocean-ice boundary, combined with a liquidus constraint at the interface:

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{C_d}{h} \cdot \frac{u}{\rho} \cdot (T_{\text{plume}} - T_b) \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{mS_b}{L} = \frac{C_s}{h} \cdot \frac{u}{\rho} \cdot (S_{\text{plume}} - S_b) \tag{2}
\]

\[
T_b = \lambda_1 S_b + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 z \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{\pi b^2 u[nut]}{L} \right) = \pi b au[nut] + 2b m[nut] \tag{4}
\]

\( b \) is the plume radius and \( a \) is the entrainment constant (set to 0.1). Subscripts of \( s \) represent concentrations in seawater and subscripts of \( m \) represent concentrations in submarine glacier terminus melt. As there is presently insufficient data to distinguish concentrations of dissolved trace metals in runoff and submarine ice melt, we assume approximate equivalence between these concentrations, although recognition that the later may be lower due to a lesser extent of bedrock interaction (e.g., silicic acid concentrations in runoff may be 10 times higher than in melted ice samples, Meire et al., 2016a). This does not materially affect the calculated fluxes for the scenarios, as submarine melt contributes <1% to concentrations in the plume for all cases herein.

### Statistical Analysis of Transects

In order to compare and contrast metal distributions in different geographical contexts, an additional distance factor was computed for each sampled location. A lateral distance to the most terrestrially influenced point within each studied region was determined from satellite imagery. The coordinates for 0 km represent either the centre-point of the most relevant terminus/glacier fed river at the coastline or, where no such single feature is evident, the innermost point in the fjord. The relationships among dissolved metal concentrations, macronutrients, salinity and lateral distance were analysed by means of a Redundancy Analysis (RDA). RDA is a constrained ordination procedure which consists of a multiresponse, multiple linear regression followed by a principal component analysis (see Borcard et al., 2011). The RDA function (package vegan) within R version 4.0.2 was used to perform the RDA (R Core Team, 2012; Oksanen et al., 2020), while site scores were calculated as the weighted sum of species. RDA overall significance and the significance of RDA axes was assessed by a permutation test (10,000 iterations) using the anova.cca function (vegan package) to avoid the problems caused by non-normal distributions (Borcard et al., 2011).

As 2014 dFe data from Nuup Kangerlua is also available (Hopwood et al., 2016), seasonal and interannual changes in dFe within this fjord were studied using General Additive Models (GAMs) (Hastie and Tibshirani, 2014). GAMs are able to portray smooth changes in the effects of their predictors, which is advantageous for representing non-linear effects such as the typical behaviour of dFe across salinity gradients (Boyle et al., 1977). GAMs were generated using the mgcv package (Wood, 2011). Non-linear effects of the predictors were minimized by reducing the generalized cross-validation score and thus the complexity of the smoothing. A series of GAMs was generated within R in order to assess if dFe distribution was better predicted by the salinity gradient, lateral distance or a combination of both variables. Simultaneously, a possible seasonal or interannual effect was tested by generating GAMs including a temporal factor. Using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to select the best fitting and most parsimonious model (i.e., Model Selection) we were able to discern which variable was driving dFe patterns and whether or not a temporal variation affected these patterns (Supplementary Table S5).

### RESULTS

**Overview of Conditions Between Glacier Fjords**

We present 131 datapoints (Supplementary Data) with combined micro- and macronutrient concentrations from 5 geographic regions (Figure 1). The transects conducted herein were designed to target areas receiving the most intense glacier discharge. Transects were conducted in a variety of catchments with contrasting glacier and fjord dynamics, such as the presence of land-terminating or marine-terminating glaciers, submarine sills, and sea ice seasonality (Table 1). Nuup Kangerlua hosts both land- and marine-terminating glaciers. Ameralik, and the three Disko Bay systems receive runoff from only land-terminating glaciers. Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden hosts only a marine-terminating glacier with a large floating ice tongue. Maxwell Bay and South Bay both sit adjacent to small ice caps with numerous small outflows. In addition to the contrasting...
positions of glacier fronts with respect to sea level, the fractional importance of different freshwater sources varies between sites. Nioghalvfjerdsbrae is unusual compared to the other systems herein in that a majority of freshwater is derived from basal ice melt from a floating ice tongue (Schaffer et al., 2020). For Nuup Kangerlua, in addition to runoff, a substantial fraction of ice calved into the fjord, ~70%, melts along the fjord, mainly in the inner-fjord (Bendtsen et al., 2015; Mortensen et al., 2020). Furthermore, all the west Greenlandic sites studied herein additionally receive runoff from non-glacial sources.

The salinity gradients observed reflect different discharge rates and residence times of freshwater within these regions (Table 1). Greenlandic fjords, which are generally far longer and receive much higher discharge than their Antarctic counterparts (Straneo and Cenedese, 2015; Mankoff et al., 2020), have much more pronounced salinity gradients which occur over larger spatial scales (Table 1). Whilst estimates for annual runoff, ice calving,
and subglacial discharge rate are only available for some catchments (the larger Greenlandic case studies), it is evident from the limited available data that the two Antarctic sites are subject to very low freshwater inputs by comparison. Temporally, it should also be noted that the higher latitude systems experience sea ice cover for a large fraction of the year and thus summertime transects occurred in closer proximity to sea ice breakup than at lower latitude sites (Table 1).

