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ABSTRACT

CLAST PROVENANCE CONSTRAINTS, LATE CRETAEOUS PIGEON POINT FORMATION, CALIFORNIA

by Anne Sanquini

The depositional location of the Late Cretaceous Pigeon Point Formation, which crops out between San Francisco and Santa Cruz on the California coast, is unknown. Petrographic and chemical analysis of the conglomeratic clasts in the formation revealed several types of clasts that were helpful to constrain provenance. These included clasts of the recently identified underlying Pescadero felsite, clasts of dark porphyries with salmon-colored phenocrysts that have been identified at four other depositional locations, and granitoids for which isotopic data existed.

Synthesis of research performed for this thesis with previously published data leads to a hypothesis that suggests the Pigeon Point Formation was deposited in the mid-Campanian at a paleolatitude south of the current United States, onto the Pescadero felsite, in an accretionary complex setting. By the late Miocene, it was transported northward to a position near the present-day location of San Luis Obispo, then brought to its current location, within the past 10 Ma, by dextral slip on the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault and the San Andreas fault system.
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INTRODUCTION

The objective of this research is to characterize the petrography and chemistry of igneous clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation and to use these data to constrain their provenance. Located on the central California coast, the Pigeon Point Formation has been transported to its current location by a complex set of fault dynamics; determination of clast provenance may partly clarify the tectonic history of western California since the Late Cretaceous period.

Petrographic and chemical study of the clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation was used to link some of the clasts to other, possibly correlative locations. These analyses included the determination of overall composition, phenocryst mineralogy, mineral assemblages indicative of degree of metamorphism, and textural properties of the clasts. After a literature review to identify those rocks from other locations that may be correlative with distinctive clasts from the Pigeon Point Formation, selected samples were analyzed for major and rare earth elements (REE).

Published paleontologic, palynologic, paleomagnetic, strontium, and U-Pb zircon age data for elements of the Pigeon Point Formation helped to constrain possible locations at time of deposition. Fault location, timing, and direction and amount of slip were considered in terms of which hypotheses are best supported by the multiple lines of evidence.
GEOLOGIC SETTING

Fault Relationships

The Pigeon Point Formation lies to the west of the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault on the Pigeon Point block (Figure 1) and is exposed in a belt less than 3 km wide, for about 16 km from Pescadero State Beach to Point Año Nuevo (Hall et al., 1959). Its top has been eroded. It is overlain in faulted unconformity by the late Oligocene-early Miocene Vaqueros(?) Formation (Taylor, 1988) and by the Miocene-Pliocene Purisima Formation (Powell et al., 2007). The bottom of the Pigeon Point Formation is locally underlain, in sheared contact, by ~86-90 Ma felsitic volcanic rocks exposed west of the town of Pescadero, informally named the Pescadero felsite (Ernst et al., 2009).

The right-slip San Gregorio-Hosgri fault is part of the larger San Andreas fault system. Estimates of total dextral slip on the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault range from 150-160 km (Clark, 1998; Dickinson et al., 2005) to as high as 180 km (Burnham, 2005, 2009). Most studies consider all slip on the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault to have occurred in the last 10 m.y., but some studies assign most slip to pre-Neogene time (e.g. Underwood et al., 1995).
Figure 1. Key faults, locations and geologic units mentioned in text. Location of offset Nacimiento fault after Dickinson et al. (2005). Simplified from Jennings (1977).
A match of some truncated aeromagnetic anomalies across the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault suggests that the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault offsets the Nacimiento fault to a location just north of Pescadero (Robert Jachens, pers. comm.). Dickinson et al. (2005) instead interpreted an offshore discontinuity located somewhat further to the north (Figure 1) to be the offset Nacimiento fault. The Nacimiento fault (Gilbert, 1973a; Page, 1981) separates Salinian granitic basement to the northeast from the Franciscan Complex plus ophiolitic rocks of the Nacimiento block to the southwest (Figure 1). The Nacimiento block is also known as the Sur-Obispo terrane (Vedder et al., 1983). Dickinson et al. (2005) estimated that offset on the Nacimiento fault initiated at 75 Ma continuing to ~52 Ma and suggested sinistral slip of 500-600 km. Others (e.g. Hall, 1991; Powell, 1993) interpreted the Nacimiento as a thrust fault while still others (e.g. Page, 1981; Vedder et al., 1991) inferred dextral slip or some combination of the above. The fault has been overprinted by younger tectonic activity. Vedder et al. (1991) suggested significant dextral slip along the Rinconada section of the Nacimiento fault, probably during the Oligocene.

**Underlying Units**

Many workers have suggested that the Pigeon Point Formation may lie on Salinian basement (Clark and Brabb, 1978; Lowe, 1979 and 2004; Howell and Joyce,
1981; Champion et al., 1984). However, Lee-Wong and Howell (1977) noted that the plagioclase to total feldspar ratios (P/F) of sandstones sampled from the Salinian block range from 0.43 to 0.55 whereas the Pigeon Point Formation P/F ratio is 0.73, within the 0.65 to 0.77 P/F range of sandstones sampled from the Nacimiento block. Furthermore, Grove (1989), Whidden et al. (1998), and Dickinson et al. (2005) associated the conglomerate clast composition and Late Cretaceous depositional age of the Pigeon Point Formation with accretionary prism and forearc material of the Franciscan Assemblage of the Nacimiento block, and Kistler and Champion (2001) noted that the more primitive initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope ratios of the granitoid clasts in the Pigeon Point formation are not typical of the Salinian block.

Restoration of the offset Nacimiento fault places the Pigeon Point Formation to the southwest of the fault and thus on the Nacimiento block, but the depositional basement of the Pigeon Point Formation is not exposed. Locally, based on age and field relations (Figures 2 and 3), the Pigeon Point Formation is inferred to overlie the ~86-90 Ma Pescadero felsite, which erupted into an accretionary complex (Ernst et al., in press). Sanquini et al. (2010) determined that ~5 percent of the clasts sampled in a conglomerate unit of the Pigeon Point Formation appear to be a match with the underlying Pescadero felsite.
Figure 2. Local geologic map, including fossil and zircon sampling locations. Modified from Taylor (1988), Lowe (2004), and Ernst et al. (2009). Geologic map simplified from Brabb et al. (2000).
Figure 3. Simplified composite stratigraphy. (1). Northern sequence of Pigeon Point Formation, outcrops from Bolsa Point syncline axis to Pescadero Beach, plus Vaqueros (?) Formation. (2). Southern sequence, outcrops from syncline axis to Pigeon Point. (3). Inland exposure of Pigeon Point Formation and Pescadero felsite. See Figure 2 for locations. Modified from Taylor (1988), Lowe (1979, 2004) and Ernst et al. (2009).
Overlying Unit

