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ABSTRACT

FLOW CYTOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF PHYTOPLANKTON VIABILITY IN ELKHORN SLOUGH, CALIFORNIA

by Sarah R. Smith

The phytoplankton community structure of Elkhorn Slough was characterized flow cytometrically and found to be dominated in the upper reaches by small cryptophytes (< 5 μm) and picoeukaryotic phytoplankton (< 3 μm). Cell-specific viability of the small cryptophyte population was quantified along a 5 km transect from the mouth to the shallow upper reaches of Elkhorn Slough using fluorescein diacetate (FDA). Corroborative viability techniques, including SYTOX Green stain and cell digestion assay, were determined to be inappropriate for use in Elkhorn Slough due to indiscriminate staining of suspended particulates and incompatibility with cell target material. Viability analysis with FDA revealed a higher fraction of active cryptophyte cells in the upper reaches, the area of their dominance, and a lower fraction of active cells in the lower slough. It was concluded that cell death (as defined by a lack of FDA-linked esterase enzyme activity) is an important force structuring the phytoplankton community of Elkhorn Slough.
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INTRODUCTION

Planktonic, photosynthetic microorganisms fuel food webs, affect global climate through production of oxygen and consumption of carbon dioxide, and influence biogeochemical cycling of elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and silica. Because of this important role in both marine and freshwater systems, much research attention has been focused on studying rates of microalgal growth, production and mortality (Kirchman 1999, Bidle and Falkowski 2004, Franklin et al. 2006).

Until recently, the only major sources of phytoplankton loss were considered to be grazing and sinking and it was otherwise assumed that cells would divide indefinitely (Kirchman 1999). However, the paradoxical observation of “crashing” algal cultures and the inability of researchers to balance the algal growth equation with losses from grazing and downward flux alone have long suggested that there may be additional sources of phytoplankton mortality (Walsh 1983, Franklin et al. 2006).

Recent advances in understanding phytoplankton loss include the discovery of an auto-mortality pathway in phytoplankton and of high loss rates due to viral lysis (Agustí et al. 1998, Berges and Falkowski 1998). These studies confirm that grazing and downward flux are not the only significant loss terms for phytoplankton and highlights cell death as a force in controlling population dynamics of phytoplankton. A complete awareness of the forces structuring phytoplankton communities in the ocean must incorporate an assessment of in-situ physiology if we are to truly appreciate the role of phytoplankton in these systems. Unfortunately, there are relatively few studies that
measure the magnitude of cell death or prevalence of dead cells in nature (Hayakawa et al. 2008). There is increased recognition that quantifying and understanding unicellular viability in nature is important to understand environmental processes, yet it is practically challenging for several reasons (Porter et al. 1997, Joux & Lebaron 2000, Veal et al. 2000).

Microorganism viability is generally defined by both the ability to divide and be metabolically active (Nebe-von Caron and Badley 1995, Joux and Lebaron 2000). However, traditional methods designed to estimate the quantity of live cells in a given population rely solely on laboratory incubations of cells in growth medium, e.g., most probable number (MPN) technique (Rowe et al. 1977, Woomer et al. 1990). This growth approach is often inadequate since the cells that proliferate under typical laboratory conditions may not be representative of the community or its in-situ activity.

For example, in marine systems only 0.1-1% of total bacteria typically grow and form colonies on agar plates, a phenomenon which is described as the “great plate count anomaly” (Staley & Konopka 1985). The most simple explanation as to why the remaining 99-99.9% of bacterial cells do not form colonies is that the cells are dead. However, more rigorous and careful culturing methods have allowed up to 60% of total marine bacterial cells to be cultivated suggesting that rather than dead, these cells don’t grow under the conditions provided in the laboratory (Connon and Giovannoni 2002, Button et al. 1993). Since culture methods introduce a bias that skews the interpretation of the physiological activity of cells in nature, assays of other vital characteristics that can be quantified in-situ are more desirable.
Metabolic activity or “vigor” of natural phytoplankton communities is most often measured through indicators of photosynthetic activity such as oxygen evolution or $^{14}$C incorporation (Peterson 1980). These measurements are bulk rates and have formed the basis of much of what we know about ocean function, yet they lack specificity to any functional group within the autotrophic fraction of the plankton. More specificity can be obtained by measuring the rate of $^{14}$C incorporation into taxonomically significant pigments (Goericke and Welschmeyer 1993). While these measurements are specific and can yield valuable information about how communities and ecosystems are structured, obtaining the measurements can be complicated and requires a high level of expertise. Furthermore these pigment-specific rates contain no information on heterogeneity within a given population since the rate is integrated for the whole community containing a given pigment.

Achieving taxonomic specificity for physiological measurements such as growth rate, production, activity and death remains a challenge in environmental microbiology. Single cell analyses, through the use of the microscope or with flow cytometry provide a direct way to make inferences about physiology at the cellular level (Collier 2000). Though many potential single-cell in-situ physiological assays exist such as autoradiography, estimates of RNA: DNA ratios, resistance to enzymatic digestion, and vital dyes, none is entirely straightforward, universal nor well accepted (Veal et al. 2000). Currently, the most frequently used method and arguably most direct method is the use of vital stains.
Vital stains are dyes that discriminate live and dead cells in a given population based on a variety of proxies of viability such as membrane integrity or metabolic activity (esterase enzyme activity; respiration). Vital stains have been primarily developed for use in human health-related research on cell cultures maintained in stable laboratory conditions where tests verifying the performance and validity of the live/dead score are easily conducted. Unfortunately, environmental variability in natural types of microorganisms in addition to complex physical and chemical environments renders many vital dyes unsuitable (Agustí & Sánchez 2002). In addition, many vital stains are not suitable for use with phytoplankton due to interference with chlorophyll autofluorescence (Table 1).

No comprehensive studies have been conducted that systematically test the performance of the variety of vital stains on phytoplankton viability, yet these assays are beginning to be used routinely in toxicological and other applied studies (Gilbert et al. 1992, Franklin et al. 2001, Regel et al. 2002). The most commonly employed vital stains for viability detection in phytoplankton are fluorescein diacetate (FDA) and SYTOX Green (Figure 1). FDA is a non-fluorescent enzyme substrate that diffuses across the cellular membranes of both live and dead cells. In the cytoplasm of active cells, non-specific esterase enzymes cleave the FDA molecule into a green fluorescent product, allowing for the discrimination of esterase active and inactive cells. Inactive cells, those without enzymatic activity, remain non-fluorescent. SYTOX Green is a fluorescent polar molecule that is impermeant to live cells. It penetrates the compromised cell membrane
Table 1. Vital stains used in studies of environmental microorganism viability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stain/Dye</th>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTC</td>
<td>Cell respiration</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcein AM</td>
<td>Intracellular esterase activity</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCECF AM</td>
<td>Intracellular esterase activity</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Intracellular esterase activity</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFDA-AM</td>
<td>Intracellular esterase activity</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDFA</td>
<td>Intracellular esterase activity</td>
<td>Live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypan blue</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>Dead cells stain blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan's Blue</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>Dead cells stain blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYTOX® Green</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanine-Based Stains</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce a variety of colors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-AAD</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce red-orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EthD-1</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce red-orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>Membrane integrity</td>
<td>DNA of dead cells fluoresce red-orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh123</td>
<td>Mitochondrial membrane potential</td>
<td>Mitochondria of live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoTracker® Green</td>
<td>Mitochondrial membrane potential</td>
<td>Mitochondria of live cells fluoresce green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiOC$_{6}$(3)</td>
<td>Mitochondrial membrane potential</td>
<td>Mitochondria of live cells fluoresce red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBT</td>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>Live cells turn blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTC</td>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>Medium reduced to TPF red fluorescent product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XTT</td>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>Medium turns orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>Redox potential</td>
<td>Medium turns purple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12-resazurin</td>
<td>Unid. intracellular enzymatic/chemical activity</td>
<td>Cytoplasm of live cells fluoresces pink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>Unid. intracellular enzymatic/chemical activity</td>
<td>Medium fluoresces pink in presence of live cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlamarBlue™</td>
<td>Unid. intracellular enzymatic/chemical activity</td>
<td>Medium fluoresces pink in presence of live cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of dead cells and binds to double-stranded DNA, causing the nucleus of dead cells to fluoresce green (Roth 1997, Veldhuis 2001).