**Micronutrient Distributions**

Trace metals showed varying relationships with salinity when data from all catchments (n = 131 datapoints) were considered collectively (Figure 2). The distribution of dCo and dNi across all sites would be relatively linear were it not for a cluster of high concentration data points at salinities 15–20, all of which are from Kangaaatsiaq [see Unique Features in the Disko Bay Region (Qasigiannguit, Kangaaatsiaq and Disko Fjord)]. The distribution of dCu showed a distinct arc suggesting that its distribution cannot be explained only by mixing between fresh and saline endmembers. Dissolved Fe shows a sharp decline in dFe concentration at low salinities, which is observed across most, if not all, estuarine gradients (Boyle et al., 1977) and is well described in other glaciated regions (Schroth et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Kanna et al., 2020), followed by more linear behaviour at higher salinities (Figure 2).

Across a selection of sites, a subset of unfiltered acidified samples were retained to determine total dissolvable metal concentrations (Supplementary Data). This is a reproducible measure of the labile (acid soluble) fraction of metals present in any suspended particles (Edwards et al., 2006). As higher concentration samples were run following dilution, rather than by preconcentration, this often precludes the accurate analysis of metals with lower concentrations (herein the majority of Co data points), so only TdFe, TdNi, and TdCu data is presented. There are marked differences in the relative size of the total dissolvable and dissolved metal pools for these elements. Over the whole dataset, dFe constituted 3.5 ± 4.8% of TdFe, dNi 86 ± 28% of TdNi, and dCu 83 ± 28% of TdCu (n = 73).

Whilst it is clear that the dissolved and total dissolvable Ni and Cu inventories are very similar, i.e. the total dissolvable concentrations largely consisted of dissolved metal, the relationship between dFe and TdFe is unclear. Correlation between the two measurements is poor (linear regression R² 0.17, p < 0.01). The ratio of dFe:TdFe herein and lack of a close correlation is similar to findings elsewhere in other glaciated regions and suggests de-coupling between the controls on dFe and TdFe concentration (Lippiatt et al., 2010; Schroth et al., 2014; Kanna et al., 2020).

**DISCUSSION**

**Cross-Site (Micro)Nutrient Distributions**

Macronutrient distributions are fundamentally different in Antarctic and Atlantic/Arctic regions due to the pronounced High-Nitrate, Low-Chlorophyll (HNLC) status of the Southern Ocean. Pronounced upwelling of nutrient rich deep waters combined with extensive Fe-limitation of primary production across the Southern Ocean facilitates higher concentrations of phosphate, nitrate and silicic acid in surface waters than are observed anywhere in the northern hemisphere (Martin et al., 1999; Moore et al., 2013). These significant differences between the northern Arctic/Atlantic and southern Antarctic field locations herein are apparent in a Redundancy Analysis when comparing nutrient distributions across all sites (Figure 3). Maxwell Bay (King George Island) and South Bay (western Antarctic Peninsula) cluster together when considering all trace metal distributions and the main physical factors, salinity and lateral distance, that are expected to influence the concentrations close to glacial outflows. The RDA explains 23% of the variance for all macronutrients and trace metals across all sites using two explanatory variables (Figure 3).

The weak fit for all summertime data collectively is partially due to the variable salinity-trace metal trends among catchments; clear delineation between HNLC and Arctic/Atlantic conditions with respect to macronutrient concentrations; and also to the predominance of vertical processes (i.e., mixing and remineralization), rather than lateral processes, in controlling macronutrient distributions (e.g., Cape et al., 2018; Kanna et al., 2018). High concentrations of the trace elements Fe, Ni, Co and Cu, when considered across all catchments, are associated with lower salinity (Figures 2, 3); whereas high concentrations of macronutrients are generally associated with higher salinity (Figure 3). Lateral distance from the main glacier outflows was a less important explanatory variable, particularly for dCu, but did exert some influence on the distribution of dFe, dNi, dCo and macronutrients. It should be noted however that this distance is more arbitrary and less quantitative than salinity because its significance and correlation with other key parameters (including salinity) certainly varies between sites.

General trends in trace metal distributions can also be compared to macronutrients. In the Arctic, large glacier fjords are typically characterised by the inflow of saline, macronutrient rich waters at depth, followed by the outflow of glacially modified freshwater near the surface (Straneo and Cenedese, 2015). Around Antarctica, shelf macronutrient concentrations are much higher. Whilst the same mixing processes, driven by katabatic winds and subglacial discharge plumes, still influence macronutrient distributions in these regions (Cape et al., 2019), they are simply less evident in relative terms because of the higher macronutrient concentrations throughout the water column. The contribution of runoff to macronutrient concentrations in coastal seawater therefore depends on the geographical location, depth and timing of discharge release. Runoff more consistently affects the ratio of macronutrients compared to absolute concentrations because silicic acid is almost invariably enriched in runoff relative to nitrate and phosphate (Meire et al., 2016a), and thus Si* (Eq. 5, Sarmiento et al., 2004) may be a more useful tracer of runoff than macronutrient concentrations.
Si* is consistently positive in all low salinity (<20) glacially-modified waters (Figure 4), which are present only in Arctic locations. As expected from the high ratio of silicate:nitrate in runoff (Meire et al., 2016a), Si* shows a reasonably good linear fit ($R^2 0.67$, $p$ value <0.001) with salinity. The two Antarctic sites have notably higher Si* values due to the much higher concentrations of silicic acid relative to NO$_3$ in the Southern Ocean. For the Arctic, the highest concentrations of some dissolved trace metals are generally associated with these low salinity, high Si* values (Figure 4), although there are notable variations. For dCo and dNi this may partially be driven by an unusually strong regional enrichment found in Kangaatsiaq (West Greenland) where we were only able to sample at mid-salinity. Yet dCu is also less clearly related to Si*, implying that any glacially-associated sources from these elements are too minor to be evident, or that Si* and trace metal sources are spatially de-coupled. Sedimentary exchange, melting icebergs, and discharge from land- or marine-glaciers are all likely to exert differing degrees of influence on the distribution of all elements considered herein (Wehrmann et al., 2013; Hawkings et al., 2020; Krisch et al., 2021).