The Vaqueros(?) Formation (Davis, 1955; Hall et al., 1959; Clark and Brabb, 1978) overlies the Pigeon Point Formation in an angular, faulted unconformity exposed at Pescadero State Beach (Figure 2). Granitic boulders at the base of the formation are overlain by conglomeratic interbeds containing well-rounded felsic volcanic, granitic, and quartzite pebbles and cobbles in an arkosic sandstone matrix (Clark and Brabb, 1978), and by plane-laminated sandstone beds (Taylor, 1988). Taylor noted a mean 0.52 ratio of plagioclase to total feldspars in the Vaqueros(?) sandstones, within the 0.43 to 0.55 P/F range of Salinian block sandstones sampled by Lee-Wong and Howell (1977). Taylor interpreted the formation as a regressive beach sequence. Mafic volcanic deposits include breccias, pillows, and flows, some of which are interstratified with the sandstone beds. Some mafic units are peperites, which form where magma contacts unconsolidated submarine sediments. Taylor used a 22.0 ± 0.7 Ma (K-Ar) age on peperites and “Vaqueros Stage” megafossils to infer a late Oligocene-early Miocene depositional age. Initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ strontium isotope ratios reported by Kistler and Champion (2001) from the granitoid clasts of the Vaqueros(?) Formation (Table 1) suggest a transitional to evolved magma source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ measured</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ initial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vaqueros(?) Formation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine grained granitoid cobbles, n=2</td>
<td>0.71246, 0.74448</td>
<td>0.71200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine grained granitoid cobbles, n=6</td>
<td>0.71042 to 0.73909</td>
<td>0.70923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse grained granitoid cobbles, n=4</td>
<td>0.70642 to 0.70686</td>
<td>0.70599 to 0.70637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pigeon Point Formation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitoid cobbles, n=6</td>
<td>0.70483 to 0.70608</td>
<td>0.70468 to 0.70571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREVIOUS WORK ON THE PIGEON POINT FORMATION

General Structure and Stratigraphy

The narrow exposure of the Pigeon Point Formation along the coast is cut by many faults (Howell and Joyce, 1981). It is also tilted and folded, and some blocks
within the formation have been rotated (Joyce, 1981). As a result, any exact reconstruction of the stratigraphy is difficult, and estimates of minimum total thickness range from 2200 m (Lowe, 1979, 2004) to 3300 m (Howell et al., 1977, Howell and Joyce, 1981).

On a broad structural level, the Pigeon Point Formation is divided into northern and southern sections (Figures 2 and 3). The oldest rocks, member A of Lowe 2004, are dominantly thin-bedded turbidites and are exposed only in the northern section, at Pescadero State Beach. The stratigraphy of this northern section continues upsection through a sequence of uppermost submarine fan to submarine canyon members identified by Lowe (1979, 2004). A 175-m-thick, well-stratified pebble and conglomerate lens, member G of Lowe, 1979 and 2004, marks the beginning of a canyon-fill sequence, and crops out in the upper part of the northern section, exposed about 1 km south of Bean Hollow State Beach. Member G has been correlated (Howell and Joyce, 1981) with a unit in the southern section, exposed at Pigeon Point. The upper units of the northern section are repeated in the southern section of the formation either by slip on a fault about 2 km north of Bolsa Point, (Howell and Joyce, 1981) or by folding about the axis of a broad syncline about 1 km north of Bolsa Point (Figure 2).
Paleontology and Palynology

Elder and Saul (1993) identified molluscan assemblages in the Pigeon Point Formation and compared them to species at several other localities. Paleogeographic interpretation of molluscan temperature indicators suggests an early to middle Campanian age of deposition in a warm-temperate to subtropical climate (Elder and Saul, 1983; Saul and Squires, 2008). Of ten compared localities, Elder and Saul (1993) concluded that the Pigeon Point Formation best correlated with the five most southern locations, from Santa Barbara to Mexico, and that the Santa Ana Mountains of the Peninsular Ranges had the strongest overall match. Notably, the warm-climate *Volutoderma santana* present at Pigeon Point is not otherwise found north of the Santa Ana Mountains (Elder and Saul, 1993; Saul and Squires, 2008). Hall et al. (1959) identified a Maastrichtian fossil in the Pigeon Point Formation; however, subsequent workers were not able to confirm that identification.

A palynologic study by Frederiksen (1990) suggested that the Pigeon Point Formation was deposited at a latitude south of the present United States, which is consistent with the paleontologic studies.
**Geochronology**

Detrital zircon dating from the Pigeon Point Formation, based on 39 ages from three samples, suggested a lower age limit for the formation of $85 \pm 5$ Ma (Jacobson et al., in press). A younger detrital zircon age of $73 \pm 4$ Ma was interpreted by the authors as an outlier, whereas the $\sim 85$ Ma age is part of a cluster and thus more representative. Figure 2 depicts zircon as well as fossil sampling locations.

**Conglomeratic Clasts**

Several workers have counted Pigeon Point Formation clasts to various levels of detail (Tyler, 1972; Howell and Vedder, 1978; Howell and Joyce, 1981; Bachman and Abbott, 1988; Burnham, 1998c; Grove, 1989; Seiders and Cox, 1989). All noted an abundance of well-rounded silicic volcanic clasts, comprising $\sim 45$ percent and up to 90 percent of the clast population, depending on location, sampling, and classification criteria used by the worker.
Grove (1989) noted that clasts from the Pigeon Point Formation differ somewhat from clasts to the south from the Salinian block, and suggested that the Pigeon Point Formation may be part of the Sur-Obispo terrane. Grove also documented Late Jurassic radiolarian chert clasts in the formation.

Clark and Brabb (1978) thought some of the clasts of the Pigeon Point Formation bore a similarity to the Pescadero felsite (Ernst et al., 2009) exposed near the town of Pescadero. That relationship was subsequently confirmed by Ernst et al. (2009) and by Sanquini et al. (2010).