Out of 61 studies published since 1988 measuring phytoplankton viability, only seven measure viability of environmental populations where variables such as particle load, cell diversity, culture age and stain conditions (pH, temperature, salinity) cannot be easily controlled (Table 2). These factors are known to alter the performance of some vital stains and as a result only one of these field studies used a stain method (SYTOX Green, Veldhuis et al. 2001). The remaining six studies used the cell digestion assay, a non-stain method that involves the exposure of cells to a cocktail of enzymes that digests and removes dead cells with compromised outer membranes (Darzynkiewicz et al. 1994, Agustí and Sánchez 2002). One of the principal advantages of the cell digestion assay is the ease of data interpretation due to limited background staining, rendering it more useful in environments with high particle loads.

Table 2. Studies quantifying viability of natural phytoplankton communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veldhuis et al. 2001</td>
<td>Cell death in phytoplankton: correlation between changes in membrane permeability, photosynthetic activity, pigmentation and growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agustí &amp; Sánchez 2002</td>
<td>Cell viability in natural phytoplankton communities quantified by a membrane permeability probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agustí 2004</td>
<td>Viability and niche segregation of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus cells across the Central Atlantic Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agustí et al. 2006</td>
<td>Cell death in lake phytoplankton communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llabrés and Agustí 2006</td>
<td>Picoplankton cell death induced by UV radiation: Evidence for oceanic Atlantic communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Laita and Agustí 2007</td>
<td>Contrasting patterns of phytoplankton viability in the subtropical NE Atlantic Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayakawa et al. 2008</td>
<td>Differences in cell viabilities of phytoplankton between spring and late summer in the northwest Pacific Ocean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Flow cytometers are ideal instruments to detect vital staining since they are used to quantitatively analyze light scatter and fluorescence properties of individual cells in suspension (Collier 2000, Veal et al. 2000). Flow cytometers hydrodynamically focus a sample stream with sheath fluid allowing data to be obtained for a single cell. When the data are plotted, generally biparametrically, similar cell types cluster together distinctly allowing for discrimination of optically discrete populations. In environmental samples it cannot be assumed that optically discrete populations are composed of a single taxon since it is possible that several taxa may be of the same type and will cluster together. This occurrence must be taken under consideration during experimental design and data interpretation especially when analyzing field data. These instruments are optimized to count particles at a maximum rate of 800 events s\(^{-1}\) or in the range of \(10^5 - 10^7\) cells ml\(^{-1}\) and the minimum cell concentration required is approximately 500 cells ml\(^{-1}\) (Collier 2000, Marie et al. 2005). In complex environmental samples where particulates and sample diversity can be high, higher minimum cell densities (~\(2\times10^4\) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) may be necessary to visually discriminate a population cluster from background noise.

Flow cytometry allows for accurate determination of cell concentration of a wide variety of cell types and has been frequently used in oceanography since the 1980s (Legendre et al. 2001). The most important discovery involving the use of flow cytometry in oceanography to date is the detection of the globally abundant and significant cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus* (Chisholm et al. 1988). Characterizing the distribution and abundance of microorganisms has been the main focus of oceanographic studies utilizing flow cytometry thus far. Unfortunately these data ignore the functional
role of these cells and assume that presence indicates some degree of health and growth. It is currently unknown whether dead cells comprise a large fraction of the observed abundance profiles in many environmental populations, yet there is evidence that a large fraction (up to 88%) of cells in nature may be dead (Veldhuis et al. 2001, Agustí 2004, Hayakawa et al. 2008).

To understand the role of cell death in phytoplankton dynamics, viability must be quantified over a temporal or spatial gradient in which the phytoplankton community is variably structured. Unfortunately there are very few environments in which phytoplankton communities are structured so predictably as to allow investigation of the relationship between abundance and viability. Elkhorn Slough (central California) is characterized by a predictable and persistent phytoplankton community structure gradient that was discovered and characterized during a 5-year monitoring study funded by the Sanctuary Integrated Monitoring Network (SIMoN). The upper reaches of Elkhorn Slough were revealed to be nearly completely dominated by cryptophyte algae as evidenced by high ratios of their signature carotenoid pigment alloxanthin to total chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$; Figure 2; Welschmeyer and Younan unpubl. ). The relative contribution of alloxanthin to the total photosynthetic biomass (Chl $a$) decreases towards the slough mouth. The opposite pattern was documented for the diatom carotenoid fucoxanthin, indicating a shift in community structure from diatom-dominated coastal lower reaches towards a cryptophyte dominated inland regions.

Cryptophytes are eukaryotes that range in size from 3 to >50 μm and are found in freshwater, brackish and marine environments (Klaveness 1989). They are thought to be
Figure 2. Phytoplankton community structure pigment analysis in Elkhorn Slough. Ratio of A) Alloxanthin: Chl a representing the relative abundance of cryptophyte algae and B) Fucoxanthin: Chl a representing the relative abundance of diatoms. Data represent ten cruises from Elkhorn Slough (data from Welschmeyer and Younan unpubl.).
most dominant and successful in areas of attenuated light and high dissolved organic matter (DOM) since they are physiologically adapted to these conditions (Bergmann 2004). Cryptomonads are exceedingly complex in their evolutionary history and are considered to be chimaeras of a red alga and an unidentified host eukaryote (Cerino & Zingone 2007). Most of the research attention paid to cryptophyte algae has focused on understanding their evolutionary significance and the process of the secondary endosymbioses that have resulted in the strains present today. Cryptophytes or “hidden” algae are difficult to preserve, are often not abundant and are difficult to identify due to a variety of morphological characteristics (Gieskes and Kraay 1983, Cerino and Zingone 2007). Consequently there is a dearth of research on the role of cryptophytes in microbial ecology and a lack of understanding about the forces structuring their abundance (Bergmann 2004).

In Elkhorn Slough, the pattern in cryptophyte abundance and distribution was found to be seasonally persistent yet discrepant with regard to variability in nitrate, temperature and salinity (Welschmeyer and Younan unpubl.). The upper Elkhorn Slough is characterized by low light levels and high levels of DOM which are the environmental conditions under which cryptophytes are expected to thrive (Bergmann 2004). It was hypothesized that these environmental conditions present in upper Elkhorn Slough promote optimal growth of cryptophyte algae thereby allowing them to outcompete other phytoplankton. Further, it was expected that healthy and vital cryptophytes would be found in the upper reaches of Elkhorn Slough and that the transition away from these conditions would be marked by an increase in cell death (decreased viability) thus
allowing successful competition from other autotrophs. The principal objective of this thesis is to quantify population-level viability across a gradient in cryptophyte abundance to determine if the upper Elkhorn Slough phytoplankton community is structured by factors influencing cell death.

In order to quantify viability of a specific taxonomic fraction, population-specific measures such as the use of vital stains are imperative. Single cell specific vital assays (vital stains, cell digestion) are the most direct methods available to discriminate live and dead cells. Flow cytometry was chosen for use in Elkhorn Slough since the high particle load and sensitivity of the vital assays and cryptophyte cells to fixation and filtration render microscopy inappropriate. First, the target populations whose patterns in cell density are concomitant with the observed pigment pattern were located flow cytometrically. Second, a suitable viability assay protocol was established for the natural target populations. Lastly, patterns in viability of the identified target population were quantified to determine the extent of cell death as a structuring force in the Elkhorn Slough.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

I. Sampling

Surface water samples were collected in darkened 1.0 L polycarbonate bottles (rinsed three times at each station before filling) at ten sites in the main channel of the Elkhorn Slough (Figure 3); stations were distanced approximately 1 km from one another (Table 3).

Figure 3. Sanctuary Integrated Monitoring Network (SIMoN) sampling stations (1-10) in Elkhorn Slough. Sampling stations were established by the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary funded SIMoN program (2002-2007).