The poor relationship between dCu and Si* (Figure 4) is particularly interesting, because a major driver of non-conservative dCu behavior in some estuaries is the weathering of silicates (Windom et al., 1991). Dissolved Cu distribution between estuaries globally is highly variable. In some estuaries almost perfect conservative behavior is apparent, whereas elsewhere absorption of dCu onto particle surfaces at low salinities, or the release of dCu from particles at high salinities, is invoked to explain non-conservative dCu distributions (Boyle et al., 1982; Windom et al., 1983; Waeles et al., 2005). In Disko Fjord and Kangaatsiaq dCu and Si* correlated closely (linear regression $R^2 0.59$ and 0.78, respectively), indicating that silicate weathering was potentially a major driver of dCu distribution. Yet in other fjords there was no apparent correlation, or the distribution was inverted. In addition to the potential for high turbidity in inner-fjord environments to scavenge dCu, a further confounding factor for the Greenlandic case studies herein is that the dCu concentration in unmodified saline waters is quite high relative to the low salinity enrichment (e.g., mean dCu concentration at 100 m depth for Greenland shelf stations averaging PS100, GEOVIDE and D354 data is $1.84 \pm 0.78$ nM at salinity $34.1 \pm 0.75$, $n = 14$). The dCu distribution observed

$$Si^* = [Si(OH)_4] - [NO_3]$$ (5)
(Figure 2) is therefore consistent with trends observed in lower latitude estuaries and suggests a combination of dCu absorption and release, possibly with a contribution from silicate weathering in some catchments, leads to a varying extent of non-conservative behavior for dCu in glacier fjords.

A further implication of the Si\* trend observed for Arctic sites (Figure 4), is that the field surveys herein are likely capturing a large part of the regional scale over which glacial outflow directly influences macronutrient stoichiometry of outflowing near-surface waters. Si\* is slightly negative in the relatively high macronutrient saline inflowing waters, which have been characterised previously at two of the sites discussed herein (−3.5 ± 1.8 µM for Nuup Kangerlua, Meire et al., 2017; and −5.0 ± 0.3 µM for 79NG, Krisch et al., 2021). This is similar to shelf surface waters elsewhere around Greenland (e.g. −2.2 ± 1.4 µM, D354, Achterberg et al., 2020; −0.78 ± 3.8 GEOVIDE, Sarthou et al., 2018). Si\* however increases markedly to high values of up to +50 µM at low salinities, and is then steadily reduced down to ~0 due to drawdown associated with diatom productivity and mixing processes at intermediate salinities. In Nuup Kangerlua, the best studied field location herein with respect to annual trends in microplankton growth (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015), diatoms account for a mean of 85% of microplankton abundances from July to October (Krawczyk et al., 2015). As Si\* returns to values close to zero by salinities of ~30, which generally corresponds to stations in outer fjord areas around Greenland, it can be approximated that macronutrient stoichiometry is restored to ratios that resemble the shelf within these large fjord systems.

**Insight Into Seasonality**

In Nuup Kangerlua and the neighbouring Ameralik, transects were conducted over multiple seasons. Nuup Kangerlua is among the best studied glacier fjords in Greenland. A long-term Greenland Ecosystem Monitoring station (GF3, Figure 1) in the mouth of Nuup Kangerlua (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015), modelled liquid discharge data products (Mankoff et al., 2020) and prior surveys of the fjord (Mortensen et al., 2011; Mortensen et al., 2014; Meire et al., 2017) provide a contextual framework to discuss the seasonal timing of results obtained herein (Figure 5). In addition to precipitation and non-glacier runoff, Nuup Kangerlua receives discharge from several land-terminating
systems, and three marine terminating glaciers: Narsap Sermia, Akugdlerssûp Sermia and Kangiata Nunâta Sermia (Mortensen et al., 2011; Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015). Primary production at GF3 peaks twice annually, with high primary productivity observed in April–May (the spring bloom) and July–August (the summer bloom). Accordingly, minima in nitrate concentrations at GF3 are typically observed in July and silicic acid minima in July/September (Figure 5, Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015).

Consistent with the general seasonal trends in prior work, a boreal spring transect of Nuup Kangerlua was characterised by high salinity (32.0–33.2) surface waters with a slight gradient towards fresher conditions moving into the inner-fjord. Fjord ice blocked further passage towards the major glaciers beyond ~GF13. The freshwater entering Nuup Kangerlua in spring is largely runoff, a fraction of which is snowmelt which typically occurs from late April onwards, and glacial ice melt (Mortensen et al., 2013). Subglacial discharge from the largest marine-terminating glacier, Kangiata Nunâta Sermia, is not significant over-winter and does not become a major feature until August. June–July is characterized by the onset of significant runoff but limited subglacial discharge (Mortensen et al., 2013). In August (2019), a low salinity (7.9–25.6) plume was observed along the fjord surface which remained in September (12.7–27.7). Annual salinity minima obtained from a mooring in the main fjord close to GF10 (Figure 1) were previously found to occur in September at both 63 and 147 m depth (Mortensen et al., 2014). Modelled runoff data from 2019 (Mankoff et al., 2020) suggests liquid glacier runoff into Nuup Kangerlua first reached 10 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ on Julian day 92, 100 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ on day 124 and peaked at 4,325 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ on day 199.