Bachman and Abbott (1988) and Burnham (1998c, 2005) noted abundant clasts composed of salmon-colored potassium feldspar phenocrysts in a dark gray aphanitic groundmass at Point Lobos and Point Reyes but not identified in the Pigeon Point Formation. This clast type is noted in this section because, as described later, a potential correlative was discovered in the Pigeon Point Formation as part of the research for this thesis. In addition to Point Lobos and Point Reyes, Bachman and Abbott (1988) identified this clast type at Adelaida Ranch, about 15 km west of Paso Robles, and at locations near Garcia Mountain, about 30 km east of San Luis Obispo (Figure 1). These four basins are all late Paleocene–early Eocene (Clark et al., 1984; Bachman and Abbott, 1988). The distinctive clasts were named “smoked salmon” for the pink phenocrysts by Bachman and Abbott (1988) whereas Burnham (2005) referred to them as “purple porphyry” due to their smoky, purple-gray aphanitic matrix. In most cases, the term
“smoked salmon” will be used in this thesis. Burnham (2005) provided additional evidence to correlate the clasts from Point Lobos and Point Reyes, including U-Pb zircon ages of $151.6 \pm 2.6$ Ma from these locations.

**Paleomagnetic Data**

Paleomagnetic data published by Champion et al. (1981, 1984) suggested that the Pigeon Point Formation, generally thought at the time to overlie the Salinian block, was a far-traveled terrane, transported about 2500 km from a paleolatitude near southern Mexico to its current location. Champion was one of several co-authors on subsequent paleomagnetic research published by Whidden et al. (1998) that indicated that the Salinian block is not a far-traveled terrane, but instead, has experienced only $\sim 6^\circ$ of latitudinal of displacement. Whidden et al. (1998) further suggested that the Sur-Obispo terrane, which is located farther outboard of the craton than is Salinia, has been transported northward by up to $22^\circ$ since the Late Cretaceous. Substantial northward transport of the Sur-Obispo terrane is also suggested by the paleomagnetic data of Lund et al. (1991).
METHODS

Field

Three methods are commonly employed to count clasts (e.g. Howard, 1983): the grid method, analogous to the thin-section point-count technique in which grains are identified at each intersection of a grid (Williams et al., 1982); the line method, in which all clasts are counted along a stretched line; and the area method, in which all clasts are counted within a specified area.

In her study of the evolution of Late Cretaceous basin formation, Grove (1989) used a grid method and counted clasts generally 1–10 cm in diameter. In their study of conglomerates related to the place of origin of the Salinian block, Seiders and Cox (1982) selected primarily 0.4–3.0 cm clasts using an area method. This small clast-size limit was imposed in part to limit locally derived clasts. Tumbling experiments (Abbott and Peterson, 1978) demonstrated that, in an environment consisting of clasts of mixed durability, low durability rocks are eliminated quickly whereas highly durable rocks travel farther and become concentrated with time. The intent of my research is to use clast characterization to limit provenance of igneous clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation, and it would be
helpful to be able to compare my results with the datasets of both Grove (1989) and Seiders and Cox (1982). However, since the Pigeon Point Formation is generally fault-bounded and on basement of uncertain affinity, locally derived clasts are important to the study, and my results would not be comparable to those of Seiders and Cox (1982). Clasts from distant provenance are also important, because distinctive durable clasts could potentially be correlated to those in other depositional basins or original source terranes.

Accordingly, I selected clasts generally 1-10 cm diameter, and used the grid method in order to build on the dataset of Grove (1989). The major conglomeratic unit of the Pigeon Point Formation is member G of Lowe (1979, 2004). This is the key correlative unit used to link the northern and southern sections of the formation (Howell and Joyce, 1981). Grove (1989) sampled member G in the southern section, exposed near the lighthouse at Pigeon Point. I sampled member G in the northern section, located on private land ~1 km south of Bean Hollow State Beach (Figure 4).
Although Taylor (1988) used a line method to count clasts in the overlying Vaqueros(?) Formation, my thesis required the comparison of clasts between the Pigeon Point and Vaqueros(?) Formations, so a grid method was employed for the Vaqueros(?) Formation as well. For the latter formation, sampling was conducted in the basal conglomerate outcrops located immediately above the unconformable contact with the Pigeon Point Formation at Pescadero State Beach (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Vaqueros(?) Formation outcrop photograph. Nearly vertical thin-bedded turbidites of the lowest exposed member of the Pigeon Point Formation are overlain in faulted unconformity by sandstone and conglomerate beds of the Vaqueros(?) Formation at Pescadero Beach. Horizontal scale 10 cm long rests next to slickensided Vaqueros(?) outcrop.

A 100-cm² grid with 10-cm spaces was used, and clasts under the intersections were identified until a count of 100 was reached for each formation. A reconnaissance was done beyond the gridded areas for any additional clasts types that may not have been included in the systematic sampling, including the large angular boulders seasonally exposed at the base of the Vaqueros(?) Formation.

Clasts matching the description of the underlying Pescadero felsite of Ernst et al. (2009) were identified in the Pigeon Point Formation. Thus, for further
comparison, samples were subsequently taken of the massive Pescadero felsite at
the outcrop just west of the town of Pescadero and in the adjacent zone of sheared
metaconglomerate (Figure 2). Clasts matching the description of both the “smoked
salmon” of Bachman and Abbott (1988) and “purple porphyry” of Burnham (2005)
were also identified, so for further comparison, this clast type was sampled from the
Carmelo Formation at Point Lobos (Figure 1).

Additional observations were made along the beach (Figure 2) in order to
understand the nature of the key contacts and faults within and between the Pigeon
Point and Vaqueros(?) Formations. Conglomerates exposed near the intersection of
Pescadero and Bean Hollow Roads were also studied in order to determine their
affinity with the aforementioned beach exposures of conglomeratic lenses within
the Pigeon Point Formation.

Laboratory

Thin sections of over 100 clasts were made, two per sample. One set was
stained to aid in feldspar identification, and the other set was processed uncovered,
for possible microprobe work. The thin sections were analyzed for phenocryst
mineralogy, mineral assemblages indicative of degree of metamorphism, and
textural properties. The thin sections were specifically examined for evidence of
low-temperature burial metamorphism, as observed in the Pescadero felsite (Ernst et al., 2009).

Whole rock XRF and ICP-MS geochemical analyses of both the Pescadero felsite and “smoked salmon” clasts were done at the Washington State University GeoAnalytical Lab to obtain major, trace, and rare-earth data. Detailed description of methods, precision, and accuracy can be found at http://www.sees.wsu.edu/Geolab/note.html (Johnson et al., 1999)

PETROGRAPHIC OBSERVATIONS

Pigeon Point Formation

Table 2 lists conglomeratic clast counts for the Pigeon Point Formation. The dominant clast type is felsic volcanic, comprising an estimated 47% of the clast population. It typically presents as a small (average ~6 cm diameter), very hard, rounded rock, commonly with feldspar phenocrysts in a dark aphanitic matrix. Many are tuffs, with various degrees of flow textures in the matrix.
Table 2. Clast counts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pigeon Point Formation Member G, north section</th>
<th>Vaqueros(?) Formation Basal conglomerate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count (n=100)</td>
<td>Mean diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felsic Volcanic</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Volcanic</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plutonic</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary, Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next most abundant clast, comprising about 25% of the population, is an intermediate volcanic rock (Figures 6A, 6B). These clasts are also rather small (average ~5 cm diameter) and commonly have fewer phenocrysts than do the silicic clasts. In thin section, a felty network of plagioclase laths is observed in some of the intermediate clasts.