Temperature, salinity, and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) were measured at each station using a SBE 19 SEACAT Profiler CTD (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc.) equipped with a 4π-quantum sensor (LI193, Li-Cor). Flow cytometric analysis of phytoplankton community composition and viability was conducted on fresh samples.
Samples were stored until analysis at 13°C. Algal pigment samples and nutrient samples were prepared and archived, though not analyzed for this study. Within 24 hours, water samples were filtered onto Whatman GF/F filters and stored in liquid N$_2$ for pigment analysis. Filtrate from GF/F filters was stored at -20°C for nutrient analysis. Aliquots from the nutrient samples were removed for DOM analysis using fluorometric technique; specifically, using marine shallow transitional excitation/emission DOM maxima (310/423 nm) as described by Coble (1996).

Additional samples were collected occasionally from the dock at Kirby Park (N 36° 50.430' W 121° 44.894') for size fractionated chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$), flow cytometric and microscopic analysis. See Table 4 for sampling dates and analyses conducted.

### Table 3. Sampling coordinates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Coordinates: WGS84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N 36° 48.558' W 121° 47.155'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N 36° 48.749' W 121° 46.462'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N 36° 48.872' W 121° 45.951'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>N 36° 48.809' W 121° 45.449'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N 36° 48.975' W 121° 44.892'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N 36° 49.337' W 121° 44.744'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>N 36° 49.753' W 121° 44.587'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>N 36° 50.178' W 121° 44.505'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>N 36° 50.430' W 121° 44.894'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N 36° 50.641' W 121° 45.234'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### II. Flow cytometric analysis

A Becton-Dickinson FACSort flow cytometer equipped with a 488 nm argon-ion laser was used for all flow cytometric analysis. Barnstead NANOpure water was used as sheath fluid. All seawater samples were prefiltered with 73 μm Nitex mesh to prevent clogging of the sample intake. Data were acquired with CellQuest software. Typical
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Source (SIMON Cruise #: Kirby Park)</th>
<th>Cytometric Community Structure Sampling Dates</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Size Fractionation Analysis Chlorophyll Sampling Dates</th>
<th>Physical Data Sampling Dates (temperature, salinity, light attenuation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>21 Feb 07</td>
<td>21 Feb 07</td>
<td>21 Feb 07</td>
<td>21 Feb 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>7 Mar 07</td>
<td>7 Mar 07</td>
<td>7 Mar 07</td>
<td>7 Mar 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>21 Mar 07</td>
<td>21 Mar 07</td>
<td>21 Mar 07</td>
<td>21 Mar 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>4 Apr 07</td>
<td>4 Apr 07</td>
<td>4 Apr 07</td>
<td>4 Apr 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>11 May 07</td>
<td>11 May 07</td>
<td>11 May 07</td>
<td>11 May 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>30 May 07</td>
<td>30 May 07</td>
<td>30 May 07</td>
<td>30 May 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>1 Aug 07</td>
<td>1 Aug 07</td>
<td>1 Aug 07</td>
<td>1 Aug 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>19 Sep 07</td>
<td>19 Sep 07</td>
<td>19 Sep 07</td>
<td>19 Sep 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>16 Oct 07</td>
<td>16 Oct 07</td>
<td>16 Oct 07</td>
<td>16 Oct 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>13 Nov 07</td>
<td>13 Nov 07</td>
<td>13 Nov 07</td>
<td>13 Nov 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>5 Dec 07</td>
<td>5 Dec 07</td>
<td>5 Dec 07</td>
<td>5 Dec 07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>25 Feb 08</td>
<td>25 Feb 08</td>
<td>25 Feb 08</td>
<td>25 Feb 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>18 Mar 08</td>
<td>18 Mar 08</td>
<td>18 Mar 08</td>
<td>18 Mar 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>15 Apr 08</td>
<td>15 Apr 08</td>
<td>15 Apr 08</td>
<td>15 Apr 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>23 Apr 08</td>
<td>23 Apr 08</td>
<td>23 Apr 08</td>
<td>23 Apr 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>28 Apr 08</td>
<td>28 Apr 08</td>
<td>28 Apr 08</td>
<td>28 Apr 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>28 May 08</td>
<td>28 May 08</td>
<td>28 May 08</td>
<td>28 May 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>1 Jun 08</td>
<td>1 Jun 08</td>
<td>1 Jun 08</td>
<td>1 Jun 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>6 Jun 08</td>
<td>6 Jun 08</td>
<td>6 Jun 08</td>
<td>6 Jun 08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
settings for larger phytoplankton cells were FSC: E-01, SSC: 200, FL1: 500, FL2: 400, FL3: 300 and were all collected in logarithmic mode with threshold set on FL3 at 52 (identified as A settings; Table 5). Smaller cells were enumerated and characterized at FSC: E00, SSC: 300, FL1: 500, FL2: 750, FL3: 450 and were also collected in logarithmic mode with threshold set on FL3 at 52 (B settings). Settings were adjusted slightly if necessary.

Table 5. Commonly used flow cytometer settings. The A settings were used for large phytoplankton cells and B settings for smaller cells. All channels set in log mode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>A Settings</th>
<th>B Settings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSC Forward (180°) light scatter</td>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>Threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC Side (90°) light scatter</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL1 Green Fluorescence 530/30 nm</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL2 Orange Fluorescence 575/26 nm</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL3 Red Fluorescence Long Pass 650 nm</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To ensure the coincidence threshold was not crossed the event rate was kept under 800 cells s⁻¹ (Marie et al. 2005). Flow rate was adjusted depending on the particle concentration and both the low flow setting (approx. 12 μl min⁻¹) and the high flow setting (approx. 60 μl min⁻¹) were used as deemed appropriate. Flow rate was calibrated by the liquid-volume procedure outlined in (Marie et al. 2005) to eliminate the need for calibration with fluorescent microspheres. Data analysis was conducted with CellQuest, CYTOWIN (version 4.31) and FCS Express V3 software.
Elkhorn slough phytoplankton populations were gated and counted on the basis of chlorophyll autofluorescence (FL3) and orange phycobilin autofluorescence (FL2). Large cells could easily be discriminated from other particles on the basis of forward scatter, side scatter, chlorophyll fluorescence and orange fluorescence. In the two populations of small cells present in Elkhorn Slough, identified as small cryptophytes and picoeukaryotes, only the small cryptophytes were easily discriminated using forward scatter and side scatter, and chlorophyll and orange fluorescence signals. Picoeukaryotes and *Synechococcus* could only be discriminated on the bases of orange fluorescence (FL2) having otherwise identical chlorophyll fluorescence and forward scatter and side scatter signals (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Discrimination of picoeukaryotes and *Synechococcus* using phycobilin fluorescence. Data represent a single file plotted in to depict 1) a single population and 2) separate populations discriminated on the basis of phycobilin fluorescence. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale. Chlorophyll fluorescence determined from the red emission detector (FL3); phycobilin fluorescence determined from the orange emission detector (FL2).
III. Size fractionation analyses

a. Chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$) analysis

Seawater was filtered onto Whatman GF/F filters (nominal pore size 0.7-$\mu$m) and polycarbonate filters with 10-$\mu$m, 5-$\mu$m, and 3-$\mu$m pore sizes. Filtration was non-sequential meaning that the filtrate from each filter was discarded rather than analyzed and raw water was filtered onto each size filter. Pigments were extracted in 1.2 ml of 90% acetone and stored at -20°C for a minimum of 24 hours. The extract was analyzed with a TD-700 fluorometer (Turner Designs). Chl $a$ content of each size fraction was calculated by subtracting the measured Chl $a$ concentrations [chla] in the larger fractions. Equations are shown below.

\[
[chla]_{10\mu m} = [chla]_{10\mu m} \\
[chla]_{5\mu m} \rightarrow 10\mu m = [chla]_{5\mu m} - [chla]_{10\mu m} \\
[chla]_{3\mu m} \rightarrow 5\mu m = [chla]_{3\mu m} - [chla]_{5\mu m} - [chla]_{10\mu m} \\
[chla]_{0.7\mu m} \rightarrow 3\mu m = [chla]_{GF/F} - [chla]_{3\mu m} - [chla]_{5\mu m} - [chla]_{10\mu m}
\]

b. Flow cytometric and microscopic analysis

Seawater was filtered through the following filter sizes (73-$\mu$m, 10-$\mu$m, 5-$\mu$m, 3-$\mu$m and 1-$\mu$m). The filtrate was then characterized and enumerated flow cytometrically to determine what size filter excluded each population present in the sample. The same filtrate was then fixed with glutaraldehyde (2%) and filtered onto 0.4-$\mu$m polycarbonate
filters for epifluorescent microscopic visualization and identification of phytoplankton in each size class.