For all transects, icebergs were encountered along Nuup Kangerlua with the highly-variable distribution depending on, among other factors, local wind conditions. Turbidity was notably elevated close to the outflow of Lake Tasersuaq (close to station GF11, Figure 1) in all cruises, but more so in August and September. It should be noted that turbidity is visibly enhanced in this mid-fjord region throughout the year driven by tidal mixing (see, for example, http://ocean.dmi.dk/arctic/nuuk.uk.php, last accessed May 2021). The May transect conducted herein (Table 1) can therefore be described as occurring close to the peak of the spring bloom, with the fjord subject to runoff and glacial ice melt but not yet significant subglacial discharge. The August transect occurred during a time period which is also characterized by high productivity in the inner- and outer-fjord (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015; Meire et al., 2017), shortly after the time of peak annual liquid discharge into the fjord (Mankoff et al., 2020). Finally, the September transect occurred when primary production is generally lower (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015; Meire et al., 2017), glacier runoff into the fjord has dropped significantly from its annual peak, but whilst the freshwater content of the fjord’s upper water column is still high and maybe close to its annual maxima (Mortensen et al., 2014).

Ameralik is located south of Nuup Kangerlua, with the entrance to the two systems connected via an archipelago-like...
coastal area (close to station GF3, Figure 1), although the oceanographic circulation in this region is presently uncharacterised. In contrast to Nuup Kangerlua, Ameralik receives freshwater from only land-terminating systems and is thus not locally impacted by icebergs. A land-terminating glacier outflow close to station AM12 (Figure 1) transports a large quantity of sediment into the fjord which creates a strong along-fjord gradient in turbidity. The seasonal evolution of surface salinity here was similar to Nuup Kangerlua with salinities as low as 4.0 (range 4.0–27.7) measured in the shallow inner-fjord in August (2019), and a narrower salinity gradient (31.4–32.8) in May. Wintertime oceanographic measurements from Ameralik are not available, but modelled runoff data suggests a similar runoff distribution curve to Nuup Kangerlua, with 10 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ first reached on Julian day 101 and peak runoff occurring at 710 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ on day 199 (Mankoff et al., 2020).

The distribution of nutrients is easier to interpret in Ameralik because the major sources of turbidity and freshwater are co-located in the inner-most fjord. In May, surface macronutrient concentrations were drawn down to low levels in Ameralik (<0.5 µM NO$_3$, <0.2 µM PO$_4$, <2.2 µM silicic acid). This is consistent with the spring bloom having already occurred, which is expected based on chlorophyll a at GF3 (Jauk-Pedersen et al., 2015). In August, residual surface NO$_3$ concentrations (up to 1.5 µM) were present at most stations along with an excess of silicic acid with respect to the Redfield Ratio (up to 13 µM, or 12 µM Si$^4$). Concentrations of most dissolved trace metals in spring showed a limited range with all elements showing a gradient towards increased concentrations in the inner-fjord. In August, the same increase in concentration towards the inner fjord was only evident for dCo and dFe; with dCu showing the opposite trend. August dFe concentrations were high (228 nM) in the inner-fjord accompanied by extremely high concentrations of TdFe (peaking at 77 µM).

The Ameralik catchment is known to have a high sediment load of 2–3 g L$^{-1}$, above the only available estimate for a pan-Greenlandic average, ~1 g L$^{-1}$ (Overeem et al., 2017). The absence of lakes between the glacier and coastal outflow precludes the extensive settling of glacier-derived particles and may be a contributing factor to high turbidity in Ameralik (Bullard, 2013). The extremely high TdFe concentrations may therefore reflect the high sediment load, the low salinity (6 or less at the innermost three sampling locations), and the proximity of these sample locations to the glacier outflow (<6 km). Most other inner-fjord samples discussed herein were collected at higher salinities and/or located several kilometres further from the main freshwater outflow than it was possible to sample in Ameralik (Table 1).

In Nuup Kangerlua the spatial trends were more dynamic. The innermost sampled region (close to station GF13) is downstream of the large marine-terminating glaciers in the inner-fjord and
close to the terminus of Narsap Sermia. Additional runoff, with high turbidity visible at the surface, occurs close to Narsap Sermia and also further down fjord (close to station GF11) where tidal mixing also contributes to high turbidity throughout the year. In 2014, dFe concentrations were shown to peak at intermediate salinities when considering an along-fjord transect up to GF13 due to the turbid runoff close to GF11 (Hopwood et al., 2016). The highest dFe concentrations in the fjord are reported for shallow, turbid areas close to this outflow where salinities are <15 in summer (Figure 6). This clearly demonstrates the potential for multiple processes and outflows to affect fjord-scale (micro) nutrient distributions.