Plutonic clasts comprise about 16% of the population. These are typically much larger than the volcanic clasts, averaging about 10 cm. Most of the plutonic clasts are tonalites, rich in plagioclase feldspar and quartz, with potassium feldspar almost non-existent (Figure 6C). A few are diorites, with dominantly plagioclase feldspar plus amphibole and a lesser amount of quartz.
Figure 6. Photomicrographs, Pigeon Point. A. Intermediate volcanic clast; plagioclase phenocryst replaced by epidote. XP, 3.5 mm. B. Intermediate volcanic with intersertal matrix of plagioclase laths. XP, 3.5 mm. C. Fine-grained plutonic clast includes actinolite, anhedral quartz, zoned plagioclase. XP, 3.5 mm. D. Radiolarian chert. PL, 1.5 mm. E. Pescadero felsite clast, bow-tie prism of prehnite in center. PL, 3.5 mm. F. “Smoked salmon” felsic volcanic clast; zircons and apatite cluster near opaques. PL, 0.5 mm. XP: cross-polarized. PP: plane-polarized. Field of view in mm.
About 7% of the clasts are a variety of sedimentary and other rocks, including mudstone, siltstone, and < 1% red and green chert. The red chert is rich in radiolarians (Figure 6D). Quartzite is also present, comprising about 5% of the clasts, and is typically small, averaging about 4-5 cm in diameter.

These conglomeratic clast findings are consistent with those reported by Grove (1989) for member G of the southern section, located near the Pigeon Point lighthouse (Figure 2).

Included in the felsic volcanic rocks are a few (~5%) medium-gray, porphyritic clasts that contain abundant glomerophyric plagioclase laths in a matrix with radial spherulitic arrangements of crystals. These clasts include pumpellyite and rare prehnite (Figure 6E), which is otherwise absent in clasts of the Pigeon Point Formation, but present in the underlying Pescadero felsite (Ernst et al., 2009; Sanquini et al., 2010).

Also included in the felsic volcanic rocks is a dark volcanic porphyry, comprising < 1% of the population, which contains about 25% subhedral to euhedral salmon-pink potassium feldspar phenocrysts, averaging about 2 mm in length and commonly exhibiting perthitic texture. Zircons clustering on opaques were observed (Figure 6F), described by Burnham (2005) as characteristic of the “purple porphyry” clasts found in abundance at Point Lobos and Point Reyes. Some of the clasts contain heavily embayed quartz phenocrysts, and varying amounts of
flow texture in the matrix. They match in hand sample and in thin section the
descriptions of both the “smoked salmon” clasts of Bachman and Abbott (1988) and
the “purple porphyry” clasts of Burnham (2005). They also match “smoked salmon”
clasts collected and thin sectioned for this thesis from the Carmelo Formation at
Point Lobos (Figure 1).

**Vaqueros(?) Formation**

The basal conglomerate unit of the Vaqueros(?) Formation includes about
43% felsic volcanic clasts, 28% fine-grained plutonic clasts, and 18% quartzite clasts
(Table 2). This count is generally consistent with the findings of Taylor (1988), if
the Taylor count of 19% metamorphic clasts is interpreted, as is suggested in his
text, as comprised primarily of quartzite. In addition, I identified a small number of
intermediate volcanic clasts (~5%), whereas Taylor listed none.

Two distinctly different plutonic clasts are present in the Vaqueros(?)
Formation. The fine-grained plutonic clasts mentioned above are granites. They
include about 5-10% plagioclase feldspar, 30-40% quartz, and 40-50% potassium
feldspar. Recrystallized quartz and myrmekite texture are common (Figures 7A,
7B). In contrast, the angular, medium- to coarse-grained plutonic boulders present
at the very base of the Vaqueros(?) formation are granodiorite (Figure 7C). These
rocks have abundant (~50%) plagioclase feldspar crystals, plus about 30% quartz, 12% potassium feldspar, and 10% biotite mica; hornblende was not observed.

A variety of felsic volcanic clasts are present. Potassium feldspars in these rocks are often perthitic (Figure 7D). Glassy, flowing matrix is locally well developed and perlitic cracking is present in many samples (Figure 7E). A medium-gray clast with small plagioclase phenocrysts and a spherulitic texture resembling that of the Pescadero felsite was noted (Figure 7F), but thin section examination revealed a lack of the characteristic low-grade metamorphic prehnite-pumpellyite mineral assemblage, so the clast is not correlated with the Pescadero felsite.
Figure 7. Photomicrographs, Vaqueros(?). A. Fine-grained plutonic clast; quartz is recrystallized. Plagioclase and microcline to the right. XP, 3.5 mm. B. Myrmekite texture in a fine-grained plutonic clast. XP, 3.5 mm. C. Coarse-grained granodiorite clast with plagioclase, biotite, K-spar, and quartz. XP, 3.5 mm. D. Perthitic K-spar phenocryst in a felsic volcanic clast. XP, 3.5 mm. E. Felsic volcanic clast with glassy matrix and perlitic texture. PP, 7 mm. F. Felsic volcanic clast, spherulitic texture. XP, 3.5 mm. XP: cross-polarized. PP: plane-polarized. Field of view in mm.
MAJOR AND TRACE ELEMENT CHEMISTRY