IV. Vital assays

a. Cell digestion

Stock solutions of DNAse I (800 μg ml⁻¹ in HBSS without phenol red) and trypsin (2% in HBSS without phenol red) were made following Agustí and Sánchez (2002) and kept frozen at -20°C until use. The cell digestion method was applied by adding 200 μl of DNAse I to 1 ml of sample and incubating for 15 min at 37°C. Then 200 μl of the trypsin solution was added to the sample tube and incubated for another 30 min. The enzymatic digestion was stopped by placing samples on ice. Enzymes were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (DNAse I: DN25-100mg, trypsin T9201-500mg, HBSS H-1347). Fractions viable were determined from counts of digested samples divided by counts of undigested replicate samples.

b. SYTOX Green

SYTOX Green commercial stock is available in 5 mM concentration in DMSO (S7020, Invitrogen). A working stock (50 μM in DMSO) was made and stored at -20°C. SYTOX Green was added to a final concentration of 0.5 μM (10 μl working stock to 990 μl sample) and incubated in the dark at room temperature for approximately 15 min (Timmermans et al. 2007, Veldhuis et al. 2001). SYTOX Green is proprietary and the cost at the time of writing is published as $174 for 250 μl. At the final concentration of
0.5 μM and 1 ml samples, this translates to a cost of $0.07 sample⁻¹. Populations were gated on the basis of forward scatter and chlorophyll fluorescence and staining was quantified in the green fluorescence channel.

c. **Fluorescein Diacetate (FDA)**

FDA can be obtained in small gram quantities from a variety of sources (MW = 416.39). A concentrated working stock was made (50 mM) by adding 0.1041 g to 5 ml of DMSO. Then, 20 μl of this working stock was added to 980 μl of DMSO to make a 1 mM final working stock. This final working stock was added to a sample for a final concentration of 10 μM. Samples were incubated at room temperature and light conditions, though bright light was avoided. Various incubation times were tested and optimal incubation time for natural samples was determined to be a 3-7 min. The effect of working stock age was tested on staining ability and it was determined that there were no differences between the staining ability of fresh 1 mM final working stocks and 6 month-old stocks (data not shown). The cost of FDA at the time of writing ranged from $18.08 g⁻¹ (Fisher Scientific) to $64 g⁻¹ (Invitrogen). At the final concentrations used of 10 μM with 1 ml samples, the cost is $0.02 to $0.06 per 100 samples.

Only small cells were measured for vital stain uptake due to limited densities of large cells during viability analysis. For stain uptake analysis, small cells were gated on the basis of forward scatter (FSC) and chlorophyll autofluorescence (FL3) as the spectral overlap from the increase in green fluorescence (FL1) impaired the gating of the target population on the preferred plot (FL3 vs. FL2; Figure 4). This precluded the stain uptake
analysis of picoeukaryotes, as FL2 was the only parameter allowing for the distinction of picoeukaryotes and *Synechococcus*.

V. Vital stain protocols, performance and verification

There are relatively few instances where vital assays have been used with phytoplankton and even fewer instances in which the result they yield (fraction live and dead) has been verified with measures of either growth or metabolism. Therefore a series of experiments were devised to test and optimize assay protocols.

a. Killed phytoplankton experiments

Cultures of *Dunaliella* sp. (obtained from J. Smith, Moss Landing Marine Laboratories) were killed by exposure to ultraviolet light (16 J m$^{-2}$ or 5,000 μJoules x 100 for a 20 cm diameter dish) from a Stratagene Stratalinker UV Crosslinker 2400. The UV-killed cells were used to serially dilute fresh culture to yield variable fractions of live and dead cells. In two experiments, the fractions live and dead were assessed with SYTOX Green and then simultaneously assessed for photosynthetic activity as measured by $^{14}$C incorporation (Peterson 1980). In a third experiment, fractions live and dead were assessed only with SYTOX Green and the cell digestion assay in order to compare the results obtained with each.
b. **Natural cell death: Senescent culture experiments**

Three cultures of *Dunaliella* sp., *Phaeodactylum* sp. (obtained from J. Smith, MLML) and *Cryptomonas* sp. (UTEX; LB2423) were sampled in batch culture stationary growth phase and analyzed with the cell digestion assay and SYTOX Green to compare the results obtained with both assays under a “realistic” scenario of cell death. Presumably all three cultures had high fraction dead cells due to nutrient starvation. The cell digestion assay requires a 45 min incubation at 37°C and a subsequent termination on ice. Agustí and Sánchez (2002) note that this element of the assay alone may be stressful on cells as the heat and ice element of the protocol may lyse cells independent of digestion artificially increasing the numbers of dead cells. Therefore samples analyzed for cell digestion included an undigested control, a heat treated control and a digested sample.

Batch culture experiments were also conducted. The cryptomonad, *Chroomonas* sp. (CCMP269), was seeded into K medium and grown on a 12:12h L:D cycle under continuous stirring. It was monitored flow cytometrically every 2-4 days over the growth cycle for cell concentration and viability as determined with SYTOX Green and FDA.

c. **Environmental samples**

SYTOX Green, FDA and cell digestion were all applied to environmental samples to assess potential unforeseen complications such as non-specific background staining or signal interference. Use of the cell digestion assay was evaluated on environmental samples to determine if there were adverse effects of the 37°C incubation on cell
concentration independent of digestion. SYTOX Green and FDA were also applied to *Tetraselmis* sp. culture (Reed Mariculture) that had been inoculated with test dust (Powder Technology Inc. course and medium test dust) which is routinely used to mimic ballast water and obscure detection of organic particles and was used here to determine the level of non-specific background staining with SYTOX Green.
I. Characterization of physico-chemical conditions

Temperature, salinity, dissolved organic matter (DOM) levels and light intensity measured during the sampling period from 21 February 2007 – 25 June 2008 are summarized in Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8. For sampling dates refer to Table 4. Temperature varied from a low of 9.8°C (Station 1, 10 June 2008) to a high of 21.8°C (Station 10, 19 May 2008). The average temperature was 12.87 (±SD 1.91) and 16.85 (±SD 2.67) at stations 1 and 10 respectively (n=16). Horizontal temperature stratification was seasonally variable and was stronger in the non-winter (March – October) months (Figure 5). Salinity varied from 26.6 PSU (Station 10, 25 February 2008) to 37.1 PSU (Station 10, 10 June 2008). The average salinity was 33.97 (±SD 0.53) and 33.47 (±SD 2.90) at stations 1 and 10 respectively (n=16). Horizontal stratification (from stations 1-10) was generally moderate (average maximum observed difference minus minimum observed difference = 2.0) except following rainfall in which the upper slough became hyposaline relative to the lower slough (Figure 6). In the summer months, the upper slough became hypersaline.

DOM was on average 6.98 times higher (± SD 2.11, n = 14) in the upper slough than the lower slough (calculated as the maximum observed value minus the minimum observed value within a cruise). The maximum observed ratio of upper slough DOM to lower slough DOM was 10.02 (19 May 2008, between stations 2 and 9) and the minimum
Figure 5. Temperature (°C) at each station for all sampling dates (2/21/2007 – 6/25/2008).

Figure 6. Salinity (PSU) at each station for all sampling dates (2/21/2007 – 6/25/2008).
Figure 7. Dissolved organic matter (DOM) at ten stations in Elkhorn Slough. Data represent 12 measurements at each station. Fluorescence units are arbitrary.

\[ y = 139805x + 112548 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.3682 \]

Figure 8. Average 1\% light level (±SD) shown with bottom depth at ten stations in Elkhorn Slough. Data represent 16 measurements at each station.
ratio was 3.06 (13 May 2008, between stations 2 and 9). Measurements of DOM are summarized in Figure 7.