In May 2019, macronutrient distributions along Nuup Kangerlua were highest in the inner-fjord (up to 9.5 µM NO3) likely reflecting a combination of a later bloom onset close to the retreating fast ice margin, and the role of down-fjord winds in re-supplying macronutrients to surface waters (Meire et al., 2016b). Due to an inevitable time lag of a few days between macronutrients being entrained into surface waters and any associated increasing phytoplankton growth, a down-fjord gradient in near-surface macronutrient concentrations can be maintained. There was also a slight increase in macronutrient concentrations at the outermost stations in the fjord mouth (up to 3 µM NO3) which are shallow compared to the inner-fjord, likely resulting from increased vertical mixing and weaker stratification (Mortensen et al., 2011). Dissolved trace metal concentrations in May 2019 were relatively constant along Nuup Kangerlua with no elements showing pronounced gradients along the fjord. Some heterogeneity may have resulted from the varying distance to the coastline (the transect was generally mid-fjord, but this was not precisely controlled when sampling underway due to the necessity of avoiding icebergs). In August 2019 dCo, and dNi showed a decline moving along the fjord into more saline waters. Maxima in all other elements were evident mid-transect, possibly reflecting either outflow of trace metals from runoff near GF11, or more intense removal of these elements in the inner-fjord. Concentrations of dFe and dCu were generally more variable than other elements. In September 2019, the distributions of most elements were very similar to those observed in August 2019 when considering the same stations (some additional inner-fjord stations were sampled in September).

Nuup Kangerlua is, to our knowledge, the only glacier fjord where dFe data is available in multiple seasons and years. Given that more dFe data is available than for other elements (from 2014 to 2019) and dFe behavior is better studied in this geographical context, we use dFe to construct a GAM model to explore seasonal/interannual change. When data from 2019 is compared to data from 2014 (Hopwood et al., 2016), the lateral trends and concentrations are quite consistent (Figure 6). When dFe concentrations are considered either with respect to salinity, or with respect to distance from the Narsap Sermia terminus, some general trends are evident. Dissolved Fe concentrations were most variable at low salinities and in the innermost 50 km of the fjord (Figures 2, 6). Elsewhere along the fjord, dFe concentrations were reasonably stable and similar throughout the year (mean 6.5 ± 2.8 nM for all stations further away from the glaciers than GF10 across all 5 transects). Considering the 2014 and 2019 May, August, and September data collectively, the interaction between salinity and lateral distance appears to be the key factor explaining dFe distribution. A GAM using only salinity and lateral distance produced a good fit ($R^2$ 0.72) to the entire dataset (Figure 6). This highlights the relative constancy of dFe in all seasons (May, August, and September; or boreal spring, mid and late-summer) in the outer-fjord, contrasting with the inner-fjord where strong gradients in dFe arise with respect to both salinity and distance (Figure 6). Including a temporal or seasonal factor did not improve the best-fitting GAM portraying dFe behaviour in Nuup Kangerlua (Figure 6). Any effects of seasonality, other than changing salinity and changes proportional to this, therefore appear to make limited differences to dFe concentrations.

In contrast to dFe, summertime TdFe declined steadily along Nuup Kangerlua in all transects, from peak concentrations of 1.3–14.8 µM in the inner fjord to 100–300 nM in the fjord mouth. This is similar to observations elsewhere of rapid TdFe loss with distance from glacial outflows compared to dFe (Kanna et al., 2020) and consistent with either sedimentation and/or a gradual shift towards reduced particle lability with sedimentation likely accounting for most of the decline (Krish et al., 2021). Whilst fewer transects are available for Ameralik (only May and August 2019), similar conclusions can be drawn. For the fjord outside the intense turbidity plume (stations up to AM9), May dFe concentrations were 6.6 ± 3.8 nM, and August concentrations were similarly 6.8 ± 0.1 nM.

Seasonal variations are informative concerning how to interpret trace metal concentrations with respect to fluxes. Using a linear regression of data only for Greenland, combined with an estimate of the total volume of freshwater discharged around the island (which includes melting of calved ice and tundra runoff in addition to Greenland Ice Sheet runoff, in sum ~1,200 km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$, Bamber et al., 2018) produces estimated fluxes of 29 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dFe, 3.2 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dCo, 14 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dNi and 8.9 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dCu. This is inclusive of any non-conservative processes evident in outflowing surface waters on this spatial scale (Figure 2). Specifically for runoff from the Greenland Ice Sheet, which is only one component of the freshwater input into fjords, fluxes of 1.3 Gmol yr$^{-1}$ dFe, 0.86 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dCo, 7.0 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dNi and 5.9 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dCu have been scaled from one catchment in west Greenland (using a volume of 560 km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$, Hawking et al., 2020). For dCo, dNi and dCu these values are reasonably similar considering the volume differences, and the inclusion of non-conservative processes and a broader geographic sampling context herein. However, the dFe flux estimate is markedly lower, only 2% of that estimated from freshwater concentrations (Hawking et al., 2020). This appears similar to results in Kongsfjorden (Svalbard) where 2% of dFe from glacier runoff remained after non-conservative loss processes across the salinity gradient (Zhang et al., 2015) and demonstrates the significance of the flux gate used when constraining dFe transfer across salinity gradients. The lower flux determined herein is more comparable to the lowest values estimated by various methods elsewhere around Greenland (e.g., 39 Mmol yr$^{-1}$ dFe, Stevenson et al., 2017) although it should be noted again that most flux estimates refer
Insight Into Elemental Behavior From Dissolved Ratios

