

- Adamich, T. (2010). Metadata and the next generation library catalog: Will the catalog become a true discovery system? *Technicalities*, 30(3), 12-15.
- Anderson, R. (2008). Future-proofing the library: Strategies for acquisitions, cataloging, and collection development. *Serials Librarian*, 55(4), 560-567. doi:[10.1080/03615260802399908](https://doi.org/10.1080/03615260802399908)
- Baca, M., & O'Keefe, E. (2009). Sharing standards and expertise in the early 21st century: Moving toward a collaborative, "cross-community" model for metadata creation. *International Cataloging & Bibliographic Control*, 38(4), 59-67. Retrieved from <http://archive.ifla.org/VI/3/admin/content.htm>
- Beall, J. (2011). Academic library databases and the problem of word-sense ambiguity. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 37(1), 64-69. doi: [10.1016/j.acalib.2010.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2010.10.008)
- Bergstrom, T. C. (2010). Librarians and the terrible fix: Economics of the big deal. *Serials*, 23(2), 77-82. doi:[10.1629/2377](https://doi.org/10.1629/2377)
- Birrell, D., Dunsire, G., & Menzies, K. (2010). Match point: Duplication and the scholarly record: The online catalog and repository interoperability study (OCRIS), and its findings on duplication and authority control in OPACs and IRs. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 48(5), 377-402. doi:[10.1080/01639371003738723](https://doi.org/10.1080/01639371003738723)
- Boock, M., & Kunda, S. (2009). Electronic thesis and dissertation metadata workflow at Oregon State University Libraries. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 47(3/4), 297-308. doi:[10.1080/01639370902737323](https://doi.org/10.1080/01639370902737323).
- Chapman, J. W., Reynolds, D., & Shreeves, S. A. (2009). Repository metadata: Approaches and challenges. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 47(3/4), 309-325. doi:[10.1080/01639370902735020](https://doi.org/10.1080/01639370902735020)
- Collard, S., & Whatley, K. (2011). Virtual reference/query log pairs: A window onto user need. *Reference Services Review*, 39(1), 151-166. doi:[10.1108/00907321111108178](https://doi.org/10.1108/00907321111108178)
- Cox, R. S. (2010). Maximal processing, or, archivist on a pale horse. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 8(2), 134-148. doi:[10.1080/15332748.2010.526086](https://doi.org/10.1080/15332748.2010.526086)
- Crowe, S. H., & Spilman, K. (2010). MPLP @ 5: More access, less backlog? *Journal of Archival Organization*, 8(2), 110-133. doi:[10.1080/15332748.2010.518079](https://doi.org/10.1080/15332748.2010.518079)
- Eisloeffel, P. (2010). MPLP/AV: Musings on minimal processing and audiovisuals. *MAC Newsletter*, 38(2), 20-22. Retrieved from <http://www.midwestarchives.org>
- Evans, G. E., & Saponaro, M. Z. (2005). *Developing library and information center collections* (5th ed.). Westport, CT: Libraries Unlimited.
- Gilbert, J. K. (2010). Bigger is (maybe) better: Librarians' views of interdisciplinary databases. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 22(1/2), 65-80. doi:[10.1080/1941126X.2010.486735](https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126X.2010.486735)
- Green, M. A., & Meissner, D. (2005). More product, less process: Revamping traditional archival processing. *American Archivist*, 68(2), 208-263. doi: [10.17723/aarc.68.2.c741823776k65863](https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.68.2.c741823776k65863)

- Han, M., Cho, C., Cole, T. W., & Jackson, A. S. (2009). Metadata for special collections in CONTENTdm: How to improve interoperability of unique fields through OAI-PMH. *Journal of Library Metadata*, 9(3/4), 213-238. doi:[10.1080/19386380903405124](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386380903405124)
- Hurford, A. A., & Runyon, C. F. (2011). New workflows for born-digital assets: Managing Charles E. Bracker's orchid photographs collection. *Computers in Libraries*, 31(1), 6-10. Retrieved from <http://www.infotoday.com/cilmag>
- Kenney, B. (2011). Liverpool's discovery: A university library applies a new search tool to improve the user experience. *Library Journal*, 136(3), 24-27. Retrieved from <http://www.libraryjournal.com>
- Kichuk, D. (2010). Electronic collection growth: An academic library case study. *Collection Building*, 29(2), 55-64. doi:[10.1108/01604951011040143](https://doi.org/10.1108/01604951011040143)
- Lubas, R. L. (2009). Defining best practices in electronic thesis and dissertation metadata. *Journal of Library Metadata*, 9(3/4), 252-263. doi:[10.1080/19386380903405165](https://doi.org/10.1080/19386380903405165)
- McCullough, J. (2010). Adapting to change with Encore Synergy: New directions in discovery. *Computers in Libraries*, 30(8), 10-11. Retrieved from <http://www.infotoday.com/cilmag>
- McCutcheon, S. (2009). Keyword vs controlled vocabulary searching: The one with the most tools wins. *Indexer*, 27(2), 62-65. doi: [10.3828/indexer.2009.21](https://doi.org/10.3828/indexer.2009.21)
- McCutcheon, S., Kreyche, M., Maurer, M. B., & Nickerson, J. (2008). Morphing metadata: Maximizing access to electronic theses and dissertations. *Library Hi Tech*, 26(1), 41-57. doi:[10.1108/07378830810857799](https://doi.org/10.1108/07378830810857799)
- Meissner, D., & Greene, M. A. (2010). More application while less appreciation: The adopters and antagonists of MPLP. *Journal of Archival Organization*, 8(3), 174-226. doi:[10.1080/15332748.2010.554069](https://doi.org/10.1080/15332748.2010.554069)
- Nelson, M. (2010). The cello music cataloger as program builder. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly*, 48(6/7), 634-644. doi:[10.1080/01639374.2010.496310](https://doi.org/10.1080/01639374.2010.496310)
- Notess, G. R. (2011). Deciphering discovery. *Online*, 35(1), 45-47. Retrieved from www.onlinemag.net
- Torbert, C. (2008). Collaborative journal purchasing today: Results of a survey. *The Serials Librarian*, 55(1/2), 168-183. doi:[10.1080/03615260801970857](https://doi.org/10.1080/03615260801970857)
- Wolverton, R. E., & Burke, J. (2009). The OPAC is dead: Managing the virtual library. *The Serials Librarian*, 57(3), 247-252. doi:[10.1080/03615260902877019](https://doi.org/10.1080/03615260902877019)