The depth at which light was attenuated to 1% of surface irradiance (1% light level) was calculated for each station (n = 17) and is shown in Figure 8. The average 1% light level is considered to be the compensation depth at which the metabolic demands match photosynthesis. The 1% light level was always deeper than the bottom depth at all 10 stations indicating no light limitation for photosynthetic organisms throughout Elkhorn Slough.

II. Phytoplankton community structure of upper Elkhorn Slough

Upper Elkhorn Slough is characterized by several distinct populations of phytoplankton. Using flow cytometric settings tuned to detect cultures of the cryptophytes *Chroomonas* sp. (4-6 μm wide x 6-14 μm long) and *Cryptomonas* sp. (5 μm wide x 10 μm long), three distinct populations (called cryp 1, cryp 2 and cryp 3) were detected. These populations were concluded to be cryptophytes due to their large size and characteristic phycobilin fluorescence (Figure 9a). While these populations may be constitutively present in Elkhorn Slough, they were often below concentrations detected optimally with flow cytometry. When cells were present in sufficient densities to allow for flow cytometric detection, the abundance of these three populations increased concomitantly with the ratio of alloxanthin to chlorophyll a (Chl a) pigment pattern (data not shown).
Figure 9. Cytograms showing locations of A) large phytoplankton populations (A instrument settings; Table 5) and B) small phytoplankton populations (B instrument settings). Populations are identified as cryp 1, cryp 2, cryp 3, small cryp and picoeuk on plots 1 and are gated and colored on plot 2. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.
Two populations of much smaller cells enumerated with more sensitive
instrument settings (B settings; Table 5) were persistently detected in upper Elkhorn
Slough (figure 9b). One population was identified as small cryptophytes (due to
phycobilin fluorescence) and the other was categorized as picophytoplankton
(autofluorescent small cells). The small cryptophyte and picophytoplankton populations
were abundant in the upper reaches of the slough and were routinely measured at
concentrations of $5 \times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$ and $5 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$ respectively (Figure 10). Absolute
maximum abundance was variable and data likely represent the effect of tidal height at
time of sampling rather than true seasonal abundance. Though total abundance varied,
when the abundance is normalized to the maximum value within a cruise, it is evident
that both populations are structured in a pattern consistent with the observed pigment
gradient (Figures 2, 11).

III. Size fractionation of upper Elkhorn Slough phytoplankton

The cryp 1, cryp 2 and cryp 3 populations present in Elkhorn Slough were
relatively large as detected with flow cytometry, but size fractionation analysis was
conducted to quantitatively constrain the size classes. The cryp 3 population was larger
than 10 $\mu$m in all dimensions since it was removed entirely from the filtrate by the 10-$\mu$m
prefiltration. The cryp 2 and cryp 3 populations were reduced to less than 20% of the
initial concentration by the 3-$\mu$m prefiltration and completely by the 1-$\mu$m prefiltration
indicating that the mean size of both of these populations are between 3-10 $\mu$m. The 3-$\mu$m filtration reduced the small cryptophyte population by only 39%, therefore the
Figure 10. Cell concentrations of A) small cryptophytes and B) picoeukaryotes at 10 stations in Elkhorn Slough throughout sampling period.
Figure 11. Cell density pattern of A) small cryptophytes and B) picoeukaryotes in Elkhorn Slough. Data represent measurements of cell concentration at each station (n=15) normalized to maximum density within sampling date.
majority of the cells passed through the 3-μm filter and are smaller than 3 μm in at least one dimension. The majority of the small cryptophytes are less than 5 μm since 74% of the cells passed through. Finally, cells in the small picophytoplankton category were only reduced significantly by the 1-μm prefilter indicating these cells fall in the range of 1-3 μm (Figure 12).

![Flow cytometric analysis of Elkhorn Slough phytoplankton populations after size fractionation.](image)

**Figure 12. Flow cytometric analysis of Elkhorn Slough phytoplankton populations after size fractionation.** Cell concentration is expressed as the fraction of cells in the filtrate of four pre-filter sizes (10, 5, 3 and 1 μm) relative to the initial. Data represent an n = 6 and are shown ± SD.

Size fractionated Chl a concentrations indicate that the photosynthetic biomass of Elkhorn Slough is dominated by the small size classes. Of the total Chl a, 81-88% is in the <3 μm size class and 4-10% is in the 3-5 μm size class (Figure 13).

Epi-fluorescent microscopic analysis detected the presence of large cryptophytes, however the three populations observed with flow cytometry were visually
Figure 13 Size fractionated biomass (Chl \(a\)) in upper Elkhorn Slough. Chl \(a\) content of each size fraction is expressed as a percentage of total Chl \(a\).

Figure 14. Epifluorescence micrographs of Elkhorn Slough A) large cryptophytes and B) small cryptophytes and picoeukaryotes.
indistinguishable though some variability in size was noted (Figure 14a). Microscopic analysis of the 5-μm filtrate showed abundant small picophytoplankton largely comprised of prymnesiophyte and prasinophyte eukaryotes. The small cryptophytes were also visible under epi-fluorescence and were discriminated by their orange fluorescence and larger size (Figure 14b).

IV. Vital stain protocols, performance and verification

a. Killed phytoplankton experiments

i. $^{14}$C incorporation experiments

Dilutions of phytoplankton with low fractions of ultraviolet light-killed dead cells (high fraction live cells) as determined with SYTOX Green corresponded to high levels of production per cell. As fraction dead cells increased, production per cell rate decreased in a tight linear relationship for two separate experiments (Figure 15). In this experimental setup with contrived fractions of live and dead cells, SYTOX Green accurately tracked dead cells as verified by photosynthetic rate.

![Figure 15. Verification of SYTOX Green fraction dead in Dunaliella sp. with cell-specific production rate.](image)

Data represent two experiments each with two replicates shown as individual points. When only one replicate is visible they are overlapping.
ii. Cell digestion vs. SYTOX Green: Ultraviolet light killed cells

The fractions of viable cells as determined by the cell digestion assay and SYTOX Green were compared in freshly killed (with ultraviolet light) fractions of *Dunaliella* sp. SYTOX Green staining showed a clear relationship with the expected dead fraction (slope = 0.83, $R^2 = 0.98$). It was expected that membrane-permeable cells as determined by SYTOX Green would be permeable to digestion, however the cell digestion assay did not reduce cell counts relative to the control in any of the five fractions examined. The heat treatment alone (without digestive enzymes) also did not reduce total cell counts.

b. Natural cell death: Senescent culture experiments

i. Cell Digestion vs. SYTOX Green: natural cell death

Three senescent cultures (*Dunaliella* sp., *Phaeodactylum* sp., *Cryptomonas* sp.) were assayed for viable and non-viable cells with cell digestion and SYTOX Green. There was reasonable agreement between both assays when estimating fraction live cells in senescent cultures (Table 6). The cryptomonad culture had very few dead cells as determined by both methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Total Counts (cells ml$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Cell Digest Counts (cells ml$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% Dead Cells Cell Digestion Assay</th>
<th>% Dead Cells SYTOX Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cryptomonas sp.</td>
<td>3.6x10$^5$</td>
<td>3.9x10$^4$</td>
<td>-11%*</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunaliella sp.</td>
<td>7.8x10$^5$</td>
<td>6.8x10$^4$</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaeodactylum sp.</td>
<td>4.1x10$^6$</td>
<td>2.4x10$^6$</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resulting from variability in cytometric counts
A) *Dunaliella* sp. – unstained

B) *Dunaliella* sp. – SYTOX Green

**Figure 16. Complex SYTOX Green staining patterns in *Dunaliella* sp.** Cytograms showing A) unstained cells B) SYTOX Green stained cells. A gradient in stain intensity is seen indicating degradation of DNA or a gradient in membrane permeability. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.
A) \textit{Phaeodactylum} sp. – unstained

B) \textit{Phaeodactylum} sp. – SYTOX Green

\textbf{Figure 17. Complex SYTOX Green staining patterns in \textit{Phaeodactylum} sp.} Cytograms showing A) unstained cells B) SYTOX Green stained cells. Three distinct groups of stained target cells are seen. The orange cluster has relatively low green fluorescence (indicating low DNA content or incomplete staining). The green cluster stains brightly with the DNA stain yet has low chlorophyll fluorescence. The red cluster are cells that are no longer intact and are losing chlorophyll \(a\) fluorescence but still contain sufficient DNA to stain with SYTOX Green. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.
Interpretation of staining in both *Dunaliella* and *Phaeodactylum* cultures was difficult as there were complex patterns in stain uptake (Figures 16, 17). Since SYTOX Green is a DNA stain, complex staining patterns may result from variable degradation in DNA and chlorophyll content of otherwise intact cells or incomplete permeability of cell membranes. With the cell digestion assay, there were no ambiguities in interpretation of stain intensity and the heat treatment alone did not significantly reduce cell counts in senescent cultures (data not shown). The cell digestion treatment and heat treatment control did however alter the orange fluorescence signal of the cryptomonad (Figure 18). If this were to happen in a natural sample, the target cells may no longer have an optical signature allowing for clear detection which would obscure interpretation of vital data.

