

References

Brown, D. K. (2005). The decline of defense counsel and the rise of accuracy in criminal adjudication. *California Law Review*, 93(6), 1585-1645.

<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.689161>

Garrett, B. L., & Neufeld, P. J. (2009). Invalid forensic science testimony and wrongful convictions. *Virginia Law Review*, 95(1), 1-97.

Garrett, B. L. (2010). The substance of false confessions. *Stanford Law Review*, 62(4), 1051-1118.

Gould, J. B., & Leo, R. A. (2010). One hundred years later: Wrongful convictions after a century of research. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 100(3), 825-868.

Motion for DNA Testing, Cal. Penal Code § 1405. (2015).

Schmechel, R. S., O'Toole, T. P., Easterly, C., & Loftus, E. F. (2006). Beyond the ken? Testing jurors' understanding of eyewitness reliability evidence. *Jurimetrics*, 46(2), 177-214.

Steinback, R. (2007). The fight for post-conviction DNA testing is not yet over: An analysis of the eight remaining "holdout states" and suggestions for strategies to bring vital relief to the wrongfully convicted. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 98(1), 329-361.

The Innocence Project. (2019). DNA exonerations in the United States. Innocence Project. Retrieved from <https://www.innocenceproject.org/dna-exonerations-inthe-united-states/>

Thompson, S. G. (2012). Judicial gatekeeping of police-generated witness testimony. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 102(2), 329-395.

Wise, R. A., Dauphinais, K. A., & Safer, M. A. (2007). A tripartite solution to eyewitness error. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 97(3), 807-871.