Pescadero Felsite Samples

Major and trace element analyses of the Pescadero felsite samples are listed in the first three columns of Table 3. Major oxide analyses for the felsite samples are similar, with the exception of relatively low $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and high $\text{CaO}$ in the clast from the shear zone between the underlying massive Pescadero felsite and the overlying Pigeon Point Formation. This chemical variation may reflect significant alteration within the shear zone. There is some divergence in the large ion lithophile elements (LILs), notably barium. For these elements, the massive Pescadero felsite sample is somewhat to significantly depleted relative to other samples. As explained by Rollingson (1993), the LILs are mobile elements, and affected by hydrothermal alteration or low-grade metamorphism. The variation in the LILs may be due to variation in the extent of alteration of the Pescadero felsite samples from the three different locations. In contrast to the LILs, the REE patterns of the Pescadero felsite samples are remarkably consistent (Table 3 and Figure 8). All three samples are enriched relative to chondrite in both light and heavy REEs, with an overall flat trend, and a small negative Eu anomaly.
Table 3. Chemical composition of selected clasts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location:</th>
<th>Pescadero Felsite</th>
<th>Smoked Salmon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample: B6i</td>
<td>PP309</td>
<td>PP4-4-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| wt %' | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| SiO₂ | 71.95 | 75.82 | 76.75 | 74.36 | 75.32 |
| TiO₂ | 0.404 | 0.249 | 0.307 | 0.228 | 0.241 |
| Al₂O₃ | 12.53 | 7.90 | 10.81 | 13.50 | 12.98 |
| FeO* | 4.43 | 2.30 | 1.81 | 0.61 | 1.28 |
| MnO | 0.054 | 0.065 | 0.040 | 0.007 | 0.011 |
| MgO | 1.29 | 0.83 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| CaO | 1.38 | 4.79 | 2.06 | 0.24 | 0.11 |
| Na₂O | 5.09 | 3.02 | 5.98 | 3.45 | 4.72 |
| K₂O | 0.79 | 0.53 | 0.04 | 5.79 | 4.40 |
| P₂O₅ | 0.098 | 0.052 | 0.058 | 0.044 | 0.034 |
| Sum | 98.02 | 95.66 | 98.12 | 98.35 | 99.19 |

| ppm | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| La | 5.00 | 4.12 | 4.40 | 22.45 | 22.72 |
| Ce | 9.88 | 9.25 | 10.44 | 45.91 | 43.58 |
| Pr | 1.73 | 1.52 | 1.71 | 3.75 | 6.38 |
| Nd | 8.12 | 7.22 | 8.43 | 13.09 | 23.05 |
| Sm | 2.64 | 2.23 | 2.71 | 2.46 | 4.68 |
| Eu | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.72 |
| Gd | 3.28 | 2.85 | 3.56 | 2.32 | 4.23 |
| Tb | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.88 |
| Dy | 4.30 | 3.49 | 4.48 | 3.64 | 6.41 |
| Ho | 0.96 | 0.79 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 1.48 |
| Er | 2.84 | 2.32 | 2.90 | 2.91 | 4.62 |
| Tm | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.73 |
| Yb | 2.91 | 2.28 | 2.82 | 3.29 | 4.77 |
| Lu | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.77 |
| Ba | 237.00 | 134.00 | 11.00 | 473.00 | 305.00 |
| Th | 0.99 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 25.87 | 16.47 |
| Nb | 1.21 | 0.94 | 1.07 | 16.84 | 20.29 |
| Y | 23.80 | 21.18 | 26.76 | 23.76 | 39.75 |
| Hf | 2.24 | 1.98 | 2.47 | 6.00 | 8.27 |
| Ta | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 1.21 | 1.36 |
| U | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 4.58 | 2.93 |
| Pb | 2.80 | 2.42 | 1.75 | 17.06 | 13.02 |
| Rb | 9.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 204.00 | 136.00 |
| Cs | 0.87 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 4.44 | 0.77 |
| Sr | 111.00 | 65.00 | 33.00 | 94.00 | 41.00 |
| Sc | 16.93 | 10.28 | 10.90 | 5.73 | 4.88 |
| Zr | 67.00 | 59.00 | 77.00 | 189.00 | 290.00 |

*Total Fe Expressed as FeO. †These analyses were done by XRF, all others by ICP-MS.
Figure 8. REE diagram of Pescadero felsite samples. Clast from Pigeon Point Formation (up-pointed triangle), clast from sheared metaconglomerate (diamond) and sample from massive Pescadero felsite (down-pointed triangle). Analyses done by ICP-MS. Chondrite normalization values are from Sun and McDonough (1989).

The amount of iron present (Table 3) suggests that the Pescadero felsite was originally less silicic than it is now. It may have initially been a dacite that was subsequently albitized, gaining silica in the process. To help constrain provenance of this material, a tectonic discrimination analysis was applied that only used relatively stable trace elements, since the Pescadero felsite has been altered. Due to uncertain composition of original material, the Th-Hf/3-Ta diagram (Figure 9) was chosen for this purpose, as it can be applied to silicic, intermediate, and mafic lavas (Rollingson, 1993). The Pescadero felsite plots within the field of calc-alkaline flows, near the boundary with island-arc tholeiites.
Figure 9. Th-Hf/3-Ta tectonic discrimination diagram. (Wood, 1980). Pescadero felsite symbols are the same as in Figure 8.

“Smoked Salmon” Clasts

Major oxides and trace element analyses for “smoked salmon” clasts from the Pigeon Point Formation and from the Carmelo Formation at Point Lobos are listed in the last two columns of Table 3. Oxides from the Bachman and Abbott (1988)
samples from Point Lobos, Point Reyes, Adelaida Ranch, and Garcia Mountain overlap. The authors interpreted this as supportive of their hypothesis that these distinctive clasts are all from the same source. Major oxides from the “smoked salmon” clast from the conglomerate of the Pigeon Point Formation fit in well with the overlapping Bachman and Abbott (1988) data.

The REE compositions of the clasts reveal significant enrichment of the light REEs, a somewhat enriched but relatively flat trend of the heavy REEs, and a modest negative Eu anomaly (Figure 10).

Figure 10. REE diagram of “smoked salmon” samples. Clast from Pigeon Point Formation (circle), clast from Point Lobos Carmelo Formation (square). Analyses done by ICP-MS. Chondrite normalization values are from Sun and McDonough (1989).
This is a somewhat unusual pattern, as most Andean arc-type rhyolites exhibit a continued decreasing slope. The large “tipping gull wing” profile common to both suggests, along with the major oxide and the petrographic data, that the “smoked salmon” clasts are from the same source. On the Th-Hf/3-Ta diagram (Figure 9), the clasts plot low within the field of calc-alkaline flows.