The rapid loss of dFe across any salinity gradient is expected (Boyle et al., 1977), so how does this change the relative availability of dFe to other trace elements? Co is a scavenged-type element (James, 2005) and so metrics such as Co*Fe (Eq. 6) are potentially a useful method for investigating depletion/enrichment relative to other elements. Using a cellular ratio of 0.025 mol mol⁻¹ for Co:Fe (as per Moore et al., 2013), Co*Fe for three Greenlandic shelf regions was close to zero: 0.13 ± 0.06 nM (PS100) 0.25 ± 0.17 nM (D354), and 0.49 ± 0.32 nM (GEVIDE). For measured freshwater concentrations, Co*Fe is consistently negative ranging from −36 to −0.17 using the limited available values from Kobbefjord (SW Greenland, located between Nuup Kangerlua and Ameralik), Kangerlussuaq (W Greenland, Hawkings et al., 2020) and Doumer Island (Antarctica). Yet most fjord regions studied have more positive values than the shelf, indicating a larger excess of dCo with respect to mean cellular Fe:Co ratios, especially in Kangaatsiaq where unusually high dCo (and dNi) concentrations were observed. It therefore appears that more rapid scavenging of dFe than dCo results in a transition from negative Co*Fe in freshwater to positive Co*Fe in these fjord systems. This is followed by a steadier decline in both dCo and dFe in shelf waters which is consistent with the sustained phytoplankton blooms present in summer in some fjords systems (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015; Meire et al., 2017).

Shifting in the relative dissolved abundances of all elements considered herein become evident if all data is binned by salinity. Moving from low salinity waters to higher salinity waters on the shelf, the fractional importance of dFe and dCo declines, whereas dNi and dCu are relatively more abundant (Figure 7). This broadly reflects the expected scavenging of dCo and dFe in fjord environments and, particularly for dFe, across the salinity gradient (Schroth et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Kanna et al., 2020). The release of dCu from particles in mid-salinity (15–30) fjord waters (Figure 2) may also slightly affect relative abundances. Additionally, biological uptake of most dissolved trace metals is expected to be higher than dCu and dNi relative to available inventories in the North Atlantic (Achterberg et al., 2020). A further confounding influence, especially given the location of most shelf measurements in east Greenland, is that the Transpolar Drift appears to drive

```latex
Co*Fe = [dCo] − [dFe] × 0.025
```

FIGURE 7 | Relative abundances of dCo, dFe, dNi, and dCu as a percent of the sum of all 4 element’s concentration. Data from glacier fjords and coastal regions is binned by salinity. “Shelf” data refers to 3 areas of the Greenland shelf surveyed by PS100 (east of 15°W), GEVIDE, and D354. Note “shelf” is not an exclusive category.
in all fjords, generally increasing at low salinity (up to 32 µM in Qasigiannguit, 14 µM in Kangasatsiaq, and 51 µM in Disko Fjord, respectively). Trace metal distributions differed slightly between the three fjords. In all cases, dFe showed slightly increasing concentrations with salinity. dCu and dCo conversely showed a trend towards higher concentrations at lower salinity in Disko Fjord and Kangasatsiaq with no clear trend in Qasigiannguit (although this may to some extent reflect the low number of data points in Qasigiannguit). Dissolved Ni diverged between the 3 systems showing relatively constant concentrations along Disko Fjord (4.1 ± 0.3 nM), a decline with salinity along Kangasatsiaq, and an increase with salinity along Qasigiannguit. The slight increase of dFe in higher salinity waters is a stark contrast to the non-conservative removal observed at lower salinities in other catchments. A potential explanation is reduced scavenging. Close to glacier outflows, intense particle plumes provide a large surface area onto which dFe, and other susceptible elements, can be scavenged. There is thus competition between particle surfaces and dissolved organic material to bind dFe, which may lead to under-saturation of organic Fe-binding ligands even though there is a large pool of labile particulate Fe vastly in excess of low nanomolar ligand concentrations (Ardiningsih et al., 2020). The nature of organic material and the associated metal-binding ligands likely also changes on this spatial scale (Ardiningsih et al., 2020) contrasting turbid inner-fjord environments with generally low primary production, with more productive outer-fjord environments where summer-time phytoplankton blooms provide a source of new organic carbon (Juul-Pedersen et al., 2015; Meire et al., 2017). Without detailed measurements of dFe speciation, we cannot comment further on the mechanism under-pinning this trend, but it is interesting to postulate how dFe speciation may change across these salinity gradients. Inorganic colloidal Fe is typically the major form of dFe in glaciated freshwater environments (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012; Hawkings et al., 2020), whereas the limited available work in glaciated coastal regions suggests a transition to dominance of organically complexed Fe species occurs across the salinity gradient (Ardiningsih et al., 2020; Krisch et al., 2021) likely due to aggregation of colloidal Fe (Zhang et al., 2015). Limited changes in dFe concentration could therefore be masking strong shifts in Fe speciation.

When dissolved metal concentrations from all catchments are considered collectively, Kangasatsiaq shows a very strong anomaly in both dCo and dNi in the inner-fjord. Concentrations of 25–29 nM dNi and 6–7 nM dCo are high both in the context of other measurements herein, and more generally compared to other coastal/shelf (Supplementary Table S3) and freshwater concentrations around Greenland (Supplementary Table S2). Analytical issues with these samples seem unlikely; they were analysed twice with the same results, the 5 locations with elevated concentrations are clustered together geographically, and other more-contamination prone elements (e.g., dFe) were not atypical for any of the samples. This may therefore reflect a local dNi and dCo source within this catchment. This seems plausible given that outcrops of Ni- and Co-rich minerals are known to occur across Greenland shelf (Boersma and Foley, 2014) and that the sampling region is notable for being inside the Nordre Storstromfjord shear zone (Sørensen et al., 2006), potentially explaining striking differences between this and other W Greenland catchments. As these high trace metal anomalies were located at mid-salinities (no lower salinity samples were obtained in these catchments) they perturb the Si* and salinity vs. metal trends when all Arctic catchments are considered collectively (Figures 2, 4).