![Figure 18. The effect of cell digestion and heat treatment on the optical properties of *Chroomonas* sp. phytoplankton cells.](image)

The control exhibits normal phycobilin fluorescence whereas a fraction of the population shows a 100x increase due to B) heat treatment and C) cell digestion as indicated with arrows. Note the presence of partially digested cells. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.

c. **Vital assay performance on environmental samples**

All three vital assays were tested in environmental samples to identify any potential complications. The cell digestion assay was determined to be inappropriate for
use in Elkhorn Slough on the target population of cryptophytes as the heat treatment alone (without digestive enzymes) reduced cell counts by 16-36%. Furthermore, natural samples exposed to lethal levels of ultraviolet light were incompletely digested while the expectation is they would be digested completely. SYTOX Green was determined to be inappropriate for use in Elkhorn Slough due to high levels of non-specific staining that increased the event count beyond the optimal threshold (>1000 events s⁻¹; Figure 19). Cells remaining in the unstained target location after SYTOX Green addition, presumably live cells, exceeded the total count in unstained samples by sometimes two times. SYTOX Green was tested in algal cultures inoculated with test dust particles to determine if abiotic particulates in Elkhorn Slough are responsible for the high background staining. It was found that unstained cells were counted without interference in the presence of test dust, however when SYTOX stain was added, the event rate increased beyond the detection limit and inhibited accurate counting of the target cells. Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) showed no non-specific background staining and was deemed appropriate for use in turbid, sediment-laden environments.

For vital staining with FDA, small cryptophytes were gated on the basis of forward scatter and chlorophyll fluorescence as the spectral overlap of the green fluorescence into the orange channel inhibited clean gating and detection with the ideal parameters (FL3, FL2). On the basis of forward scatter and chlorophyll fluorescence alone, the picocukaryote cluster was non homogenous and contained Synechococcus sp. cells, a population showing the opposite pattern in distribution and abundance (Figure 4). Because of this, staining patterns of picocukaryotes could not be interpreted. Small
**Figure 19. SYTOX Green performance in an Elkhorn Slough natural sample.** A) Unstained cytograms showing clear target population (A1) and green autofluorescence (A2, A3). B) SYTOX Green-stained phytoplankton. Detection of target population is still clear (B1) however total cell count is increased relative to unstained sample. Also, background staining interferes with clear target detection (B2, B3). Also high background staining increased event rate over limit of 1,000 events s⁻¹ by up to ten times. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.
Figure 20. FDA response of small cryptophyte algae in Elkhorn Slough. The small cryptophyte population is gated in an unstained control sample from station 10 (A1) and is shown with green autofluorescence (A2) which is then plotted as a histogram (A3). Station 10 small cryptophytes are gated on the basis of autofluorescence (B1) and shown with green FDA fluorescence (B2) which is presented as histogram (B3) where three distinct metabolic responses are labeled. Small cryptophytes from station 5 are plotted based on autofluorescence (C1) and with FDA stain added (C2). Finally, a histogram of station 5 cells illustrates a decrease in the relative abundance of FDA++ cells. Axes are arbitrary fluorescence or light scatter units depicted on a log scale.
cryptophytes were however able to be gated on the basis of forward scatter and chlorophyll alone, and were therefore suitable for FDA uptake analysis.

Three distinct FDA fluorescence responses were seen in the small cryptophyte population. A subset became highly fluorescent green (FDA++, approximately 1000-fold increase in FL1), an additional subset became moderately fluorescent (FDA+, approximately 100-fold increase in FL1) and a subset remained non-fluorescent (FDA-, no or little increase in FL1; Figure 20).

Since there are widely variable incubation times reported in the literature for FDA, optimal stain time was determined through monitoring FL1 of the target cells throughout a two hour period. Within 30 s, detection of the three FDA fluorescence responses was possible with fluorescence saturation in the FDA++ fraction occurring after 10 min. The average value for each particle in the three response categories was measured over a period of 2 hours (Figure 21a). Cells in the FDA- category exhibited a slow increase in green FDA fluorescence over the first 40 min of incubation at which time they became indistinguishable from the FDA+ category, which had been slowly losing green fluorescence. The FDA++ cells maintained a steady level of green fluorescence throughout the incubation. The cell concentration throughout the 2 hour stain incubation period did not stay constant (Figure 21b). There was a steep decline in the cell concentration in the FDA++ category within the first 20 min (3.5x10^4 cells ml^{-1} to 2.5x10^4 cells ml^{-1}) that continued throughout the incubation, and at the end of 2 hours the total cell concentration was 35% of the initial concentration. Neither cell concentration nor calculated fraction in each category significantly changed during the
Figure 21. Optimal FDA stain time for small cryptophytes. A) Average green fluorescence of the three distinct metabolic categories over a two hour time period. B) Cell concentration of the three distinct metabolic responses over the two hour incubation shown with the calculated fraction FDA++. 
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first 10 min of incubation, and since stain uptake and conversion were rapid, an incubation time of 3-7 min was deemed suitable for vital analysis of environmental phytoplankton.

V. Environmental viability patterns

Small cryptophyte viability was measured with fluorescein diacetate (FDA) at all ten stations where cell concentrations were high enough to be detected. All three metabolic responses (FDA++, FDA+, FDA-) were quantified at each station (Figure 22). Generally, the highly active fraction was higher at the inland stations. The pattern in viability was not necessarily correlated with abundance. For example, on cruise 155 the abundance of cells peaked at station 8 and decreased at stations 9 and 10 while the fraction FDA++ steadily increased up to station 10 (Figure 22 D, I). High fractions of FDA++ (or viable) are sometimes observed in the lower slough, but the highest fractions observed within a single cruise are always observed in the upper slough region as is seen when the fraction FDA++ is normalized to the maximum value within a cruise (Figure 23).
Figure 22. Fraction of Elkhorn Slough small cryptophytes in three FDA response categories (A-E) and corresponding cell concentrations (F-J) for ten stations in Elkhorn Slough on five sampling dates. Missing data is the result of cell concentrations too low to accurately determine viability.
Figure 23. Summary of viability data in Elkhorn Slough. Highly metabolically active fraction (FDA++) of the small cryptophyte population at each station for five cruises. Where data points are missing, cell concentrations were too low to estimate fraction viable (stations 1-5), or data points are overlapping (stations 6-10). Fraction highly active (FDA++) is normalized to the maximum value within a cruise to highlight the pattern of decreased viability towards the slough mouth. The standard regression is statistically significant.
DISCUSSION

I. Phytoplankton community structure of upper Elkhorn Slough

Flow cytometric and size fractionation analyses reveal that the upper Elkhorn Slough is constitutively dominated by small (<5 μm) cryptophytes and picophytoplankton. Large cryptophyte cells (8-12 μm) are periodically abundant in Elkhorn Slough, though not persistent; it was therefore concluded they were not a major constituent of the upper slough phytoplankton community. At times large cells may constitute a significant fraction of the biomass, however during the spring and early summer, small cells (<5 μm) made up over 85% of the chlorophyll a (Chl a) biomass.