INTERPRETATION OF DATA

Age of Pigeon Point Conglomerate and Timing of Key Events

Conglomerate Age Analysis

Table 4 lists detrital zircon (Jacobson et al., 2010) and molluscan assemblage (Elder and Saul, 1993) ages for the Pigeon Point Formation. The clasts from the conglomeratic member G, northern section (Lowe, 2004) are a primary data source for this thesis, so ascertaining the depositional age of that unit provides important context to subsequent interpretation of findings from it. Since a conglomerate bed may lack fossils suitable for dating, its age may be inferred from the ages of units located stratigraphically above and below the bed in question.
Table 4. Age controls on Pigeon Point Formation. See Figure 1 for locations. Data not available indicated by n/a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Age Description</th>
<th>Age Range (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member I</td>
<td>Mid-Campanian</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member H</td>
<td>Early-mid Campanian</td>
<td>92 ± 6 Ma (80 ± 5 Ma)¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member G (Conglomerate)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member F</td>
<td>Mid-late Campanian</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member E</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member D</td>
<td>Maastrichtian – late Campanian (Hall et al., 1959)</td>
<td>95 ± 2 Ma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member C</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member B</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member A</td>
<td>Early Campanian</td>
<td>85 ± 5 Ma (73 ± 4 Ma)¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Earlier age interpreted by Jacobson et al. (2010) as possibly anomalous.

A mid-late Campanian age has been suggested by Elder and Saul (1993) for member F in the northern sequence, located immediately below the conglomeratic member G (Figures 2 and 3). The two members are in depositional contact and although minor faulting pervades the overall formation, there are no major fault separations between members F and G. From this, it is inferred that the member G exposed in the northern section is no older than mid-late Campanian (~70-77 Ma). As depicted in Figure 2, the northern section is faulted immediately above member G, and neither fossils nor zircons were dated in any stratigraphically higher units in the northern section, so an upper age limit for the conglomerate cannot be directly determined.
In the southern section, conglomerate member G is in depositional contact with member H, from which molluscan assemblage ages of early-mid Campanian (~77-83 Ma) were obtained, and the youngest detrital zircon age is ~80 Ma. The conglomerate unit of the southern section was tentatively correlated with the unit exposed in the northern section by Howell and Joyce (1981). The clast analysis done for this thesis is in good agreement with the work done by Grove (1989) on member G of the southern section and further supports the Howell and Joyce (1981) correlation. Thus, the age controls from member H of the southern section can be used to infer an upper limit on the age of the northern section conglomerate member G.

Based on ages of stratigraphically higher and lower units, the conglomeratic member G of the northern sequence was likely deposited during the mid-Campanian.

**Key Events**

Table 5 summarizes my results and those of previous workers to reconstruct the key events that affected the study area from just prior to deposition of the Pigeon Point Formation until the present.
Table 5. Timing of key events. All dates are approximate. References given in text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute and/or relative date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90 to ~86 Ma</td>
<td>Pescadero felsite (calc-alkaline to borderline island arc tholeiite, ~flat REE) erupted into accretionary complex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86 to ~80 Ma</td>
<td>Pescadero felsite buried to prehnite-pumpellyite facies; uplifted and eroded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to ~70 Ma mid Campanian</td>
<td>Marine Pigeon Point Fm deposited atop Pescadero felsite in warm-temperate to subtropical climate. Clasts include: * underlying Pescadero felsite * red radiolarian chert * “smoked salmon” from inland source * tonalite with initial $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.70468$ to 0.70571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~Paleogene</td>
<td>* Slip on Nacimiento fault truncates ~150 km of great valley and western Sierran arc material. * Farallon plate flat slab subduction, Laramide orogeny, uplift to east</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~27 Ma</td>
<td>Pacific plate approaches North American plate, margin changes from compression to transtension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~22 Ma Miocene</td>
<td>Marine Vaqueros (?) Formation deposited onto eroded, folded and faulted Pigeon Point Formation. Includes interbedded basalt flows. Initial $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ of granodiorite and granite clasts: 0.70599 to 0.71200.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~10 to 0 Ma late Miocene to present</td>
<td>~150-160 km dextral slip on San Gregorio-Hosgri fault.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Linkage to Underlying Unit**

About 5 percent of the clasts in the ~77 Ma conglomeratic member G, northern section (Lowe, 1979, 2004) of the Pigeon Point Formation are a match with the underlying ~86-90 Ma Pescadero felsite. Field observations of the
structure and composition of the conglomerate and minor sandstone beds exposed near the felsite outcrop suggest that this unit is also member G (Figures 2 and 3).

The depositional relationship depicted in stratigraphic column 3, Figure 3, suggest an incised upper submarine canyon placed the conglomerate unit in almost direct contact with the underlying Pescadero felsite. Between the two units is a zone of sheared metaconglomerate (Ernst et al., in press), so fault slip may also have contributed to the stratigraphic relationship of the Pigeon Point Formation conglomeratic member G and the Pescadero felsite.

Common characteristics between the Pescadero felsite samples and correlative clasts from the Pigeon Point Formation include similar texture, composition (Table 3), REE patterns (Figure 8) and the presence of pumpellyite ± prehnite, indicative of low-temperature burial metamorphism. This metamorphic assemblage is otherwise absent in clasts of the Pigeon Point Formation, suggesting that the Pescadero felsite is the source of the felsitic conglomerate clasts and the Pescadero felsite was buried to prehnite-pumpellyite facies conditions, exhumed, and eroded prior to or during the deposition of the Pigeon Point Formation (Ernst et al., 2009; Sanquini et al., 2010).

The Pescadero felsite is characterized as calc-alkaline, bordering on island arc tholeiitic (Figure 9). Ernst et al. (in press) suggested that the Pescadero felsite magma formed in part by anatexis of clastic Franciscan or Great Valley affinity
strata. Consistency with the chemical analysis requires that the partially melted clastic sediments are of fairly primitive composition.

Chemical analysis suggests that source magma for the “smoked salmon” clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation is different from that of the Pescadero felsite. If they are correlative with the ~152 Ma clasts from Point Lobos and Point Reyes (Burnham, 2005), they are ~60 m.y. older than the Pescadero felsite, and likely transported to the area of deposition from a distal source.

**Comparison to Overlying Unit**

The contact between the Late Cretaceous Pigeon Point Formation and the late Oligocene-early Miocene Vaqueros(?) Formation represents a gap of ~50 m.y., indicating non-deposition or erosion prior to deposition of the overlying unit (Taylor, 1988; Dunkel, 1997).

The Pigeon Point and Vaqueros(?) formations both are marine conglomerates and contain abundant silicic volcanic clasts. However, they differ in significant ways. The mean P/F ratio of the Vaqueros(?) Formation sandstone is 0.52 but the plagioclase-rich Pigeon Point Formation mean P/F ratio is 0.73. The Vaqueros(?) formation includes ~20 % quartzite clasts, whereas quartzites in the Pigeon Point Formation are relatively rare. Granitoid clasts of the Pigeon Point
Formation are potassium feldspar-poor, and initial strontium isotope ratios are indicative of a transitional oceanic to continental affinity. Angular granodiorite boulders at the base of the Vaqueros(?) Formation are somewhat more evolved, and rounded granite clasts stratigraphically higher in the formation are further evolved, with initial strontium isotope ratios up to 0.71200 (Table 9). The uppermost unit of the Vaqueros(?) Formation includes a variety of ~22 Ma basaltic rocks, including some interbedded with sandstones. These are not seen in the limited outcrops of the Pigeon Point Formation.