Weak Dissolved Gradients in the Antarctic Catchments (Maxwell Bay and South Bay)

The glaciers in Maxwell Bay and South Bay are notably smaller than their Greenlandic counterparts, and their low salinity plumes (Table 1) in austral summer similarly occur over much smaller spatial scales which cluster distinctly on a RDA for all sites (Figure 3). Si* is also a poorer tracer of glacial activity, due to the much higher concentrations of silicic acid in the Southern Ocean (Figure 4). Maxwell Bay receives calved ice from nearby Nelson Island and several glaciers connected to the King George Island Ice Cap, including Collins Glacier and Bellingshausen Dome in the north-eastern part of the Bay and Marion Cove in the eastern part. A limited number of small icebergs (typically ~10 m diameter or less above the water line) are usually visible in the Bay and slight gradients in salinity (range 35.4–33.6, January 2018) are evident moving towards the glacier termini. South Bay is a small bay on Doumer Island (Palmer Archipelago, western Antarctic Peninsula) largely covered by an
ice cap, with small subglacial discharge outflows and some ice calving around the fjord periphery. A very small degree of local freshening was observed in fjord transects in austral summer (salinity range 34.2–34.7, February 2018). Both bays can be highly productive in summer with the timing and magnitude of phytoplankton blooms highly dependent on local conditions including irradiance, turbidity, freshwater inputs and wind dynamics (Schloss et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2018; Höfer et al., 2019).

No strong trends were evident in any elements considering either distance from the inner-most Bay area or salinity. Freshwater concentrations from small, short (<50 m from the edge of the ice cap to the coastline) proglacial streams which were safely accessible around Doumer Island’s periphery (130 nM dFe, 0.16 nM dCo, 2.64 nM dCu) confirm that most metals were present at concentrations above those expected for coastal seawater of the Antarctic Peninsula (Ardelan et al., 2010; Sherrell et al., 2018). Conversely, for macronutrients (0.02 PO₄, 0.14 NO₃, 1.2 µM siliconic acid) and dNi (0.30 nM), the low concentration in freshwater precludes a local source and suggests dilution of ambient marine concentrations (Supplementary Table S4) as previously reported (Henley et al., 2017; Höfer et al., 2019). For other elements, the absence of a clear salinity trend may reflect a combination of the limited range in salinity and the fact that multiple processes and sources are affecting trace metal dynamics in these coastal areas (Annett et al., 2015; Annett et al., 2017; Sherrell et al., 2018). Any local freshwater supply is likely simply too small to be clearly distinguishable from sedimentary sources, upwelling sources, and other freshwater outflows into the same region (Monien et al., 2014; Pan et al., 2020). Similar coastal dFe concentrations are reported for the nearest comparable datasets; Andvord Bay in December 2015 (range 1.3–6.1 nM for depths <15 m) and April 2016 (4.6–8.2 nM for depths <15 m) (Forsch et al., 2021), and Ryder Bay for December–March 2010 (3.5–9.4 nM at 15 m depth) (Annett et al., 2015).

Whilst a strong inverse correlation between dFe, or other freshwater sourced micronutrients, and salinity is generally expected in any coastal area with freshwater outflow, a further confounding influence around the Antarctic Peninsula is that meltwater drives stratification which facilitates phytoplankton bloom development and thus micronutrient drawdown which may weaken the expected correlation (Höfer et al., 2019; Pan et al., 2020; Forsch et al., 2021). The opposite is generally thought to be the case in many Arctic catchments where meltwater further stratifies systems which are already nitrate-limited leading to reduced nitrate availability in the photic zone and thus reduced primary production (Holding et al., 2019; Randelhoff et al., 2020). Furthermore, as is evidenced by the trend in dFe concentrations across the much more pronounced salinity gradients around Greenland, sharp dFe losses appear to be mainly confined to low salinity waters followed by a buffering of more constant dFe concentrations at higher salinities (Figure 2), so correlations are likely to be much weaker in regions where only low meltwater fractions are evident (e.g., the Antarctic Peninsula). Extrapolation between Antarctic and Greenlandic systems is not straightforward because the geographic and biogeochemical context of Antarctic fjords is very different due to lower freshwater discharge rates, lower turbidity, higher macro nutrient:micronutrient ratios and different patterns of primary production in Antarctica (Vernet et al., 2008; Hopwood et al., 2020; Forsch et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the physical/biogeochemical factors responsible for buffering of dFe concentrations in fjords (i.e., the interaction between turbidity, ligand concentration and properties) warrant further investigation in a global context in order to better constrain how a changing cryosphere (Meredith et al., 2019) will affect biogeochemical cycling in the ocean (Thurowczy et al., 2012; Ardiningsih et al., 2020; Ardiningsih et al., 2021).