It was unexpected to find small cells (<5 μm) dominating the Chl a biomass of a resource rich environment such as Elkhorn Slough. In coastal environments, estimates of the small phytoplankton contribution (1-8 μm) to total Chl a biomass range from 5-40% (Iriarte 1993, Gin et al. 2000). Small phytoplankton size classes are more often considered to important constituents of the open ocean, oligotrophic environments than they are of nutrient-rich coastal environments (Irwin et al. 2006). The success of small cells in these environments is largely attributed to their characteristic high surface area to volume ratios resulting in higher rates of nutrient uptake as well as lower minimum metabolic requirements (Eppley and Thomas 1969, Grover 1991). These features allow them to thrive in low nutrient environments where large cells cannot.

This paradigm does not explain why small cells dominate the nutrient-rich environment of Elkhorn Slough. There are several other potential physiological and
ecological consequences of cell size beyond inorganic nutrient acquisition and metabolic activity. Cell size has implications for light absorption, motility, susceptibility to grazing and sinking, and perhaps for dissolved organic nutrient uptake (Finkel 2001, Finkel et al. 2004, De Troch et al. 2006, Waite et al. 1997, Sommer 1988). The upper slough dissolved organic matter (DOM) concentrations are on average 7 times higher than what is found in the lower slough. The correlation between the upper slough phytoplankton population abundance and the DOM pattern suggests that DOM availability may play an important role in structuring the observed phytoplankton gradient. Whether DOM availability has a direct or indirect role in structuring this community is unclear.

Large cryptophytes (8-12 μm) are periodically abundant in the upper Elkhorn Slough and are thought to live mixotrophically, doing performing photosynthetically with pigments adapted for low light levels and phagotrophically ingesting bacterial cells (Bergmann 2004). In this way, the large cryptophytes may benefit indirectly through high DOM levels. The small cryptophytes or picoeukaryotes found to be constitutively important in the upper Elkhorn Slough may survive on DOM as osmotrophs for which small cell size may confer an ecological advantage (Bergmann 2004).

Phytoplankton community structures characterized by omnipresent small cryptophytes and periodically appearing large cryptophyte cells have been documented in lakes where it is suggested that the small cells may be important for organic matter cycling (Sommer 1987, Rott 1988). Furthermore, a small cryptophyte-microflagellate community complex was documented to increase in abundance during periods of decomposition (e.g., following a bloom) and was suggested to act as an internally
stabilizing component of the planktonic community (Stewart and Wetzel 1986). These findings, along with the documented pattern in DOM suggest that the Elkhorn Slough small cryptophytes and picoeukaryotes may inhabit a similar ecological niche, and may be osmotrophic.

There are no consistent patterns in available nitrate temperature, salinity and light availability throughout the slough (Welschmeyer & Younan unpubl). Therefore these parameters likely play a minor role if any in structuring the phytoplankton community. Though it was determined that the slough is not light-limited, the spectral characteristics of the available light were not characterized. This may potentially be an important structuring force for all upper slough phytoplankton communities with implications for trophic strategies (photosynthetic activity vs. heterotrophic metabolism).

The function of aquatic ecosystems is strongly influenced by the size structure of phytoplankton communities (Irwin et al. 2006). Trophic structure is affected by primary producer cell size since large phytoplankton are generally grazed by zooplankton and pass energy to higher trophic levels more efficiently than small cells which are grazed by protists and direct energy towards the microbial loop (Ryther 1969, Azam 1983). Understanding which forces structure the natural communities of the upper Elkhorn Slough will help to predict how perturbations to this system (such as erosion) will affect the phytoplankton and subsequently their effects on elemental cycling and trophic structure.
II. Analysis of viability in natural samples: Assay selection and significance

The three vital assays chosen for this study, SYTOX Green, cell digestion and fluorescein diacetate (FDA), were selected because they have been used to estimate viability in natural phytoplankton populations (Veldhuis et al. 2001, Agustí and Sánchez 2002). Out of these three vital assays tested, only FDA was determined to be suitable assay to measure viability of the small cryptophyte population.

SYTOX Green performed well in experiments with known fractions of live and killed phytoplankton as verified by photosynthetic activity (Figure 15). However, in realistic scenarios of cell death such as nutrient limitation during culture senescence interpretation of staining patterns was difficult. In senescent cultures there is a gradient in cell morphology where the distinction between intact and degraded cells is no longer clear leading to difficulty in interpreting cytometric data. Additionally, DNA degradation in senescent cells can lead to reduced DNA fluorescence and variable staining that can be difficult to interpret (Lebaron et al. 1998). Therefore while interpreting SYTOX Green data in controlled and intact fractions of live and dead cells is straightforward, it cannot be expected that cell death scenarios encountered in nature would be this uncomplicated. Finally, SYTOX Green performed poorly in turbid samples where non-specific background staining was high and made detection of the target cells impossible. This is likely due to the polarity of the molecule and the affinity of the stain for charged particles regardless of DNA content (Klauth et al. 2004).

Environmental estimates of cell viability with SYTOX Green were obtained using samples from the oligotrophic ocean where terrigenous particulate matter loading would
be less problematic than was experienced in this study (Veldhuis 2001). Therefore, under certain circumstances, SYTOX Green seems to be a robust and accurate measure of cell viability. However drawbacks such as difficulty interpreting complex staining patterns, ambiguous significance of membrane permeability in defining cell death and problems associated with non-specific background staining make it unsuitable for use in natural environments such as Elkhorn Slough.

The cell digestion assay is the most frequently used vital assay in field studies of phytoplankton viability (Figure 1). It has several advantages over stains including elimination of background staining, ambiguities in data interpretation and allows for the possibility of sample fixation rather than requiring analysis of live samples. The cell digestion assay may be suitable for many cell types and seemed to work reasonably well for the chlorophyte and diatoms tested in this study. However the 37°C incubation alone (no enzymes) caused an increase in orange fluorescence of laboratory cryptophyte cultures and dramatically reduced cell counts of natural populations making this method unsuitable for obtaining viability estimates in this study. It is possible that modifications to this method, such as altered incubation time and enzyme concentrations may ultimately render this method useful in this system, but the method as published was determined to be inappropriate for these target populations in Elkhorn Slough (Agustí and Sánchez 2002).

FDA was the most suitable fluorophore tested for vital analysis in the Elkhorn Slough environmental populations. There were no issues with background staining and discrimination of three distinct fluorescence responses (FDA++, FDA+, FDA-) was
detected in the target cryptophyte population (Figure 22). In this system, a short (3-7 min) incubation was found to be ideal since this was found to both minimize potentially negative effects of the stain on cell concentration and to maximize discrimination of the three distinct FDA fluorescence responses.

The FDA assay is considered a valid assay of metabolic activity and thus vitality in phytoplankton. The non-fluorescent FDA substrate readily permeates cell membranes and is hydrolyzed by non-specific esterase enzymes into fluorescein. This fluorescein is then retained and can be detected by cells with intact cell membranes, but leaks from cells with compromised membranes (Rotman and Papermaster 1966). This assay has been shown to work with a variety of algal types and has been verified against measures of metabolic activity like \(^{14}\)C photosynthesis (Dorsey et al. 1989, Onji et al. 2000). However, variability in the stain response has been reported for a variety of microorganisms and may be a function of inter- or intra-specific variability in factors such as cell wall structure, internal pH, temperature, uptake kinetics, efflux rate, fluorescence quenching (Diaper et al. 1992, Breeuwer et al. 1995). Therefore, interpreting FDA data in environmental samples is not straightforward. It is for these reasons that FDA and other vital stains have not been routinely employed in ecological studies of microorganisms (Garvey et al. 2007).

Despite the potential drawbacks of all vital assays, there are several studies that suggest FDA is a valid indicator for general metabolic activity. It has been proposed that the degree of fluorescein accumulation is indicative of the strength of metabolic vigor (Bentley-Mowat 1982, Geary et al. 1998). Furthermore, the FDA assay is sensitive
enough to reflect metabolic shifts in phytoplankton populations experiencing subtle environmental differences in light, nutrient regime, or other stressors (Jochem 1999, Brookes et al. 2000b).