**Comparison to Upper Cretaceous Atascadero Formation**

The Pigeon Point Formation has been correlated with the Upper Cretaceous Atascadero Formation east of the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault near San Luis Obispo (Dickinson et al., 2005), but this correlation appears untenable for several reasons.

**Paleontology and Inferred Paleolatitudes**

The Pigeon Point Formation and the Atascadero Formation both lie west of the Nacimiento fault, but paleontologic and geologic data do not support their deposition in the same area. The Atascadero Formation has six species of mollusks in common with those identified in the Pigeon Point Formation (Elder and Saul, 1993), but these are primarily the North Pacific climate mollusks. None of the
mollusca identified at Atascadero suggest tropical conditions (LouElla Saul, written comm., 2010). The Pigeon Point Formation includes a few tropical fossils and was interpreted by Elder and Saul as deposited in a warm-temperate to subtropical climate.

**Underlying Rocks Differ**

The rock unit beneath the Atascadero Formation is different from the one beneath the Pigeon Point Formation. The Pescadero felsite, established as underlying the Pigeon Point Formation (Ernst et al., 2009; Sanquini et al., 2010), has not been observed at Atascadero. The Toro Formation, which underlies the Atascadero Formation (Hart, 1976) is not observed at Pigeon Point, either in onshore or offshore areas (Dunkel, 1997). This could be attributed to erosion and removal of the underlying units prior to the deposition of the Late Cretaceous formations, as the depositional relations and metamorphism of the pre-Pigeon Point Formation rocks near Pigeon Point indicate considerable uplift and unroofing of the felsite prior to Pigeon Point deposition (Ernst et al., 2009).

**Overlying Rocks Differ**

Dickinson et al. (2005) supported their postulated Atascadero-Pigeon Point correlation by linking the Miocene Cambria Felsite, which overlies the Atascadero Formation, with the Pescadero felsite. However, U-Pb zircon work by Ernst et al. (2009) demonstrated that the Pescadero felsite is Late Cretaceous, and probably
underlies the Pigeon Point Formation. This finding negates the Cambria Felsite-Pescadero felsite correlation and its possible support of the Atascadero-Pigeon Point correlation.

Dickinson et al. (2005) also supported the Atascadero-Pigeon Point correlation by correlating the Morro Rock-Islay Hill complex (22.7 ± 0.9 Ma to 28.0 ± 1.0 Ma) northwest of San Luis Obispo (Ernst and Hall, 1974; Cole and Stanley, 1998) with the 22.0 ± 0.7 Ma (K-Ar) basalt in the Vaqueros(?) Formation (Taylor, 1990). However, volcanic rocks of the Morro Rock-Islay Hill complex are dacite, and those of the Vaqueros(?) Formation are basalt. In addition, an older date of 27-26.5 Ma has been proposed as a more reliable estimate for the Morro Rock-Islay Hill complex (Stanley et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2005), negating its correlation with the younger (22 ± 0.7 Ma) volcanic rocks of the Vaqueros(?) Formation.

**Depositional Locations of the “Smoked Salmon” Clasts**

The Pigeon Point Formation includes rare “smoked salmon” clasts identified at only four other basins in California: Point Lobos, Point Reyes, Adelaida Ranch, and near Garcia Mountain (Bachman and Abbott, 1998). The other basins are younger than the Pigeon Point Formation, and are all east of the Nacimiento fault (Figure 1). Bachman and Abbott (1998) used these distinctive clasts to reconstruct tectonic relationships among the four basins and suggested that Point Lobos was displaced
northwest about 185 km from a depositional location just west of Garcia Mountain (Figure 1).

“Smoked salmon" clasts are an unexpected discovery in the Pigeon Point Formation for several reasons. The Pigeon Point Formation is at least 10 Ma older than the other basins; there is no evidence at Pigeon Point of the distinctive granodiorite exposed near Point Lobos, Point Reyes, and in the La Panza Range near Garcia Mountain (Compton, 1966; Ross, 1984); and there are few of the dark quartzite clasts otherwise common at the four comparative locations (Bachman and Abbott, 1988).

**Synthesis of Depositional Environment**

This synthesis encompasses the three units depicted in the composite stratigraphic column of Figure 3: the Pescadero felsite, the Pigeon Point Formation and the Vaqueros(?) Formation. Petrographic and chemical analysis done for this thesis was combined with available isotopic, paleontologic and palynologic data for these units.

The Pescadero felsite is inferred by Ernst at al. (in press) as erupted into accretionary complex or forearc basin strata. As described earlier, chemical analysis constrains such strata to be of somewhat primitive affinity.
The Pigeon Point Formation is interpreted by Lowe (1979, 2004) as a submarine canyon-fan system. Molluscan assemblages in the formation (Elder and Saul, 1993) are inferred by the authors to indicate deposition in a warm-temperate to subtropical environment. Paleomagnetic data summarized by Whidden et al. (1998) suggest that this depositional latitude was \( \sim 22^\circ \) south of its current location. Although neither paleontologic nor palynologic evidence rules this out, data is not available for potentially correlative fossils at such latitudes. A relatively nearby source is required for the tonalite clasts of the Pigeon Point Formation, while a more distal source is likely for the “smoked salmon” clasts. Since the potentially correlative “smoked salmon” clasts are all found in younger basins, east of the Nacimiento fault, fluvial transport of more than 150 km is required to transport such clasts from their unknown original source to both the correlative basins east of the Nacimiento Fault and to the Pigeon Point Formation.

During one or more compressive events of the Paleogene, the Pigeon Point Formation was folded, uplifted and eroded. Subsequently, a transtensional environment supported the \( \sim 22 \) Ma deposit of the unconformably overlying shallow marine Vaqueros(?) Formation. A relatively nearby source is required for the angular boulders of transitional granodiorite at the base of the formation. A somewhat more distant source is possible for the more evolved, rounded granite clasts in the conglomerate section of the Vaqueros(?) Formation. Basaltic volcanics
are present in the uppermost unit of the Vaquero(s?) Formation, some of which are inferred to be subaqueous (Taylor, 1988).