Entrainment of Micronutrients as a Driver of Lateral Fluxes

Close to glacier termini, vertical mixing associated with entrainment within subglacial discharge plumes, or wind-driven upwelling, affect macro nutrient distributions on the same spatial scale as low salinity plumes and act as the main source of nitrate and phosphate to surface waters (Cape et al., 2018; Hopwood et al., 2018). Whilst RDA suggests that higher dFe, dCo, dNi and dCu concentrations are associated with low salinity (Figure 3), this analysis concerns concentrations determined only in one dimension—a lateral transect of near-surface waters downstream from the glacier. Whenever any process akin to upwelling produces a vertical nutrient flux roughly comparable to freshwater fluxes, this will perturb the observed surface salinity-nutrient distribution and potentially lead to erroneous conclusions when observed concentration gradients are interpreted only in the context of a freshwater flux. Deep turbulent entrainment (Carroll et al., 2015) and upwelling in an inner-fjord environment (Meire et al., 2016a; Meire et al., 2016b) could produce lateral near-surface spatial trends in nutrients that resemble those expected to arise from freshwater runoff (St-Laurant et al., 2017; Dinniman et al., 2020). Where runoff co-occurs with these alternative mechanisms, it is therefore challenging to assess the relative importance of runoff as a nutrient source from only nutrient distributions.

The relative importance of freshwater as a direct nutrient source in regions affected by subglacial discharge plumes can be estimated using buoyant plume theory (Morton et al., 1956). Using a shelf profile derived from the 3 Greenland shelf cruises used herein, and runoff concentrations from 4 regions around Greenland (Supplementary Table S2), we force a nutrient entrainment calculation as has been previously undertaken for macronutrients in select case studies (Cape et al., 2018; Hopwood et al., 2018; Cape et al., 2019). In practically all cases, the modelled plume is associated with a rapid dilution of dissolved trace metals close to the source (Figure 8). The fractional importance of entrained water for determining the concentration of dissolved trace metals in the resulting plume at neutral buoyancy is relatively minor. As illustrated by contrasting these entrainment curves with NO₃ (Figure 8), this is a reversal for the situation with macronutrients (PO₄, NO₃, and silicic acid) where freshwater generally accounts for only a minor fraction of the total nutrient inventory in the plume; invariably <50% for
model glaciers with grounding lines >100 m deep (Hopwood et al., 2018). The relative importance of freshwater for determining (micro)nutrient concentrations, on average, decreases in the order \(d\text{Fe}>d\text{Cu}>d\text{Ni}>\text{NO}_3\) reflecting the relative difference between runoff and sub-surface shelf dissolved nutrient concentrations. Most trace element distributions close to marine-terminating glaciers in Arctic glacier fjords could therefore be interpreted, on this spatial scale (Table 1), in terms of dilution and biogeochemical processing of a concentrated freshwater associated source moving away from a glacier outflow. The main caveat with the use of existing proglacial freshwater concentrations (Supplementary Table S2) to force such a calculation is that these are assumed to be representative of subglacial discharge released from large marine-terminating glaciers. If metal concentrations were in fact higher, the importance of freshwater concentration for driving the observed trends would be further reinforced.

It is important to note that these calculations are exploratory and only designed to test how the relative difference between metal concentrations in subglacial discharge and inflowing saline waters affects trace metal gradients in outflowing surface waters. In reality, as discussed herein, any two-member mixing model (here unmodified shelf profiles and a single freshwater concentration) would clearly be inadequate to explain fjord-scale metal distributions. Even though dFe concentrations in runoff are sufficiently high to drive a strong gradient in dFe downstream of a modelled glacier (Figure 8), and Fe is theoretically the element most affected by such discharge, it is clear from observational data in Nuup Kangerlua that high dFe concentrations along the fjord are not driven solely by the seasonal release of freshwater (Figure 6). Other features of the glacier-fjord system including estuarine removal processes or scavenging (Schroth et al., 2014), sedimentary process (Lauferr-Meiser et al., 2021) and seasonal changes in circulation (Mortensen et al., 2014) clearly exert strong enough effects that a relatively steady concentration of dFe is observed along the fjord surface throughout the year (Figure 2).

CONCLUSION

Using a comparison of eight contrasting glacier fjords we demonstrate that the dissolved trace metals Fe, Co, Ni and Cu are normally present at elevated concentrations associated with glacier runoff in the marine environment. For six Arctic glacier fjords, salinity and lateral distance from areas of strong glacier influence were found to impact trace metal distributions, although salinity alone explained more variance in metal distributions. At two Antarctic field locations, the limited...
freshwater discharge rate, absence of a major point source of discharge, and modest salinity range precluded a strong kilometer-scale gradient in dissolved metal concentrations associated specifically with freshwater outflow for most elements. Across salinity gradients, dFe exhibited a strong non-conservative loss at low salinities, dCo and dNi concentrations appeared to be relatively conservative, and dCu behavior was variable. A combination of dCu absorption onto particle surfaces at low salinities and silicate weathering likely explain variation in dCu distributions between different systems. Si* indicated that the surveyed areas within Greenlandic glacier fjords encompassed the regions over which a direct glacial influence on macronutrient stoichiometry was evident. Despite this, contrasting spring and summertime conditions in two Greenlandic fjords indicated a limited or negligible influence of seasonality on dFe concentrations. With the exception of low salinity waters in the immediate vicinity of glacier outflows (salinity <15 and distance <40 km), dFe concentration appeared to be relatively constant across multiple transects (boreal spring, mid- and late-summer) and years.
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