Assays of intracellular enzyme activity can be a more sensitive indicator of death than assays of membrane integrity, since a decline in metabolic activity is thought to precede permeabilization of the cell membrane during the cell death process (Brussaard et al. 2001). When interpreting viability data, it is important to consider however that the degree of fluorescein accumulation reflects both the level of intracellular enzyme activity and the degree of fluorescein efflux due to membrane permeability (Rotman and Papermaster 1966, Breeuwer et al. 1995).

In Elkhorn Slough, the small cryptophyte population exhibited three distinct fluorescence responses characterized by no increase of green fluorescence (FDA-), a moderate (10-fold) increase in green fluorescence (FDA+) and large (100-fold) increase in green fluorescence (Figure 20). The FDA++ group of small cryptophytes was interpreted to be highly metabolically active as they rapidly became fluorescent and sustained a high degree of fluorescence throughout the incubation period (Figure 21). Curiously, the cell concentrations of this highly active fraction declined dramatically within the first 40 min of the incubation and continued to decline throughout the 2 hour period. It is possible that cell lysis is an artifact of high fluorescein loading. Nonetheless, the cell losses within the first 3-7 min were negligible and it was possible to obtain an estimate of highly active cells prior to the onset of cell concentration loss.
The FDA- group was determined to have no or low metabolic activity. Over the course of the incubation, some increased green fluorescence of this group was noted and was concluded to be the result of very low metabolic activity. After 40 min, the fluorescence of this group was indistinguishable from the fluorescence of the moderate fluorescence (FDA+) group. Initially, the FDA+ group displays a 10-fold increase in fluorescence relative to the control but begins to lose fluorescence after approximately 15 min. This suggests that though these cells maintain elevated esterase activity relative to the FDA- group, they may have some permeability of the cell membrane allowing for efflux of the fluorescein product.

The tri-modal metabolic response observed for a single population is interesting and could be attributed to a variety of factors (Figure 20). First, it cannot be excluded that the single group designated as small cryptophytes may actually consist of several groups displaying individual FDA stain characteristics. The degree of population heterogeneity could be determined by flow-sorting this population of interest and characterizing its diversity with microscopy or molecular techniques (18S sequence diversity). However, without the capability to conduct these types of analyses, it was assumed that the population was taxonomically homogenous.

If the target population is taxonomically homogeneous as assumed, the tri-modal esterase activity response may be reflective of a step-wise regulation of metabolic activity, perhaps as the result of a programmed metabolic cascade. The observation of three discrete metabolic activity levels is not unprecedented in phytoplankton. Regel et al. (2002) characterized three metabolic (FDA) activity states in cultures of cyanobacteria.
and green algae. Metabolism may be regulated in a step-wise fashion to allow coordination or synchronization with other members of a given population. It has been shown that some phytoplankton (diatoms) possess a cellular mechanism allowing them to detect chemical signals originating from stressed neighbor cells which ultimately affects their physiology and subsequent population dynamics (Vardi et al. 2006). The extent to which these types of strategies persist and their functional role in populations of unicellular organisms is unclear though it has been speculated that it is likely more important than previously acknowledged (Bidle & Falkowski 2004). Further characterizing natural patterns in population viability (or metabolic activity) such as the pattern characterized in this study will be instrumental in understanding the physiology, ecology, population dynamics and evolution of microorganisms in nature.

Vital assays such as FDA, cell digestion and SYTOX Green measure proxies for cell viability such as enzyme activity and membrane permeability, but the validity of these proxies as true indicators of a definite live or dead state is unclear. For example, phytoplankton cells exposed to copper do not exhibit esterase enzyme activity, yet upon restoration to ideal conditions, esterase enzyme activity resumes (Vasconcelos et al. 2000). In this scenario, the cells are not dead but are temporarily metabolically inactive. Also, SYTOX Green is expected to be excluded from cells with intact membranes and it is generally assumed that membrane permeability is a valid proxy for cellular death, yet it was observed in this study that some cells in batch culture stained “dead” with SYTOX Green yet simultaneously maintained metabolic activity as determined with FDA (Roth et al. 1997, Veldhuis et al. 1997, Veldhuis et al. 2001, personal observation). Furthermore,
motile (and therefore live) cells have been reported to stain with SYTOX Green indicating that the assumption that membrane permeability is an accurate proxy for cellular death may be incorrect (Franklin and Berges 2004). While the utility of these vital assay proxies as absolute indicators of live or dead status is unclear, it is certain that they are useful indicators of general in-situ physiological status.

In conclusion, robust assays that work in complex and unpredictable environments must be identified to successfully obtain estimates of natural population viability. In Elkhorn Slough, the FDA assay proved to be free from issues with background staining that rendered SYTOX Green inappropriate. Interpreting the significance of these natural population viability estimates is less straightforward. Ideally, the natural population of interest would be isolated in culture so that experimental conditions can be controlled, and estimates of viability verified with other known measures of metabolic activity such as photosynthesis. However, few microorganisms in nature are amenable to cultivation, nor is a cultured strain representative of the physiology expected in nature. Therefore, investigating patterns of viability in natural populations may work to inform our interpretation of the significance of these results.

III. Vitality of phytoplankton in Elkhorn Slough

There are few published studies that have addressed whether dead, dying or metabolically compromised phytoplankton comprise a significant fraction of phytoplankton communities in nature (Table 2). The amount of dead cells at a given time
in nature can be highly variable, for example the percentage of non-viable cells within a given population ranged from 5-60% in the North Atlantic as determined with SYTOX Green (Veldhuis et al. 2001). Understanding the significance of phytoplankton viability requires putting this variability into context. Hayakawa et al. (2008) reported viabilities of >70% for eukaryotic phytoplankton in the spring, a season in which these phytoplankton were numerically dominant. The viability of these cells decreased to 26-41% by the late summer when they were no longer dominant. These data suggest that these cells were structured by forces causing physiological stress, a trend that may have been predicted by abundance data alone. In contrast, *Synechococcus* surveyed during these seasons exhibited major abundance changes, but the viability of the remaining cells remained high suggesting these cells are not physiologically compromised under conditions of low abundance.

Investigating the relationship between cell abundance and viability informs how a given population is responding to the conditions at that moment. Based on abundance alone, Hayakawa et al. (2008) may have erroneously concluded that *Synechococcus* was stressed or physiologically compromised during the spring when they were sparse.

In Elkhorn Slough it was hypothesized that the environment in the upper reaches is ideal for cryptophyte growth and was where they were expected to be most vital or active. The highest fraction of highly active cells within a given sampling date was indeed on average observed in the ideal upper slough environment (Figure 23). This finding suggests that the upper slough phytoplankton community is structured by environmental forces such as light quality or DOM and that deviation from these
conditions towards the lower slough causes stress, reduced activity and subsequently death. However, cell abundance and viability were not always well correlated.

As previously discussed, it cannot be assumed that high population abundance is necessarily indicative of healthy cells. For example, during analysis of samples collected from cruise 155 it was observed that small cryptophyte densities peaked at station 8 and then declined at stations 9 and 10 whereas the fraction of the population that was highly metabolically active continued to increase to nearly 80% (Figure 22). Though cells at these stations are active and presumably growing quickly, this is not reflected in the total abundance. This could be attributed to heightened grazing pressure at these stations.

In summary, characterizing the in-situ physiological state of individual cells within specific populations with high spatial or temporal resolution is valuable when trying to understand how phytoplankton communities are structured in response to their physical environment and how their dynamics influence biogeochemistry and trophic structure. There is no single ideal assay to measure viability of phytoplankton or any natural unicellular microorganism and any investigation of natural microorganism viability must take both methodological and technical considerations into account. Significant consideration must be given to the design of the sampling scheme. Virtually all studies conducted on natural phytoplankton assemblage viability exploit well-documented community structure transitions such as the spatial transition from the oligotrophic open ocean to the eutrophic coastal zone or the temporal transition from summer to winter communities (Alonso-Laita and Agustí 2006, Hayakawa et al. 2006).
The Elkhorn Slough provided an ideal and accessible environment to examine how patterns in physiological state may structure the abundant and persistent population of small cryptophyte algae.
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