**Speculative Reconstruction**

As depicted in Figure 11, it is speculated that the Pigeon Point Formation may have been deposited at a location ~100 km south of what is now San Diego (PP1), translated northwest to a location outboard of the north Channel Islands (PP2) and finally northwest to its current location (PP3). An analogy for part of this journey is made with the Eocene Poway clast depositional system (Abbott and Smith, 1989). Its source location is in Sonora, Mexico (PC1) and conglomeratic Poway clast deposits are now located near San Diego (PC2) and in a submarine fan now exposed in the northern Channel Islands (PC3).

One of several issues associated with this speculative reconstruction is that it requires rotation of the Pigeon Point block. Whereas Joyce (1981) suggested that some sections are rotated, and post-Miocene clockwise rotation of about 49 degrees within the Purisima Formation in the Pigeon Point block was inferred by Holm and Verosub (1987), Champion et al. (1984) noted no significant rotation within the Pigeon Point Formation.
Figure 11. Speculative reconstruction. WTR: western Transverse Ranges. PP1: Pigeon Point Formation depositional location. PP2: location at ~10 Ma. PP3: current location. PC1, 2, 3: Dismembered segments of Poway clast depositional system, after Abbott and Smith (1989).

Pigeon Point Formation depositional location PP1 is a site of accretionary or forearc basin strata that could partially melt to produce the Pescadero felsite, consistent with the age distribution of zircons in the felsite (Ernst et al., in press).
The nearby western Peninsular Ranges batholith includes relatively primitive 130–105 Ma tonalites (Early and Silver, 1973; Gromet and Silver, 1987; Gastil, 1993). These tonalites could have provided part of the detritus to the accretionary area at PP1 consistent with the chemical profile of the much younger Pescadero felsite, and are also inferred to be the source for the granitoid clasts present in the Pigeon Point Formation conglomerates. No Late Cretaceous volcanic rocks are known in the Peninsular Ranges that could be the offset remains of the Pescadero felsite. This speculative reconstruction does not help constrain provenance of the Late Jurassic “smoked salmon” clasts, nor does it restore the Late Cretaceous Pigeon Point Formation to be geographically close to known late Paleocene–early Eocene depositional basins of the clasts. Such clasts are exceedingly rare in the Pigeon Point Formation. During the Late Cretaceous, material from the “smoked salmon” source location may not have been readily available or, if it was, perhaps only a few clasts were transported to the formation via unknown fluvial or ocean current systems.

The paleolatitude inferred by location PP1 satisfies the warm-temperate to subtropical climate required by the molluscan assemblages (Elder and Saul, 1993) and is also consistent with the latitude suggested by palynological studies Frederiksen (1990). It is too far north to support the paleomagnetic restoration suggested by Whidden et al. (1998).
The Pigeon Point Formation was subsequently uplifted, tilted and eroded. The 50 m.y. hiatus between deposits of the Pigeon Point Formation and those of the unconformably overlying Vaqueros(?) Formation coincides with the latest Cretaceous through Eocene period of Laramide flat-slab subduction and shortening (e.g. Coney and Reynolds, 1977).

At ~27 Ma, the East Pacific Rise intersected the trench, initiating a change from subduction to transtension (e.g., Atwater, 1970; Atwater and Stock, 1998). Volcanism associated with transtension is the source for the ~22 Ma basaltic units present in the upper stratigraphy of the Vaqueros(?) Formation. An unknown, local tectonic disruption in the Peninsular Ranges may have delivered transitional granodiorite to the base of the formation. There is no known local source for the more evolved rounded granitic clasts present in the formation.

The dashed outline of location PP1 represents the restored western Transverse Ranges block, including the north Channel Islands (Luyendyk, 1980, 1998; Nicholson et al., 1994; Atwater and Stock, 1998). This block has been extended to the south to encompass the proposed depositional site of the Pigeon Point block. Luyendyk (1998) noted that ages of the volcanic units of the northern Channel Islands progressed from ~19 Ma in the west to ~16 Ma in the east (Table 6). Restoration of the Channel Islands places the most western location as the most southern location. Luyendyk (1998) inferred from age progression that rifting initiated at the most southern location and propagated north. Whereas the oldest
volcanic unit exposed on the northern Channel Islands is ~19 Ma, I propose that
volcanism could have initiated at ~22 Ma if the Vaqueros(?) Formation restores to a
position outboard (west) of the San Miguel Islands (Table 6).

Table 6. Volcanic ages, north Channel Islands and Vaqueros(?) basalts. Summarized
from Wilson et al., 2005, and references therein. All ages approximate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST</th>
<th></th>
<th>EAST</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaqueros(?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pescadero Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Miguel</td>
<td></td>
<td>Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td></td>
<td>Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anacapa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 Ma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-17 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td>19-18 Ma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-16 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 Ma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td></td>
<td>K-Ar on plagioclase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40Ar/39Ar whole-rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on basalt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40Ar/39Ar whole-rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on diabase intrusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40Ar/39Ar whole-rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on andesite; also on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>plagioclase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40Ar/39Ar whole-rock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on basalt; also on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>plagioclase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rotation of the western Transverse Ranges was substantially completed by
~10 Ma, which positioned the Pigeon Point block at the western edge of the Western
Transverse Ranges block a this time, within the broad western North America
dextral shear zone. It is suggested that slip on undefined offshore right-lateral
faults, together with dextral slip on the San Gregorio-Hosgri fault transported the
Pigeon Point block to its current location.
CONCLUSIONS

Original petrographic and chemical analyses for this thesis combined with the synthesis of previous work by others shed new light on the Pigeon Point Formation and the inferred tectonic history of southwestern California since the Late Cretaceous.

Petrographic work identified potential Pescadero felsite clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation and subsequent chemical analysis confirmed the match. This helps to clarify the relationship between the two units and also further constrains the depositional environment of the underlying Pescadero felsite. Petrography was also instrumental in the identification of potential “smoked salmon” clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation conglomerates and chemical analyses support the tentative correlation to other locations in the Coast Ranges. Because this highly durable clast is identified in a few other basins but their original source location is not known, this did not significantly constrain the depositional environment of the Pigeon Point Formation. Finally, petrography was also key in the identification of the composition of the granitoid clasts in the Pigeon Point Formation as well as two different types of granitoid clasts in the overlying Vaqueros(?) Formation. The compositional information about these three different types of granitoids, combined
with previous isotope work by others, provides constraints on the depositional location of the Pigeon Point and Vaqueros(?) Formations.

Extensive literature review and synthesis brought the work of many geologic disciplines to bear on the longstanding problem of the depositional location of the Pigeon Point Formation and its related overlying and underlying units. Speculative reconstructions done for this thesis broached the uncertain territory of fault slip in southwestern California during the Paleogene.
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